

# III: On Recognizing (Demonic) Terrorists (through their Slanderous Masks): “Allied” Terror-Bombing during W.W. II

\* \* \* \* \*

## Table of Contents:

<b>III: ON RECOGNIZING (DEMONIC) TERRORISTS (THROUGH THEIR SLANDEROUS MASKS): “ALLIED” TERROR-BOMBING DURING W.W. II.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Devolving from German, Christian, European Civility to “Allied,” “jewish,” Mass-Murderous Barbarity .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Which Side Really Started the Terror Bombing? and Why? And Which Side Never Really Responded in Kind? and Why not? .....</b>	<b>12</b>
Blaming the Victims: Or Scapegoating the Germans .....	32
The Canterbury Tale .....	36
<b>The “jewish” “Lindemann Plan” (to Terrorize, Mass-Murder or “Holocaust” the German Race) .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Comparing the Mass-Murderous Terror-Bombing Campaigns of the Imperial or SuperNazi “jewish” “Allies” (England and America) and their National (or “Nazi”) Victim, Germany.....</b>	<b>45</b>
Strafing the German Survivors of the “Allied” Terror Bombings.....	51
Other Europeans Mass-Murdered and Terrorized by the Uncivilized and anti-Christ “Allies” .....	53
<b>“Operation Gomorrah” over Hamburg, Germany: A Real and True “Allied” Satanic, “Jewish” “Holocaust” .....</b>	<b>54</b>
The Censored, Silenced and Forgotten Horror of “Allied” “Liberation” via “Allied” “Phosphorus” Droppings .....	64
The Old Mosaic Myth Comes True at Last? .....	68

**Terror “Bombing Vindicated”: “Splendid” “Allied” Excuses for their “Splendid” Policy of Terror Bombing their German Opponents into Smithereens: e.g. (“Breaking Enemy Morale,” “Ending the War,” “Justice” or “Retribution,” etc.)..... 72**

**Official British Denials of the Terrible, Mass-Murderous, “Jewish”-British Truth..... 77**  
 Feb. 16, 1945: The Day the Mask of the Allied Terrorists was Momentarily Removed.....82

**The “Prime” Mass-Murderer of “Great Britain” (now deceased), Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill, Selects an Official Scapegoat for “his Majesty’s” “Terror” or “Holocaust” Bombings of German Civilians ..... 86**

**The Nuremberg Show-Trials and Lynchings ..... 103**

**Conclusion: The Terrible (and Nuclear) Upshot of this “Allied” or “Jewish” Foreign Policy of “Total War” and Mass-Murder of Civilians ..... 105**

**Prologue/Epilog..... 107**

\* \* \* \* \*

On Recognizing (Demonic) Terrorists (through their Slandorous Masks): Distinguishing the (“Allied”) Terrorists from their Slandered and Mass-Murdered (German) Victims

\* \* \* \* \*

The quotes in this chapter on recognizing terrorism through its masks, deceits and slanders are taken (without permission) from the following six books:  
 F.J.P. Veale’s The Veale File: Advance to Barbarism, London, 1948, 1st Ed.; (pg.#s from the 1979 Institute for Historical Review Edition, Torrance CA, U.S.A.);  
 Count Leon de Poncins’ Top Secret, ©1975, or “State Secrets: A Documentation of the Secret Revolutionary Mainspring Governing Anglo-American Politics,” as translated from French to English by Timothy Tindal-Robertson; pub. in U.S.A., 1977;  
 James J. Martin’s Revisionist Viewpoints: Essays in a Dissident Historical Tradition; Ralph Myles Pub. Co., Colorado Springs, Colo., U.S.A., 1971;  
 David Irving’s The Destruction of Dresden, 1963; (pg #s from the First Futura Edition of 1980);  
 Richard Harwood’s Nuremberg and Other War Crimes Trials: A New Look; Historical Review Press, England, 1978;  
 and Michael McLaughlin’s Death of a City; Phoenix Pub., London, England, 1982.

\* \* \* \* \*

\* \* \* \* \*

**“Aerial bombardment for the purpose of terrorizing the civilian population, of destroying or damaging private property not of a military character, or of injuring non-combatants, is prohibited.”—A ruling established at the Washington [D.C., U.S.A.–Ed.] Conference on the Limitation of Armaments in 1922. [Martin, p. 102-03]**

(Good American words, but **true** American words? If we wish to see, dear readers, we shall see. But if we prefer to see, hear and speak of no Amerikan evil whatsoever, as if the two were incompatible, then we shall continue to do that instead of seeing, hearing and speaking of the bloody awful truth.)

\* \* \* \* \*

# Devolving from German, Christian, European Civility to “Allied,” “jewish,” Mass-Murderous Barbarity

From F.J.P. Veale’s *The Veale File: Advance to Barbarism*, London, 1948,

(As always, dear reader, my editorial emphasis is in **bold**, while original emphasis is in *bold italics*.)

**The exclusion of non-combatants from the scope of hostilities is the fundamental distinction between civilized and barbarous warfare.** All other restraints [e.g. against “allied” terror bombing—Ed.] had followed naturally from acceptance of this first principle. If it were abandoned, all else would quickly disappear.

**...a war waged by barbarous methods must inevitably end in a barbarous peace.** [Veale, p. 176]

**The civil wars of Europe** are of interest here because, during their final phase, there was **gradually established a code subject to which it was tacitly agreed Christian neighbours should wage war upon each other. The code won general acceptance in Europe about the beginning of the eighteenth century—that is to say, little more than two hundred years before 1939,** the date of the outbreak of Europe’s latest and possibly last civil war.

***The fundamental principle of this code was that hostilities between civilized peoples must be limited to the armed forces actually engaged.*** In other words **it drew a distinction between combatants and non-combatants by laying down that the sole business of the combatants is to fight each other and, consequently, that non-combatants must be excluded** from the scope of military operations. [Veale, p. 87-88]

**...the essential principle of the Rules of Civilized Warfare** [European or Aryan, of course (i.e. not “jewish” or Amerikan)—Ed.] **was that military operations should be restricted to overcoming the uniformed armed forces of the enemy, and on no account should the enemy civilian population be attacked or molested.**

...the main difficulty which arose from the start with regard to the application of this simple principle was in connection with siege operations. **Everyone was agreed that places on a battlefield held by enemy troops could be attacked without regard to the lives of civilians living in them, and besieged towns could be bombarded in order to force their garrisons to surrender.** Differences of opinion soon arose whether it was justifiable to use a defended town itself, as distinct from its fortifications, as target for bombardment, as Copenhagen was used by the British Navy in 1807, or Strasburg was used by the German Army in 1870. But it was generally agreed that this was justifiable provided that the town in question was within the theatre of military operations, that it was defended and that it contained military objectives. In theory the projectiles were aimed at these military objectives even if the chance of hitting them was

small and it was certain that those which missed would kill innocent civilians. Casualties so caused were dismissed as deeply regrettable happenings unavoidable in warfare.

**Such were the recognized conditions of warfare from the beginning of the 18th century down to and including the First World War.** [Veale, p. 177-78]

So what happened, then?

**The war of 1940—1945 was not conducted in accordance with the code of warfare subject to which for the preceding two centuries Europeans had been accustomed to wage war upon each other. Neither the Americans nor the Eurasians of the Soviet Union had any regard for what Europeans of past generations had been pleased to consider permissible in warfare. Throughout, they fought in accordance with their own [“terrifying” or mass-murderous—Ed.] views on this subject.** Further, when the end at last came, there was no select gathering of European statesmen such as had met together after every European civil war [except world war I, of course, for the Versailles “peace” dictates were “jewish”—Ed.] to decide with dignity and decorum the form the latest peace settlement should take in accordance with (in Europe) long recognized principles. **For the first [?] time in history, the peoples of Europe found themselves saved [?] the trouble of coming to decisions concerning their own affairs since everything of importance had already been decided for them in [“jewish”—Ed.] Washington and Moscow.** [Veale, p. 87]

But the second world war was the **second** uncivilized, “terrifying,” mass-murderous conquest of Europe by “jewish” Amerika and “jewish” Britain. And the “jewish” “Soviet Union,” (though allied with the other two “jewish” nations in both “jewish” world wars against Europe, and especially Germany), was not victorious in their first “allied” war against her.

\* \* \* \* \*

Let us briefly resume [or review—Ed.] the sequence of events which led to the appalling catastrophe of the terror bombardments in the Second World War, **starting at 1923.** At this period, **the Air Force in Britain was already developing as a separate service, contrary to France and Germany. When the question of rearmament arose, the discussion turned to the use that would be made of aviation in wartime, and consequently, the type of aircraft that would be required.**

**Two theories were under consideration. Officers of the classic military school of thought held that the aircraft was a long-range strike weapon whose role lay in attacking the enemy army. But Air Marshal Trenchard [Chief of the British Air Staff, 1919-29)—Ed.], who was not handicapped [?] by antique military traditions nor by moral scruples, held that aircraft could be put to more efficient and deadly use by deliberately attacking industrial centres and urban agglomerations, which were less difficult to reach and less dangerous targets. He held that its role should be to pursue the destruction of the enemy nation, whereas the Army maintained that it should pursue the destruction of the enemy army.**

Such concepts, briefly, heralded a return to the days of Genghis Khan and Attila, and **genocide again became an official object of war.**

**In 1934** [one year after Hitler became Chancellor of Germany, when the supernatural “jews” had declared their racial “holy” war against Germany and her leader (“fuehrer”)—Ed.] **England began a massive rearmament programme, the main effort of which was concentrated on the aviation industry. Trenchard’s ideas prevailed and England began the construction of an armada of heavy, long-range bombers for the purposes of what was called “strategic bombing”. In other words, instead of building machines such as the German stukas—dive-bombers whose role was to attack precise military objects, such as tanks—the English were building**

**machines with a heavy pay-load designed to throw a carpet of bombs over vast areas of towns and industrial centres, and which were later imitated by the American flying fortresses** [and “liberators”—Ed.]. [de Poncins, p. 35-36]

...Messrs. Spaight and Harris speak with the authority of a Principal Secretary to the Air Ministry and of an Air Marshal respectively.... According to their joint testimony, **prior to 1939 the General Staffs of Great Britain, Germany and France were composed entirely of elderly professional soldiers whose** [civilized and Christian—Ed.] **brains, rendered senile by routine and red tape, were incapable of grasping so obvious a fact that if bombs were showered from the air upon an enemy power’s chief centres of population, its war effort would be affected. Only in the** [uncivilized, barbarous, mass-murderous, anti-Christ or “jewish”—Ed.] **British Air Ministry, and then only thanks to a memorable “brain-wave” in 1936, did this fact dawn, with the result that for three years before the outbreak of war Britain alone was planning accordingly. As a result of the opposition of the French General Staff, it was not until May 11, 1940, that the Bomber Command was permitted to fulfil the purpose for which it was built. Thereafter it was free “to roam”—with consequences with which we are all familiar.** [Veale, p. 175]

According to the leading Brits, the Germans were far too civil(ized) or Christian to win W.W.II. From Veale, (p. 173-75),

**Air Marshal Harris** [in his Bomber Offensive; London, 1947)—Ed.] **joins with Mr. Spaight in pouring contempt on the shortsightedness of professional soldiers throughout the world, and in particular in Germany, for not perceiving in the years before 1939 that the heavy bomber would be a far more effective weapon against civilians than against combatant forces.**

[But why not simply “perceive” instead that the Germans (evidently most unlike the British) were (are) not mass-murderous demons or terrorists?—that the demonic, anti-Christian or “jewish” thought of terror bombing anyone, anywhere, at any time simply never entered their civilized, Christian, Germanic heads. For the fruit remains of its tree, the son of his father, the thoughts of their thinker, and the actions of their actor. You and I are what we do, dear reader. Godness, Satanness or mediocrity is as Godness, Satanness or mediocrity does. (John 8:44)—Ed.]

**The issue for what purpose an air force should be designed to serve was hotly debated in Britain immediately after the First World War.** Germany had been disarmed [via the “jewish” “peace treaty” of Versailles, France—Ed.] but France had emerged from the struggle with the largest air force in the world and was bitterly aggrieved at British opposition to her plans for annexation in the Middle East. **The question was: What type of plane would Britain need in the event of another war? The professional soldiers in the War Office naturally took the traditional view** [that the air-force exists to support the army against their common **military** (or non-civilian) objectives (on the ground)—Ed.]; **the chiefs of the newly created air force unhampered by tradition, took an entirely novel view. In 1923 Air Marshal Sir Hugh Trenchard, Chief of the Air Staff, exactly summarized the point at issue when he wrote, “The Army policy was to defeat the enemy army; our policy was to defeat the enemy nation.”** [By any (terrible, mass-murderous) means whatsoever?—Ed.]

Far from planning a Blitz, **Air Marshal Harris declares** [again in his Bomber Offensive; London, 1947)—Ed.] **that Germany lost the war because, when compelled in September 1940 to carry out the Blitz, she found that the generals who dominated the Luftwaffe and regarded the bomber as merely a form of long-range artillery for use in battle, had neglected to equip the Luftwaffe with heavily armed bomber planes designed for a Blitz. “The Germans,” writes Air Marshal Harris, “had**

allowed their soldiers to dictate the whole policy of the Luftwaffe which was designed expressly to assist the army in rapid offensives... Much too late in the day they saw [via the terrible, mass-murderous “allied” example?–Ed.] the advantage of a strategic bombing force...the outcome was the German Army had to be deprived of air cover and air support on every front [necessarily and urgently diverted–Ed.] to provide some defence for Germany against independent strategic action in the air.” [i.e. “allied” “terror bombing” of German cities and civilians, far from their armies and from battle fronts–Ed.] [Article in The Star, December 12, 1946, by Air Marshal Harris–Ed.]

**Mr. Spaight** [Bombing Vindicated; London, 1944)–Ed.] **puts the matter in a nutshell when he writes (p. 144): “In Germany and in France the air arm never cut adrift from the land arm: it was tethered to the Army in these countries. In Britain it was free to roam.”** To this it may be replied that orthodox military opinion holds that it is the duty of a soldier to fight and not to roam. **“For Germany,” Mr. Spaight continues, “the bomber was artillery for stationary troops dug fast into the Maginot Line; for Britain, it was an offensive weapon designed to attack the economic resources of the enemy deep within his country.”**

It is important to note that the “splendid decision of May 11, 1940” was put into effect [French–Ed.] “General Gamelin notwithstanding”. **“The [likewise civilized–Ed.] French General Staff,”** remarks Mr. Spaight sadly (p. 70), **“had all along a conception of air warfare broadly similar to that of the German General Staff and divergent from that of the British Air Staff. They viewed with the greatest misgivings any plan by which bombers were to be used for attacks on German industry, and did not hesitate to say so. In their considered opinion the main, indeed the only, use to which a bombing force should be put was to extend the range of artillery supporting armies in the field.”** [Hence, “Vive le France!”–Ed.]

From every point of view Air Marshal Harris’ book, Bomber Offensive, is a much less illuminating work than Mr. Spaight’s Bombing Vindicated. Writing in the same spirit, his tone is much more subdued. Substantially, however, he is in complete agreement with Mr. Spaight. He also **attributes the failure of the Blitz to the shortsightedness of the Luftwaffe chiefs in not providing themselves in peace time with long-distance bomber planes designed for attacks on an enemy civilian population, an omission, he declares, which lost Germany the war.** Had the Germans been able [or willing–Ed.] to persist in their [token and retaliatory–Ed.] attacks, he writes, London would unquestionably have suffered the terrible fate which overtook Hamburg two years later.

...Only with regard to the justification of attacks from the air on civilians can the Air Marshal [Harris–Ed.] be said to go one better than the Principal Air Secretary [Spaight–Ed.]. **When reproached for the inhumanity of this form of warfare, he tells us complacently, it is his practice to confound his critics by quoting to them a British Government White Paper which estimates that the blockade of Europe by the British Navy between 1914 and 1918 “caused nearly 800,000 deaths, mainly women and children,” while, on the other hand, indiscriminate bombing by the RAF. between 1940 and 1945 probably did not in his opinion kill more than 300,000. He assures that this retort invariably left his critics dumfounded and abashed.**

**Certainly this is a novel line of argument** which, if it ever secured acceptance in criminal law, would lead to strange consequences. For example, **a person accused of a single murder could by this argument claim acquittal on the ground that there have been cases of persons guilty of wholesale [multiple or mass–Ed.] murder.**

In passing it may be noted that the Air Marshal’s estimate of the civilian casualties resulting from the British air offensive against Germany [i.e. 300,000 dead German civilians–Ed.] is far below the figure now generally accepted. [Veale, p. 173-75]

Veale, (p. 180-82),

**When the British air offensive was launched against Germany in May 1940 Winston Churchill and his advisers [(?) See “professor” Lindemann below–Ed.] extended the definition of “military objectives” which had been accepted for two and a half centuries, to include factories, oil plants, public buildings and any structure which contributed or was of use, if only indirectly, to the war effort of the enemy.**

This extension was the essence of “the Splendid Decision” which filled Mr. Spaight with such pride. **Many maintained that this extension rendered the definition of “military objectives” meaningless, since there was not a city, town or village in the industrial areas of Western Europe which did not possess a building of some kind which came within this definition—a railway station, a post office, a police station, a bridge or an electrical power plant. The existence of any of these in a place rendered that place with all its inhabitants liable to be obliterated by bombs. Thus, accepting the definition which included all public buildings as military objectives, if a bomb was aimed at a village which contained for example a police station, missed its mark and killed people in that village, no breach of the Rules of Civilized Warfare would take place, because it had long been agreed that when a missile [cannon-ball or exploding shell–Ed.] which had been aimed at a military objective caused civilian casualties, such casualties could be attributed to a regrettable, but in no way blameworthy, accident.**

Not unreasonably, it is submitted, many people maintained that bombing carried out in accordance with **this novel [Churchillian–Ed.] definition of military objectives was, in fact, unrestricted bombing. No part of the enemy civilian population was excluded from the scope of the military operations, and therefore the Rules of Civilized Warfare were in practice, although not expressly, repudiated.** Apologists for the Air Offensive argued that as the definition of “military objectives” had always been somewhat vague and elastic, the British Government was entitled to amend the current definition as it pleased, and anyway there had been no express repudiation of the Rules of Civilized Warfare.

**All arguments concerning the British bombing of Germany during the Second World War were brought abruptly to an end in April 1961 by a single paragraph in a little book** with the uninspiring title, Science and Government [Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1961–Ed.]. The author was Sir Charles Snow, scientist and novelist. His purpose in writing it was to assess the respective achievements of two rival physicists, Professor Lindemann and Dr. Henry Tizard. The book was primarily concerned to show that when the opinions of these two men conflicted, Dr. Tizard always proved to be right and Professor Lindemann always wrong. To do this he was compelled to disclose the truth concerning one of the principal issues which arose between them.

This paragraph will be found quoted verbatim on page 18 in the Introduction to this book. [also quoted below by de Poncis, and beginning with “Early in 1942...”–Ed.] **In a nutshell Sir Charles Snow disclosed that early in 1942—the exact date, it now appears, was March 30th, 1942—Professor Lindemann submitted a Minute [or “cabinet paper”–Ed.] to the [Churchill–Ed.] War Cabinet in which he urged that bombing henceforth would be directed against German working-class houses in preference to military objectives, which were much too difficult to hit. He claimed that given a total concentration of effort on the production of aircraft suitable for this work, 50% of all the houses in the cities and towns in Germany with over 50,000 inhabitants would be destroyed. Sir Charles declared that the Lindemann Plan to initiate terror bombing against Germany was adopted by the British Government “and put into action with every effort the country could make.”**

**It was everywhere expected that these assertions of Sir Charles Snow would at once be rebutted by categorical and emphatic denials. No attempt at denial was made, however. Lord Birkenhead indeed hurriedly produced a biography of Professor Lindemann [The Professor and the Prime Minister; Boston, U.S.A., 1962–Ed.] in which he rebutted at length and with indignation the popular belief that Lindemann was a Jew, a point of no relevance or interest to anyone [Really? Of no relevance nor interest to anyone? Not even to a Gentile? Is “terrorism” irrelevant? And am I no one? As if this demonic and “terrible” fruit of mass-murder could more likely drop from any other but the Devil’s “jewish” tree, and hence from Its/their English, Amerikan and Soviet “allies”!–Ed.], but he had nothing to say with regard to the suggestion that Lindemann was a war criminal responsible for a ghastly crime against humanity. [Veale, p. 180-82]**

But what about those Brits (led by that anti-Christ, Winston himself) who accepted and practiced this mass-murderous “jew’s” mass-murderous suggestion? And was this “terrible,” mass-murderous “jew” a secret or covert agent of other (“terrible,” mass-murderous) “jews”? The SuperNazi sinagog of Satan, perhaps? (Apo./Rev. 2:9 & 3:9)

Veale continues, (p. 182-86),

**In October, 1961, six months after the publication of Sir Charles Snow’s book, the full truth was disclosed in the...official publication, The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany [written by the official historians, Sir Charles Webster and Dr. Noble Frankland–Ed.], (HM [“His Majesty’s”–Ed.] Stationary Office, London, 1961).**

[Question: When are the Amerikans ever going to tell the truth?

Answer: Never, because it’s not in their demonic “jewish” “interests,” their mass-murderous nature, nor their anti-Christ character?–Ed.]

**It has thus now become possible to trace in detail the development of air bombing during the Second World War.**

**This development took place in three clearly marked stages, during the first of which starting with the outbreak of war on the 3rd September 1939 and lasting until the 11th May 1940, the air forces of both sides attacked only military objectives strictly in accordance with the Rules of Civilized Warfare.**

**The second stage began on the 11th May, 1940, when the R.A.F launched its first attack on industrial areas in Germany, the British Government [i.e. Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill (see above)–Ed.] having adopted a new definition of military objectives so that this term included any building which in any way contributed, directly or indirectly, to the war effort of the enemy. The R.A.F. attacked “military objectives” as so defined in accordance with the orders of the War Cabinet, but very soon the Air Staff chiefs began to permit themselves greater and greater latitude in carrying out their orders. Thus, as early as the 16th December 1940, a moonlight raid by 134 planes took place on Mannheim, described in the above mentioned official British history of the air offensive as “the first ‘area’ attack of the war.” The object of this attack, as Air Chief Marshal Peirse later explained, was “to concentrate the maximum amount of damage in the centre of the town.” As early as this therefore, all pretence of attacking military, industrial or in fact any particular targets was in practice abandoned.**

**The British air offensive launched on the 11th May 1940 against industrial objectives in Germany continued without retaliation for nearly four months. During May and June the Luftwaffe was fully occupied taking part in the campaign in France. After the surrender of France on the 22nd June, for a month Hitler clung to the delusion that the struggle could be brought to an end by a negotiated peace. Realising at last this hope was vain [as the only answer he received to his peace offers was more British terror raids or “area attacks” upon German cities and civilians–Ed.],**

**Hitler launched a massive air attack on Britain in order to win command of the air preparatory to an invasion. [?] This was a purely military operation, carried out mainly in daylight, against airfields, docks and shipping.** The Battle of Britain ended with a defeat for Germany as decisive as the Battle of Stalingrad. **It was not until September that the Luftwaffe was ordered** to cease its costly efforts to win command of the air over the English Channel, and **to launch a reprisal air offensive against Britain exactly similar to the British air offensive against Germany which had been going on ever since May 11th.** **On the 6th September London was subjected to a mass attack by 270 [German-Ed.] bombers,** the greatest concentration of air power collected up to that time, and great damage and many casualties were caused. **Thereafter every night favourable for bombing the chief industrial cities of Britain suffered the same fate. Until the following Spring** when the Luftwaffe was withdrawn to take part in the invasion of Russia, the two air offensives [British and German-Ed.] continued concurrently. **The British air offensive during this period must be set down as a failure to the extent that it achieved nothing towards crippling the war production of Germany but as a success to the extent that it prevented** [peace-Ed.] **the** ["phony"-Ed.] **war stagnating and it generated a frenzied war psychosis** [via the token German retaliation (misrepresented to the British and American public as unprovoked German barbarism, mass-murderousness, genocidal or "holocaustic" terror bombing. (See/hear Edward R. Murrow's "reports" from London, e.g.)-Ed.). **The German air offensive, on the other hand, must be dismissed as a complete failure since it did not achieve its only purpose, namely, to induce the British Government to discontinue the air offensive against Germany.** [Did you hear that, dear reader?-Ed.]

Throughout this period **the British public believed without question that the British air offensive against Germany was a reprisal for the attacks of the Luftwaffe on Britain which, it was said, began with the dropping of bombs by an unidentified plane on a wood near Canterbury.** [But where on earth (and from whom) did the British public get that misgiven idea, dear reader? The "British Broadcasting Service," e.g.?-Ed.]

...**The third and last phase of the British air offensive against Germany began in March 1942 with the adoption of the Lindemann Plan by the British War Cabinet, and continued with undiminished ferocity until the end of the war in May, 1945.** The bombing during this period was not, as the Germans complained, indiscriminate. On the contrary, **it was concentrated on working-class houses because, as Professor Lindemann maintained, a higher percentage of bloodshed per ton of explosives dropped could be expected from bombing houses built close together, rather than by bombing higher class houses surrounded by gardens.** Neither was it unrestricted bombing, except, of course, in the sense that it was not restricted to military objectives as originally defined by the Rules of Civilized Warfare, which in practice had been found difficult to hit and therefore wasteful of bombs. **The bombing during this period was simple terror bombing designed to shatter the morale of the civilian population and so to generate an inclination to surrender.**

The adoption of the Lindemann Plan produced no startlingly obvious changes in bombing tactics perceptible even to the German civilian population. Ever since the first "area" bombing, the above mentioned raid on Mannheim in December 1940, the British air chiefs on their own initiative had been carrying out their orders to reduce German industrial production by an easier method than by dropping bombs through the roofs of factories. They argued that the desired result would be more readily achieved if the homes of the workers in the factories were destroyed: if the workers were kept busy arranging for the burial of their wives and children, output might reasonably be expected to fall. Thus the adoption of the Lindemann Plan merely gave express government sanction to tactics which had long been adopted with semi-official approval. [Did you hear that dear reader? The

uncivilized, barbarian, mass-murderous Brits were using, following, perpetrating the “Lindemann Plan” even before there was a Lindemann Plan!–Ed.]

**The Lindemann Plan was first carried into effect on the 28th March, 1942, when Lubeck was attacked by 234 aircraft of Bomber Command.** This beautiful old Hanseatic port had no military or special industrial importance but was chosen because, as Air Marshal Harris subsequently described it, the city was “built more like a firelighter [match(box)–Ed.] than a human habitation.” The focus of the attack was the Altstadt composed of medieval houses with narrow tortuous streets; some 30,000 people lived in an of two square kilometres. Photographic reconnaissance showed the raid had been “a first class success.” From 45% to of the city was totally destroyed, together with the Cathedral and the Market Hall.

...**The climax of the offensive was reached on the night of February 13th, 1945, when a mass raid by several thousand heavy bombers was directed against Dresden.** The result of this air raid was indisputably a first class success, far surpassing all previous first class successes. Naturally there was jubilation at **Supreme Allied Headquarters in Paris** which **approved a despatch from the Associated Press correspondent announcing that “Allied war chiefs have made the long awaited decision to adopt deliberate terror bombing of German population centres as a ruthless expedient to hasten Hitler’s doom.... The all-out air war on Germany became obvious with the unprecedented assault on the refugee-crowded Saxon capital [of Dresden–Ed.] two weeks ago.”** [Terror bombing too “obvious” for even the psychopathic “jewish” “allies” to continue to deny, and hence this official public admission or confession at long last?–Ed.]

...**Almost at once, however, it was realised [by the British gov’t–Ed.] that if the decision to adopt ruthless terror bombing was held up for public glorification, the question would be asked, When had this decision been reached? Remembering that this was not, as stated, “a long-awaited decision”, but a decision taken nearly three years before, which had been repeatedly and solemnly denied by Ministers of the Crown in Parliament, Commander Brabner, Under Secretary of State for Air, was instructed to repeat these denials and to assure the House of Commons that the statement approved by the Supreme Allied Headquarters in Paris was incorrect. Officially no crime against humanity had been committed. A stringent taboo prevented the publication of details and the matter was quickly forgotten by the public.** [Veale, p. 182-86]

**The Dresden Massacre** [and the British, Amerikan or “allied” policy of mass-murderous, genocidal or “holocaustc” terror-bombing–Ed.] **was the result of the gradual conversion by the Air Force chiefs of the politicians to this primitive conception of [“total”–Ed.] warfare.** During the period between the world wars little progress had been made, but immediately [when–Ed.] **war broke out in September 1939 the [British–Ed.] Air Staff began to clamour for leave to carry their ideas of warfare into practice. When Winston [“bomber”–Ed.] Churchill became Prime Minister in May, 1940, they obtained his permission to adopt a definition of military objectives so wide as to render the term in practice meaningless [see above–Ed.]: their final triumph came two years later with the adoption of the Lindemann Plan which initiated terrorism as a means to victory...** [Veale, p. 193]

\* \* \* \* \*

From Count Leon de Poncins’ Top Secret, ©1975, or “State Secrets: A Documentation of the Secret Revolutionary Mainspring Governing Anglo-American Politics,” as translated from French to English by Timothy Tindal-Robertson; pub. in U.S.A., 1977, (p. 47-49),

...To conclude our analysis of **this policy of terror-bombing aimed at the destruction of civilian populations**, we must briefly examine two points.

First of all, there is the question of responsibility. **Despite all the precautions taken by the British Government and by SHAEF** ["Supreme Headquarters [of the] Allied Expeditionary Force," headed by the psychopathic, mass-murderous Amerikan "jew" (and subsequent president), Dwight D. Eisenhower–Ed.] in order to hide the real truth for as long as possible, **the truth did in the end filter out and** the bombing of Dresden raised indignant reactions in the civilized world. Surprised at their unexpected violence, **the Government sought a scape-goat in the person of Air Marshal Sir Arthur Harris. But the men who were indirectly responsible for the plan behind the scenes were the real culprits, and they were, firstly, Lindemann, who drew up the plan, and then Churchill, who accepted it, and finally the heads of the Government and of SHAEF, all of whom approved the policy at the same time as lying in public that it was being carried out.**

Finally, it remains to consider the efficacy of the terror-bombing campaign. Although it is difficult to arrive at exact figures, **the bombing raids on German towns is estimated to have caused six hundred thousand deaths and eight hundred thousand wounded.**

**The blitz on London**, which lasted several months, **caused fifteen thousand civilian deaths and destroyed five hundred acres of buildings; by contrast the Allied raid on Hamburg caused fifty thousand deaths, and the bombing of Dresden, which lasted uninterrupted for thirty-six hours, killed one hundred and thirty-five thousand people destroyed more than three thousand acres of buildings. If the secret intent of these raids was an act of vengeance to satisfy the Jews by killing the greatest possible number of civilians, they may be regarded as a success.** But they were a total failure as regards the following as regards the following two vital points:

In the first instance, **the promoters of the policy of terror-bombing intended that it should be used as a means to force the German population to sue for mercy, or even to provoke a rising against Hitler. On the contrary, it had the completely opposite effect, and only served to galvanize the Germans into a greater spirit of resistance and determination to stand by their Fuhrer** [i.e. "Leader"–Ed.].

**The revelation of the Morgenthau and Kauffman plans** [both "jewish"-Amerikans who plotted to respectively enslave and to sterilize (i.e. annihilate, genocide or "holocaust") the entire German race or nation–Ed.], **the Declaration of Casablanca, which demanded the unconditional surrender of Germany, the fatal Yalta Agreement, the unconditional support given to the Russians by Roosevelt and Eisenhower, and finally the terror-bombing raids—all these factors served to convince the German people that defeat would spell total annihilation of their country, and accordingly the whole people rose up with a desperate energy and fought to an absolute standstill. As a result, the war was unnecessarily prolonged for a further utterly profitless year, except that hundreds of thousands more men met their death, destruction took place on an appalling scale, but most important of all** [and as [planned by the "jewish" "allies"–Ed.], **this delay enabled the Russians to occupy half of Europe and thereby constitute a permanent menace to western civilization.**

[And therefore the "unnecessarily prolonged" war was not at all "unprofitless" for the mass-murderous and Satanic "jews." For "wars [or world-wars] are the 'jews' harvests." Is this not so?–Ed.]

**Secondly, the bombing raids were supposed to lead indirectly to the destruction of the German war industries.**

...Two factors considerably hampered the German war effort. They were terribly short of food and fuel, whereas the Allies could draw on abundant supplies of either, and secondly, **towards the end of the war the Allies adopted a specialized pattern of bombing raids designed to destroy certain factories of absolutely vital importance:** ball-bearing industries, petrol refineries, scientific research laboratories and test workshops for new [rocket-Ed.] engines such as the V1 and V2 at Peenemunde.

It is obvious that without ball-bearings and petrol one can neither manufacture nor put in service the aircraft, tanks and submarines that are indispensable for modern warfare. **If this policy of specialized raids had been adopted from the outset it would have achieved far greater effect than the terror-bombing, and as a result the war would have been considerably shortened.**

**But those responsible for American policy seem to have been determined to prolong the war to allow the Russians to occupy that half of Europe which had been promised to them by Roosevelt at Yalta.** [Did you hear that, dear readers?—Ed.]

The German writer Karl Bartz has very clearly summed up the question of the efficacy of the terror-bombing in his book Quand le ciel etait en feu :

“One of the key industries at the heart of all the German activity was the production of ball-bearings. If these factories had been destroyed, inevitably Germany would have been paralysed. **No one knows why the Allies hesitated so long before attacking them. Their destruction would certainly have been much more useful than the destruction of three hundred towns** (p. 282). [“Useful” to whom?—Ed.]

**“The Allies could have shortened the war by at least a year and a half if they had wanted to....** [So why didn’t the bastards and servants of their “Uncle” Satan want to?—Ed.] By the end of 1944 petrol shortage was so severe that tanks could no longer be used during the offensive in the Ardennes. Similarly, if centres of fuel production had been attacked at the right moment, the war could have been brought to an end much more swiftly.”

(K. Bartz: Quand le ciel etait en feu, pp. 363—365)

By the end of April 1945, Germany had been invaded on every side, all her principal towns [i.e. cities—Ed.] had been destroyed, and she was cut off from her basic resources. In such a situation it was impossible to continue the struggle, and on 30th April Hitler committed suicide in his bunker at Berlin, and his successor, Grand Admiral Doenitz, signed the order for unconditional surrender demanded by the victors on 7th May, to take effect from midnight 9th May. [de Poncins, pg. 47-49]

(And from that day unto this the Heart of Germany had ceased to beat. Had it not?)

\* \* \* \* \*

## Which Side Really Started the Terror Bombing? and Why? And Which Side Never Really Responded in Kind? and Why not?

(Because it/he/they simply weren’t nearly demonic, Satanic, mass-murderous, “terrible,” “terrifying” or “terroristic” enough to return to their terroristic, anti-Christian, anti-Gentile “jewish” enemies (and their Satanic, “allies,” lackeys and mass-murderous tools) the

homicidal, genocidal, “holocaustic” terror bombings they had so profusely rained down upon them? Because Germans are not demons, “jews,” Americans or Brits?)

On the British Bulldog who Cried “Havoc!” and let Slip his Mass-Murderous Dogs of War:

From James J. Martin’s Revisionist Viewpoints: Essays in a Dissident Historical Tradition; Ralph Myles Pub. Co., Colorado Springs, Colo., U.S.A., 1971; p. 102,

**But who had started it? This was an important question, because at the core of what might be called vulgar propaganda, whichever side “started” any particular maneuver** (be it explosive bullets, gas warfare, tanks, submarine attacks, and the like, as has been seen prior to this time) **was sure to be blamed by the [other-Ed.] later side on the scene, not only for its** [“starting it,” but for the other side’s “finishing it”-Ed.] **prior actions but those of its antagonists in similar enterprise later on.** [If someone hits you, you are perhaps justified in hitting him back, once, maybe twice. (“An eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth,” etc.) But surely not a hundred and one times, or until he “who started it” stops moving, forever. For that’s not justice, but overkill, murder, or mass-murder. How many “eye-teeth,” therefore, do “Uncle” Satan’s anti-Christ “jews” (and their terrible, mass-murderous sinagogic “allies” or lackeys) owe the Christian Germans?...and the Mohammedan Arabs?...or vice-versa?-Ed.] **Another standard staple, particularly of the *ex post facto*** [“after the fact”-Ed.] **vulgar propaganda, was the self-righteous claim that the area bombings were retaliation for German concentration camp excesses, as though the people killed in these massacres from the air were the same people in charge of the concentration camps** [which, by the way, also existed in England, Canada and America-Ed.]. One of the repetitious charges used to counter Vera Brittain, particularly in England, her home, when she headed up the critique of allied area bombing, was that those whom she sought to be spared had undertaken this policy first. **Public opinion was prepared for years to support such action** [i.e. mass-murderous, terror bombing of German civilians-Ed.], **and nothing ever came up which diverted the English and American policy makers from it. But it was a false charge.**

**There is no doubt of the English origin of both strategic bombing, directed ostensibly at military objectives, and area bombing** [i.e. “saturation,” “carpet” or “terror-bombing”-Ed.], **a variant of this, in which the goal was to destroy as much of the enemy’s civilian housing and as many inhabitants as possible, both these kinds of targets being far behind the fighting lines, if any.** Many printed sources by important participants and functionaries who figured in the decisions exist, in which the authors boast of their deeds. [Martin, p. 102]

\* \* \* \* \*

One of the most lyric [?] defenses of the [“allied” terror bombing-Ed.] bombing was by Cousins, editor of the Saturday Review of Literature, though his eloquence was largely spent in embellishing **the crude** [English-Ed.] **schoolboy argument that the enemy “started it” (citing Warsaw** [Poland-Ed.], **Rotterdam** [Holland-Ed.], **London, and Coventry** [England-Ed.] **as examples of communities devastated by obliteration strategic bombing), and that what was happening in Germany now was merely just retribution.** [Martin, p. 115]

It was not until...**it became necessary to find justification for such horrors as** took place on that night when the most densely populated parts of **Hamburg** became a roaring furnace in which thousands of men, women and children were throwing themselves into the canals to escape the frightful heat. **The stock apology then put**

forward was that it was only a reprisal for the German bombing of Warsaw and Rotterdam. Mr. Spaight [Principal Secretary to the [British] Air Ministry, in his Bombing Vindicated; London, 1944; p. 43)–Ed.] dismisses this argument with the contempt it deserves. “When Warsaw and Rotterdam were bombed,” he points out, “German armies were at their gates. The [German–Ed.] air bombardment was an operation of the tactical offensive.” Captain Liddell Hart [Revolution in Warfare; London, 1946; p. 72)–Ed.] accepts the same view. “Bombing did not take place,” he writes, “until the German troops were fighting their way into these cities and thus conformed to the old rules of siege bombardment.” [Veale, p. 171]

No account of the reasons for the grudging bit of headway made by the campaign to halt this program [of British/“allied” terror bombing or “holocausting” of German civilians–Ed.] in 1944 is understandable without some knowledge of **the success of popular, but not official, Anglo-American propaganda in convincing the vast majority that, even if the results of strategic bombing, particularly of Germany, were dubious, at least it was justified because the Germans had commenced [or started–Ed.] it all, and therefore this was justifiable retaliation, a primitive level of rationalization where most of the talk and print on the subject stayed.**

A well-exploited saga of the early war years was the German bombings of Warsaw, Rotterdam, London, Coventry, and Stalingrad, even though the first, second, and last of these cities were under assault and also were defended, and the activities of the German air force were tactical operations in conjunction with ground fighting in a war zone. London and Coventry were strategically bombed by the Germans in 1940-1941, and the issue as understood by almost all at the time plainly depended on a [Ed.] mass-murderous, genocidal, “holocaustic” “jewish,” British, American, hate-] **propaganda insisting that in all cases unprovoked attacks had been made on these communities, and that therefore what was to happen to some seventy German cities of 100,000 population or higher was at worst only retribution.**

Rotterdam [Holland–Ed.], attacked in the second week of May, 1940, as German armies were beginning their sweep of the Low Countries, was the first to be exploited. (A certain amount of attention to the German attack on Warsaw had preceded the whole affair, in September, 1939.) **British apologists for their subsequent demolition of Germany frequently cited this [i.e. Rotterdam–Ed.] as the precedent for their action, rather than the Germans inflicted upon English towns in the spring of 1940 and thereafter [actually the fall of 1940, (beginning on Sept. 6, London), and merely in token retaliation for what the Brits had been doing since May 11 of that year (see Veale above)–Ed.], again daintily avoiding the distinction between bombing of cities under direct attack and the bombing of cities of miles behind the fighting lines, [and/or–Ed.] the concentration of fire upon military objectives as against the annihilation of whole communities as [“jewish,” British, American or “allied”–Ed.] policy, with hardly any pretense of special attention to targets of military importance.**

But Rotterdam received the first major publicity, and the numbers game properly begins here. Over two months after the attack, the Royal Netherlands Legation in Washington with casual aplomb announced to the world via the New York Times that German air attackers had killed 30,000 people in seven and one-half minutes. Americans in particular were horrified by this story, and it became part of the folklore in Anglo-American circles, and has actually been little jarred by the research of a quarter of a century, though David Irving, while writing his The Destruction of Dresden [London, 1963, pp. 24-25)–Ed.], obtained figures from Rotterdam authorities in 1962 that the verifiable loss of life was 980, not 30,000 and that most of these persons were killed in fires which were set by the bombing, which

was to prove to be the case in German cities also in subsequent years. As Irving says, “Dramatic exaggerations die hard—not least those that are generated in the dire necessity of war-time morale-boosting.”

**In the summer of 1940 came the [“strategic” or non-terroristic–Ed.] German attacks on England, particularly the blows struck to London and Coventry. In the case of the latter city, from the popular press stories and radio broadcasts which blanketed America, one might have gathered that the Germans had bombed this place only to destroy its cathedral and its civilian population. Again the account suggested immense loss of life, while it turned out that a total of 380 persons were killed. Almost always unmentioned was that Coventry was a major center of vital war production industries, many of which were destroyed or damaged, including twelve which were engaged in military aircraft manufacturing.**

**In the case of London the volume of reportage was astounding, Americans in particular were able to start off each day listening the sepulchral voice of Edward R. Murrow describing new destruction day by day, with the impression being gained by most [“jew”-deceived or duped–Ed.] listeners that hardly anything of the town existed by the end of 1940.**

**One would never have known that at the same time the Germans were making nightly visits to bomb London, similar excursions by the Royal Air Force to Italian but principally German cities were taking place, but the last thing available to the yet-uninvolved Americans was a correct picture of the total situation.** [Is this not true even to this day, dear reader?—thus necessitating this review of the historical literature. But then again Americans don’t want to see the truth, do they? They’re not about the truth, are they? And why else but because the truth doesn’t serve them, further them, flatter them. Americans have as little use or love for the truth as an ugly old witch for the mirror (of truth)—and who yet demands of all the world to be hailed as “the fairest of them all.” Is this not so? And is this hateful aversion to truth not true of the bloody Brits as well? (“The bloody Church of England, in chains of History, requests Your earthly Presence at the vicarage for tea.”—(Jethro Tull)—Ed.) **Nor would one have guessed that the exaggeration of the damage was on a scale just short of breath-taking.**

Especially interesting in connection with this was a report made in the Saturday Review of Literature late in 1943 [Dec. 11, pp. 14-15)–Ed.] by one of its house book reviewers, Henry C. Wolfe, just back from a visit in London. “If you go to London,” revealed Mr. Wolfe, “you will not find a city in ruins. You can walk from Picadilly to Oxford Circus without seeing a building that shows marks of the blitz. Or from Trafalgar Sqaure to the house of Parliament and hardly come across a reminder that the Luftwaffe has been over London.” This was rather strange news for a recent eye-witness to be relating, while still trying to tell an American reading public that England was under “concentrated devastation.” [Martin, p. 98-100]

\* \* \* \* \*

In Sept., 1939 England and France (bolstered, encouraged and guaranteed by the secret promises of the Amerikan president and commander-in-chief, Franklin Delano Rosenvelt) declared war on Germany in response to their invasion of Poland to retrieve their Prussian territories (and relieve their persecuted German citizens) annexed by Poland, with “allied” approval, after World War I, and via the “jewish” “peace” dictates at Versailles.

(And note Poland’s even greater annexation of German territories and citizens after World War II, again with “allied” approval. Or what the hell is Poland doing in Germany? They should get the hell out!)

From David Irving’s The Destruction of Dresden, 1963; p. 17-24,

## **DRESDEN: THE PRECEDENTS**

**CHAPTER I: THEY HAVE SOWN THE WIND** [...and they [the Germans] shall reap the whirlwind!" This was an "allied" propaganda slogan intended to slander or blame their German victims for their "allied" terror bombings of German cities, and their deliberate mass-murders or genocide of German civilians.—Ed.]

**Air historians trace the earliest roots of the area offensive against Germany to the events of 10th May 1940.**

Prior to this date, aerial attacks had been delivered by the Royal Air Force only against capital ships, bridges or gun installations. **In the Nazi invasion of Poland in September 1939 the bombardment of Warsaw by the Luftwaffe which (inflicted civilian casualties in that city before it surrendered) created a precedent** [or excuse—Ed.] **in the British view.** It is to be observed that there is no international law specifically covering aerial warfare, though the Nuremberg International Military Tribunal accepted that certain articles of the Hague Convention were applicable to war in the air.

[But not applicable to the "allies" within their own "courtroom," of course. And so of course the truly guilty were therein never judged, much less punished, because the truly guilty (and yet victorious) were "allied" together as the judges, the "convictors," the condemners and the "supremely judicial" murderers of the leaders and defenders of their "holocausted" German victims.

And the Jewnighted Nations of course approved and applauded this Satanic injustice. For the "jewish" "allies" **were** the original "united nations." And the five permanent members of the Jew N's imperial "security council" were (and remain) the victors of their world war II against Germany and Japan—i.e. Amerika, England, Soviet Union/Russia, France and China.—Ed.]

Warships in the Kiel Canal had been attacked as early as 4th September 1939, but it was not until the night of 19th-20th March 1940 that the first bombs were dropped on German soil, when a seaplane base on Sylt was bombed; three days earlier the Luftwaffe had raided the Orkney Islands [north Scotland—Ed.], killing a British civilian.

The Royal Air Force had nevertheless continued to restrict its operations over Germany to "nickelling"—dropping leaflets on the Reich, a pursuit which continued up to the evening of **10th May 1940, the day when the German invasion of France and the Low Countries began** [Yes, but only preemptively because the English were about to invade Europe, which they did. Likewise the German occupation of Norway.—Ed.], **but also the day on which Neville Chamberlain, a pronounced opponent of the use of the bomber as a weapon of terrorisation, was replaced by Winston Churchill.**

**...on the evening of 10th May the British Government made a formal declaration: while** recalling that on 1st September 1939 they had given an assurance to the President of the still, nominally, neutral United States that **the Royal Air Force had been given orders prohibiting the bombing of civilian populations**—an assurance which it must be stated the British Prime Minister [N. Chamberlain—Ed.] up to 10th May 1940 had rigidly observed—**they now publicly proclaimed that they "reserved the right to themselves in taking any action they considered appropriate" in the event of German air raids on civilian populations.** [Irving, p. 17]

...[on May 14, 1940—Ed.] the Luftwaffe launched its most ill-famed [as in "misrepresented" or "slandered"?—Ed.] raid of the whole war, during the battle for courageous **Rotterdam** [Holland—Ed.]. **While...this does not fall within the concept of an area attack** [i.e. "saturation," "carpet" or "terror" bombing (of an entire area) as distinct from "precision" and "strategic" bombing of specific non-civilian or military targets—Ed.], **nevertheless any account of the prelude to the bombing war would be grossly incomplete without a description of the circumstances influencing British**

public opinion towards the later overwhelming attacks of the Royal Air Force on German towns.

**The war-time Prime Minister himself** [i.e. Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill–Ed.] afterwards referred to **“the long prepared treachery and brutality which culminated in the massacre of Rotterdam, where many thousands of Dutch were slaughtered.”** [Irving, p. 19]

[Sixty “He.111” German bombers–Ed.] ...**delivered a very concentrated attack on the designated aiming points** [which were strategic, non-civilian, military targets–Ed.].

Right at the start of the raid, the main water supply was cut off [deliberately?–Ed.], and as earlier tactical air raids had largely drained the canal system, the weak local fire-service was unable to cope with the spreading fires, especially as one of the buildings most severely damaged was a margarine factory, from which streams of burning oil emerged. **In fact, the Germans, in keeping with the nature of an** [“strategic,” military–Ed.] **air raid on gun positions, had used no incendiaries** [fire-bombs–Ed.]. Ninety-four tons of bombs had been dropped: (1,150 hundred-pound and 158 five-hundred-pound bombs); by comparison, close to 9,000 tons of high explosive and incendiaries were dropped on the inland Ruhr port of Duisburg [Germany–Ed.] during the triple blow of 14th October 1944, for example. [Irving, p. 22]

**By war-time standards, the casualties were not large: some 980 people had been killed** [including Dutch soldiers?–Ed.], **according to figures supplied (1962) by the Rotterdam statistical authorities, mostly civilians** [There’s the answer :Yes–Ed.], **in fires which ravaged over 1.1 square miles of the most important part of the city; the conflagration was still burning in parts when the hastily organised German fire-fighting regiments under General Rumpf arrived some days later. Twenty thousand buildings were destroyed by the fires, and 78,000 were made homeless.**

**With the fall of Rotterdam** and the rest of Holland, apart from Zeeland province, **it remained to the Allies only to gather what** [demonic, spiritual, slanderous–Ed.] **profit they could from the ruins. On 16th July the first in what was to develop into a virulent propaganda war-in-in-the-air were fired: the Royal Netherlands Legation in Washington issued a statement,... The statement declared:**

**When Rotterdam was bombed the Dutch army’s capitulation had already been handed to the German Command. The crime against Rotterdam was a deliberate fiendish assault on unarmed, undefended civilians. In the seven-and-a-half minutes that the planes were over the city, 30,000 people died—4,000 unoffending men, women and children per minute.**

The [gullible–Ed.] Americans were horrified, and members of the British and American Air Force Film Units [who must have witnessed from the air the actual minimal damage done by the Germans to Rotterdam, as well as that “holocaust” fire which later rained down upon the German cities (and her “unarmed and undefended” civilians) by the genuinely “fiendish” British and American Air Forces.–Ed.] must have blushed when they read how “the final ghoulish touch to this man-made inferno of death was that the Germans made aerial motion pictures of their handiwork.”

**It should not have been necessary to have gone in such detail into the mounting and execution of the German air attack on Rotterdam in a book whose purpose is to describe the delivery of the triple-blow attack on Dresden five years later. Inevitably, one is tempted to rely on the contemporary** [i.e. racist, slanderous or “jewish”–Ed.] **stories of what the Germans did, especially in supporting the suggestion that in Dresden (and the other major tragedies of the air offensive**

against Germany) the German people were only reaping the whirlwind their leaders had sown in 1940. **Dramatic exaggerations die hard** [Did you hear the one about six million “jews” being found dead in German prison camps, dear reader?—Ed.]—**not least those that are generated in the dire necessity of war-time morale-boosting.** [But if so, then where are the German “exaggerations” against their enemies, the mass-murderous “jewish” “allies”? Therefore, say rather that slanderous fruit falls from none other than its very own tree.—Ed.] The objective historical researcher must, however, record only what really happened. Otherwise he performs disservice for posterity.

**Waiving moral questions, whether it was a tactical operation or—as was claimed at Nuremberg—solely designed to terrorise the civilian population, bombardment was not illegal under the terms of Article 25 of the 1907 Hague Convention, to which both Britain and Germany were signatories: Rotterdam was not an undefended town.**

**But such considerations seem purely academic against the background of the Nazis’ criminal seizure of neutral Holland.** [?] [Irving, p. 23-24]

**As a tactical, close-support raid the assault [on Rotterdam—Ed.] had been overwhelming; as a strategic, “terror-raid” the attack could not have attained its objective more dramatically.** [?] **The German leaders however insisted to the end that the raid had been purely tactical in its aims. “Was not your purpose to secure a strategic advantage by terrorising the people of Rotterdam?” questioned Sir David Maxwell-Fyfe [certainly a disinterested, unbiased, non-slanderous and truth-seeking British official?—Ed.] of Field Marshal Kesselring at Nuremberg in 1946. “That I can deny with clearest conscience,” Kesseiring replied. “We had only one task: to provide artillery support for Student’s troops.” As a defence witness, he could hardly say anything else.** [?] [Irving, p. 23]

(But this assumes the “terrible” guilt of the German defendant! Surely it is not Godness but Darkness which fears the “terrible” Truth and the divine Light. Hence censorship.)

(Student was the German leader of 400 paratroopers of the 22nd Airborne Division who had appealed for bomber support against potential Dutch artillery shelling of their vulnerable positions within the city.)

And David Irving, unlike his fellow-countryman, F.J.P. Veale, is obviously a biased, anti-Germanic Brit, which is the norm over there...as within “jewish”-Amerika.

And this mass-murderous English hatred for the Germans (as evinced in their slanders and terror bombings) is self-hateful and ironic. For the land of the Kelts which the Germanic Angles conquered (in the 5th and 6th centuries A.D.) they named “Angleland.” Hence the first Englishmen were in fact northern sea-coast Germans: Angles, Saxons, Jutes. And even their current “royal” family, the Windsors, are Germans. So go figure why Englishmen would, could or should hate the Germans more than they hate themselves? (The “jewish” influence, perhaps?)

\* \* \* \* \*

From J.J. Martin, p. 103-06,

A book which appeared early in 1944, by J. M. Spaight, principal assistant secretary of the Air Ministry, Bombing Vindicated, was the first inkling for many that such a policy was of English origin. **Mr. Spaight, who launched the incredible slogan, “The bomber is the savior of civilization,” dated the decision to engage in such warfare from May, 1940, and bluntly declared, “We began to bomb objectives on the German mainland before the Germans began to bomb objectives on the British mainland.” This is a historical fact which has been publicly admitted. Spaight went on to explain why it had been suppressed from general news so long: “...because we were doubtful about the psychological effect of propagandist distortion [?] of**

**the truth that it was we who started the strategic offensive, we have shrunk from giving our great decision [of May, 1940] the publicity which it deserved. That surely was a mistake. It was a splendid decision.**" [quotes from Spaight's Bombing Vindicated, pgs. 68 & 74)–Ed.]

But Spaight was far from alone, nor was he first. As far back as September 13, 1941, in the London New Leader, the celebrated military analyst B. H. Liddell Hart had the following to say [as quoted by James McCawley, "The Bombing of Civilians," Catholic World, (October, 1945), pp. 11-19, (15)–Ed.]:

On May 10, 1940, the German offensive in the West was launched [in belated response to the French and British declarations of war the preceding Sept., and in reluctant but preemptive response to their intended and imminent attack upon Germany–Ed.] and the Royal Air Force in natural reply, launched attacks on the communications of the invading enemy, first in the invading [(sic), invaded?–Ed.] territory, and then extending into Western Germany.

On the night of **May 17, the policy of confining air operations to what might be roughly described as the battle zone was abandoned, and air attacks were made against targets at Hamburg and Bremen; on the following nights targets at Hannover were attacked. This new policy of attacking military objectives in the interior of Germany was continued** in the weeks that followed.

On **May 24, the Germans dropped their first bombs on English soil, although only a few of them, at scattered places on the East Coast.** [But was this merely a reactionary and retaliatory warning, as if to say, "Two can play this uncivilized and murderous game of yours, so stop it"?–Ed.] **This was not repeated, however, until British night raiding had been in process for a further three weeks.**

**On the night of June 17, the first considerable German air raid on England took place—and then continued nightly, although on a moderate scale, and with evident care to confine the aim to military objectives.** In August the massed German daylight air offensive was launched and defeated.

...**One need not belabor this matter; there is a substantial literature** which is no longer squeamish **about the issue** [of "allied" terror bombing–Ed.], and it is freely discussed. Spaight's book [Bombing Vindicated, 1944–Ed.]; Bomber Command by Air Marshal Sir Arthur Harris; Liddell Hart's The Revolution in Warfare; F. J. P. Veale's Advance to Barbarism; General Fuller's history of World War II [The Second World War, 1948)–Ed.]; Irving's book on the bombings of Dresden, and many other books by English writers [J.J. Martin is American.–Ed.] go into various phases of this early English strategic precision bombing and the nature of the German retaliation. **The wonder is that there is so little general admission of it even in most advanced intellectual centers in the United States; it is to assumed that the mass of the citizenry will continue to incubate the same old fables, mainly as a consequence of having them drummed home weekly via repeated showing of twenty-five-year-old propaganda moving pictures on television.**

**Mr. Spaight told the world about the "splendid decision" of May, 1940, in England to engage in strategic "precision" bombing, in 1944. It took somewhat longer for other Englishmen to reveal when the decision was made to move on to the far more comprehensive "area" bombing.** There was little doubt the "precision" stage of bombing was "a grotesque failure," in the words of General Fuller, if the object was the ruination of German war industry.... Hence, **the move to area bombing, to destroy the homes and if possible the persons and families of industrial workers in Germany,** was fully as much a failure if set against persisting production of the

[German–Ed.] means whereby to fight. **But one must credit the program** [of British/American/“allied” terror bombing–Ed.] **with awesome success if the standard is the demolition of the built up centers of major cities and the massacre of civilians;**... It is interesting to note how **the top radio, newspaper, and magazine propagandists in the** [barbarous, mass-murderous, terroristic, anti-Christian or “jewish”–Ed.] **United States, who took such delight in reporting all this destruction and carnage** [of Germans–Ed.] **and gloried in it as evidence of American “might,”** shuddered so violently at the end of 1945 over the possibility of a new [and atomic/nuclear–Ed.] war resulting in the “destruction of civilization.” Apparently they looked on the tens of millions killed and mutilated, and the hundreds of billions of dollars in property damage of 1939-1945, as not having resulted in the slightest in the “destruction” of civilization, but in its saving (*vide* [L., “see”–Ed.] Spaight and the role of bombing planes). [“The bomber is the savior of civilization.”–Ed.] **By such standards, a third World War could only “save civilization” that much more.** [Martin, p. 103-06]

\* \* \* \* \*

From Richard Harwood’s Nuremberg and Other War Crimes Trials: A New Look; Historical Review Press, England, 1978, p. 61-64,

### **APPENDIX B: BOMBING OF CIVILIANS**

**One of the most widespread myths about the bombing campaigns of the Second World War is that the Germans started the bombing of cities. In fact it was the Royal Air Force which deliberately started this form of aerial warfare, and the Luftwaffe’s blitzkrieg was only their reply to it.** [Did you hear that, dear reader?–Ed.]

In discussing the bombing of cities, one must leave aside the bombardment of cities, which is totally different. **A city is bombarded, either from the air or by artillery, in support of approaching troops, whereas a place is bombed for no other reason than to destroy as many buildings and kill as many people as possible. The Nazis** [i.e. Germans–Ed.] **were put on trial for allegedly deliberately killing Jewish civilians. Yet the British were not put on trial for deliberately murdering German civilians during aerial bombing raids on civilian targets, such as Dresden.** [That’s because they (and their mass-murderous “allies”) were the unjust and murderous judges.–Ed.]

**During the war approximately 537,000 German civilians were killed by Allied bombing. In Britain, an estimated 60,000 civilians were killed by German bombing. Sixty-one German cities, with a total population of 25 million, were totally destroyed, In Britain, large-scale devastation was limited to the central parts of London, Coventry and Plymouth.**

**The British decision to provoke these tit-for-tat murders,** described by military expert and historian Capt. B. H. Liddell Hart as “the most uncivilised method of warfare the world has known since the Mongol invasions” was taken in secret. Mr. J. M. Spaight, a former Principal Secretary at the Air Ministry writes:

**Because we were doubtful about the psychological effect of propagandist distortion of the truth that it was we who started the strategic bombing offensive, we have shrunk from giving our great decision of May 11th, 1940 the publicity it deserved. That, surely, was a mistake. It was a splendid decision.**

That night, eighteen Whitley bombers attacked railway stations in western Germany. On the 15th, 99 planes were despatched to bomb the Ruhr. More raids were made against Hamburg, Bremen, the Ruhr area again and Frankfurt. In June, Bomber

Command was preparing to use a special incendiary pellet to burn German crops and forests.

**Throughout June [1940–Ed.], the Germans refrained from responding in like manner. Finally, they reacted to stop the raids, by bombing British airfields, to prevent the RAF [“Royal Air Force”–Ed.] taking off. But to Churchill, the moving force behind the new policy, this was not enough. The Germans must be provoked into bombing cities, so that the British people would really hate the enemy who, up until then, had appeared rather remote. On 25 August, 81 bombers were despatched in the first of a series of night raids on Berlin. It was not until 7 September [1940–Ed.], three [actually four–Ed.] months after the first British attack, that the Luftwaffe replied in kind, with an attack on London. A few weeks later, the Germans took the initiative and proposed a bombing truce, although the Luftwaffe still had an enormous advantage in bombing strength. [Did you hear that, dear reader?–Ed.] But the British [led in their “finest hour” to their empire’s end by their British Bulldog, their victorious P.M.–Ed.] wanted no such truce. Heavier bombers for the RAF were already under production, and the blitz against Germany was stepped up. The German raids against Britain dwindled in the spring of 1941, and all but ceased as the Luftwaffe was shifted towards the Eastern front; the Germans, it seemed were more interested in fighting and destroying Communism than massacring British civilians. The Germans did conduct reprisal raids on British historic cities, Coventry and Plymouth, as retaliation for the RAF incendiary [or fire-bomb–Ed.] attacks on Rostock, Lubeck and Cologne in the spring of 1942. But apart from these isolated attacks, there was little strategic bombing of Britain until the arrival of the first robot bombs and rocket bombs—the infamous doodlebugs—in 1944.**

**Although the British had originally envisaged destroying the enemy’s industrial power through attacks on specific targets, it was soon found that these were difficult, or impossible, to hit at night. It was an easy progression to move from accidental bombing of civilian targets to deliberate civilian bombing.**

**In September 1943 bomber captains were told to attack any target in a built-up area if they could not find the target specified. When Mannheim was attacked in December 1943, the orders were to concentrate “on the centre of the town.” Prime Minister Winston [“Bomber”–Ed.] Churchill was a strong proponent of bombing people as people. In July 1941, according to the RAF [(British) “Royal Air Force”–Ed.] official historians [The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; Sir Charles Webster and Dr. Noble Frankland; H.M. (“His Majesty’s”) Stationary Office, London, 1961–Ed.], he was an “enthusiast...for the mass bombardment of German towns” and in August “repeatedly” urged it. In January 1941, when oil installations were named as the principal target, he “regretted that oil plants were for the most part removed from the centres of population.” [Did you hear that, dear reader?–Ed.]**

**...In July [1941–Ed.], bombers were ordered to make “heavy, concentrated and continuous area attacks on large working class and industrial areas.”**

**...Bomber Command rose to its new vocation, mass extermination from the sky, with a series of giant attacks using new incendiary [fire-storm-creating–Ed.] techniques.** The ancient and historic city of Lubeck was chosen; although it was “a relatively unimportant place, it was one of the most inflammable parts of Germany” wrote the official R.A.F. historians. A large area of the city was burnt to the ground on the night of 28 March, including the cathedral and numerous other historic buildings. The place went up like a tinder-box, on account of the old, narrow streets and the heavy timbering.

[And by the way, the very same incineration or “incendiation” was demonically visited upon all Japan’s cities, via mass-murderous and incendiary Americans. (They too had been made of wood.)—Ed.]

Lubeck’s twin-town of Rostock met the same fate a few weeks later. Like Lubeck, according to the official historians [named above—Ed.], Rostock “was inflammable because it contained many mediaeval buildings and again, like Lubeck, it was only lightly defended.” In a series of four night attacks, 60 per cent of the city was destroyed by fire. There was so little defence of the towns that bombers flew as low as 2000 feet.

On 30 May [1942?—Ed.], **the first thousand-bomber raid was despatched against Cologne. Again, a giant fire-storm was created which could be seen for 150 miles.** A whole square mile of the city centre was burnt to the ground.

**Throughout 1943, the destruction campaign was stepped up.** Attacks were aimed at the cities of the Ruhr river area: Dusseldorf, Essen, Duisburg and also Cologne again. With the exception of the Krupp works at Essen, **these attacks were aimed directly at the centre of the town because it would burn more easily. The destruction of factories, according to Air Marshal Harris, “could be regarded as a bonus.”**

In the month of **July [1943—Ed.], Hamburg was bombed solidly for four days; the Americans by day and the British by night.** [See McLaughlin’s account below.—Ed.] Techniques had so progressed that 400 planes in 15 minutes could drop as many bombs as 11000 planes had dropped on Cologne in an hour. To make things worse, Hamburg was in the grip of an extraordinary heat wave which used even night temperatures above 90 degrees. As a result, the **incendiary bombs raised a giant fire typhoon which destroyed ten square miles of the most densely-populated section of the city. More than 48,000 inhabitants were killed; three-fifths being female.**

**Berlin received the same treatment from November 1943 into 1944.** In the spring of 1944 the attacks waned, as the bombers were put to use supporting the Allied invasion of Normandy [on the north-west French coast—Ed.].

**...But the most destructive example of this “terror bombing”, as RAF historians described it, was yet to come. In February 1944 was decided to bomb Dresden, in eastern Germany, a beautiful baroque city whose normal population of half a million had been swollen by hundreds of thousands of refugees fleeing from the Soviet advance, now only 70 miles to the east.** The city was undefended. Sir Robert Saundby, deputy commander of Bomber Command, could not believe the order to bomb when it came though. He was so disturbed by it that he queried it with the Air Ministry. His message was forwarded to Churchill in Yalta. Anxious to impress the Soviets, Churchill replied that Dresden should be bombed at the first opportunity.

**The RAF [(British) Royal Air Force—Ed.] struck on the night of 13 February, with a carefully prepared plan which envisaged the raising of a gigantic fire-storm such as the one which engulfed Hamburg by chance. The target area, which was the centre of the city, was first marked out by indicator bombs. The first indicator was dropped on the largest hospital complex in Saxony—from a height of less than 800 feet. This was followed by a bombing attack which carpeted the area and left the city in flames. The second attack, chiefly with thermite incendiary [or fire—Ed.] bombs, was set for three hours later, so that the firefighters who would arrive from other cities to fight the blaze, would themselves become victims. [Did you get that, N.Y.C. fire-fighters?—Ed.] There was no opposition at all; no German fighter planes and no anti-aircraft guns. The city was a “sitting duck.” The fire-storm could be seen from 200 miles away.**

**Next day 1350 USAAF [American Air Force—Ed.] Flying Fortresses attacked the city in daylight, even though the flames were still burning. Accompanying fighter planes had instructions to drop to roof-top level and strafe [i.e. machine-gun—Ed.] “targets of opportunity”. They opened fire on the masses of people jamming the**

**roads out of Dresden** and almost anything else in sight. **The river bank, already piled with corpses, to which survivors had also fled from the flames, was a special target.** A group of children from the famous Kreuzkirche choir were strafed in Tiergartenstrasse. British PoWs, who had been released from their burning camps, were also machine-gunned. **One plane flew so low in its efforts to strafe the survivors that it collided with a wagon and exploded.**

...That night, the British air-crews, who had only had six hours' sleep after the Dresden attack, were given instructions for another Chemnitz raid. Thus No. 1 Group crews were informed:

"Tonight your target will be **Chemnitz** [30 miles west of Dresden-Ed.]. **We are going there to attack the refugees who are gathering there, especially after last night's attack on Dresden.**"

No. 3 Group crews were briefed:

**"You are going there tonight to finish off any refugees who may have escaped from Dresden."**

730 000 incendiary bombs were dropped on Chemnitz that night, but with only minor damage compared to **the Dresden holocaust.** [A "holocaust" is a "jewish" "burnt offering" to their god and Father, Satan the Devil. (John 8:44) "because fire is the Devil's only friend."-Ed.]. On their way back, the RAF flyers could see the flames of **Dresden** still ablaze. In fact, the **city burned for seven days and eight nights**, according to the diary of a British prisoner of war there.

...Many of the [Dresden-Ed.] victims were so shrivelled and charred that they had to be literally pried off the asphalt, and then levered apart. Many of the corpses had shrivelled to less than half their normal size. These kind of remains had to be shovelled into paper sacks, gathered from a nearby cement works.

The task of identifying, counting and burying victims of a holocaust ["burnt offering" (of humans to the "allied" "jewish" god, their Father or "Uncle" Satan)-Ed.] of this scale remained a daunting one. A special bureau was set up to try to keep track. But eventually only estimates of the number of dead could be made, for identification was slowing down burial, with the consequent danger of disease. Bodies were stacked up in piles in the city streets and on the railway lines to be taken away in horse-drawn carts and buried in mass graves outside the city.

But the task was so great that police lorries [trucks-Ed.] had to be called in from as far away as Berlin to cope. Weeks passed, and still the task was unfinished. The stench of rotting flesh pervaded the town. Unusually large rats were seen scurrying about amongst the ruins, their coats streaked with quick-lime.

Eventually, the authorities had to start cremating bodies in the streets. The area around the Atmarkt ["ground zero"-Ed.] was cordoned off and vast funeral pyres were made, using girders and bricks from wrecked buildings as a hearth. 9000 bodies were disposed of in this way.

**Seven or eight large buckets of wedding rings, mostly gold, had been taken from the bodies, to assist in identification. The rings, worth nearly a million pounds, were taken by the Red Army as war loot when they invaded Dresden on 8 May** [1945-Ed.]. The 300 clerks who worked in the identification bureau were evicted from their offices as the Soviets took over. During an interview with the bureau director, **the Soviet commander** insisted that the Allied air forces could not possibly be so effective a weapon of war, **refused to accept the director's estimate of 135,000 dead, and calmly struck off the first digit.**

The raid on Dresden has gone down in our history books as the deadliest ever bombing raid. (According to official statistics, the fire-bombing of Tokyo killed 84,000 and the atomic bomb dropped on Hiroshima 71,000.)

[And how many died in New York's "twin towers of world-trade" in 2001? Less than 3,000? All together now: "Aaaawwwwe"!-Ed.]

**Possibly it was the sheer magnitude of the massacre which inhibited the Allies from prosecuting the Nazis for organising the blitz on London: the double-standard involved would have been just too obvious.** [Juuuust tooooo obvious! Isn't it, dear reader?—Ed.] **But not it seems to the Soviets** [or the ever-whining Americans—Ed.], **who demanded at Nuremberg that Goring be charged with such a crime. They argued: “The German attacks had been the work of Nazi war criminals, who had rained death on innocent workers and their wives and children. The Allied attacks, on the other hand, had been carried out by the avenging forces of democracy in order to seek out the Fascist beasts in their lairs and stamp out imperialism and Nazism.”** [Harwood, p. 61-64]

Where have I heard the like of that hateful phrase before? Oh yes! Stalin's propaganda minister, Ilya Ehrenberg, a “jew,” had thus instructed the bloody red Soviet Army upon their invasion of Germany: **“Kill, In Germany, nothing is guiltless. Neither the living nor the yet unborn.... Crush forever in its den the fascist beast. Violently break the racial pride of the German women. Ravish [rape—Ed.] them as booty. Kill, you gallant Red soldiers—KILL!”**

This “red terror” was the “allied” (i.e. “jewish”) “liberation” of Europe. And this “red terror” was doubtless that “freedom from fear” which the “jewish”-Soviet-American president and “commander-in-chief,” Roosevelt, had promised all humanity four years earlier as one of his magnanimous “four freedoms,” and doubtless for which pious cause he and his counterpart, Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill, had “diplomatically schemed for years to start their World War II for their concealed “jewish” masters, the SuperNazi “central” banksters.

\* \* \* \* \*

From Roosevelt's annual message to Congress, Jan. 6, 1941:

In the future days, which we seek to make secure, we look forward to a world founded upon four essential human freedoms.

**The first is freedom of speech and expression**—everywhere in the world. [But not for Roosevelt's critics, e.g., whom he “legally” persecuted for years. (See his “sedition trials.”) All his accused were “acquitted” after his “war of aggression” was over.—Ed.]

**The second is freedom of every person to worship God in his own way**—everywhere in the world. [And this clearly includes the freedom of Satanic “jews,” and their demonic Gentile “allies” “everywhere in the world,” to “holocaust” hundreds of thousands of Germans (and/or Japanese) to their common “God,” Satan the Devil.—Ed.]

**The third is freedom from want**—which, translated into world terms, means economic understandings which will secure to every nation a healthy peacetime life for its inhabitants—everywhere in the world. [Does this mean that Roosevelt was officially and publicly advocating, e.g., honest, debt-free, token-money instead of the evil, predatory “jewish” money of his evil, predatory “jewish” masters? (The correct answer is “No, of course not.”—Ed.)]

**The fourth is freedom from fear**—which, translated into world terms, means a world-wide reduction of armaments to such a point and in such thorough fashion that no nation [except for his imperial, supernational or SuperNazi Amerika, of course—Ed.] will be in a position to commit an act of physical aggression against any neighbor—anywhere in the world.

These false and sanctimonious words from the very (false) man who was secretly working on the atomic bomb; and the unknown, uncredited and uncelebrated architect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, (on Dec. 7 1941), which finally (and “infameously”) launched that world war which he and Churchill had secretly conspired for years to get started; the “liberator” of Eastern Europe—(along with his good friend, ally, collaborator and “comrade”, Josef Stalin); the “jewish”-American hero of Dresden, Hamburg, Tokyo, etc., etc.,

etc., along with his “supreme commander” and fellow “jew,” Dwight D. Eisenhower—not to neglect Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill.

How can there be “freedom from fear” so long as such a Satanic “jewish” “beast” as this stalks and terrorizes God’s Gentile globe?

\* \* \* \* \*

The general attack [on Dresden (on Feb. 13-14, 1945)–Ed.] had lasted for thirty-six hours without ceasing, and the massacre had been horrifying. The town had been choked with refugees, but **the heat was so great that most of the bodies and even the buildings had been liquefied. It is impossible to estimate the number of dead with precision, but it is somewhere between one hundred and twenty and two hundred thousand.** The [official city–Ed.] figure of one hundred and thirty-five thousand would seem nearest to the truth. **It was the greatest single massacre in all European history, and on this level at least, the** [mass-murderous, British, “jewish,” “allied”–Ed.] **Lindemann plan had proved its efficiency**, although, as we shall see, it was a failure in every other aspect [but the unspoken one which mattered most to the Satanic “allies,” the mass-murder, “holocaust” or genocide of Germans–Ed.]. The area of total destruction covered three thousand acres. **The fires lasted for a week.** Police and troops cordoned off the town centre and anyone seen pillaging was shot on sight. What remained of the corpses was piled up on immense pyres hastily constructed out of burnt beams, and these pyres burnt unceasingly for weeks. The photographs which were subsequently published bear witness to a horror which is almost beyond endurance.

On February 16th [1945–Ed.], however, SHAEF [Supreme Headquarters of the Allied Expeditionary Force–Ed.] published a triumphant communiqué [as we shall later review–Ed.]. [de Poncis, p. 41]

\* \* \* \* \*

From Michael McLaughlin’s Death of a City; Phoenix Pub., London, England, 1982, p. 3. (The city was Hamburg, Germany.)

### **A CITY MUST DIE**

Woven into the tapestry of the 2nd World War, **the air blitz is a stark reminder that this war**, perhaps more so than any other affecting the European continent, **provided for the deliberate destruction of civilians as an instrument of policy.** Most of us are familiar with the major events of World War 2 and of these, the blitz on such major cities as London and Liverpool stand out as beacons of devastation. The blitz on Coventry was equally tragic and the horror is increased as we subsequently learn that civilian losses could have been reduced enormously but for the fact that **Winston Churchill, the Prime Minister** of the time, **refused to warn Coventry’s inhabitants that their city was to be raided lest the enemy realise their code had been broken.**

[One could make the same argument about the “jewish”-Amerikan president Rosenvelt’s foreknowledge of the Japanese attack upon Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, on Dec. 7, 1941, an attack which Rosenvelt and his gang of deceitful “jews” had deliberately and systematically provoked. For what Rosenvelt wanted was dead Americans with which could rally his pacific Gentile nation into mass-murderous, “jewish,” genocidal hatred against the Germans, which he (and the “jewish”-Amerikan mass-media monopoly) indeed did. Was Churchill’s motive really any different from Rosenvelt’s with whom he had secretly conspired for years to start this “war of aggression” of theirs against Germany? (See below.)–Ed.]

**It would appear that the British people were beginning to tire of what was clearly a European civil war over matters which were of no interest or concern of Britain’s, and that Churchill’s War Cabinet was determined to**

**goad the Germans into attacking Britain's civilian population to put some fight into them.** [McLaughlin, p. 5]

Sir Basil Liddell Hart, Britain's foremost historian described **the policy of bombing civilian targets** as being: "The most uncivilised method of warfare the world has known since the Mongol invasions." It is a sad reflection on Britain that **it was a British Government which initiated this war crime which by its nature would needlessly destroy so many European lives—not to speak of British lives lost in raids of retaliation.**

**On the 10th May, 1940, just one day after his appointment as Prime Minister, Winston Churchill announced** [not publicly, but only to his official subordinates with an absolute need to know—Ed.] **that the bombing of Germany's civilian population would commence. J. M. Spaight, C.B. C B E. who was the Principal Secretary to the Air Ministry admitted that: "Hitler only undertook the bombing of British civilian targets reluctantly three months after the R.A.F. had commenced** [began or started—Ed.] **bombing German civilian targets."** He went on to say that: **"Hitler would have been willing at any time to stop the slaughter. Hitler was genuinely anxious to reach with Britain an agreement confining the action of aircraft to battle zones."** [McL.'s source: Veale's ...Barbarism—Ed.]

[Did you hear that dear reader? Surely this is not the very same Hitler the anti-Christ or "jewish" world has come to hate so fiercely, so vehemently, so completely, so demonically. Why is that dear reader? Why has the Gentile world never been allowed to know the real and true Adolf Hitler—not even while he was alive? (John 8:44) One must truly know someone before one can truly love or hate him, whether he be Adolf Hitler or Jesus Christ. (And though the bastards will most fiercely deny it, in truth the world knows neither. Kindly remember I said so, dear reader.)—Ed.]

**Churchill's decision to bomb Germany's civilian targets was to cost Britain dearly** [?] **in terms of lives lost.** The smouldering blackened ruins of London, Liverpool, Coventry and many other British cities bore silent testimony to this. **The blitz on Coventry stands out as an example of such retaliatory raids and the British people in their innocence, unaware of the true reasons for their suffering, grew to hate and gave their all to strike back at the German barbarians** [when all the while from then to now the true barbarians were the British people themselves, or rather their war leaders and their obedient followers—whether officials, soldiers or civilians—Ed.]. **When the war ended in 1945, Coventry mourned 380 of her citizens who had died as a result of German bombing raids, and 100 acres of their city lay in ruins. A tragedy of enormous proportions which was nevertheless to pale into relative insignificance when compared with the results of bombing raids on German cities by allied bombers.**

By the morning of 3rd August, 1943, Hamburg was no more. At least not in any true sense of the word. No less than 6000 square acres had been utterly gutted. Ruined beyond repair. **One can only wonder at the scale of values of "historians" who equate Hamburg or Dresden with Coventry. This is not to minimise in any sense the loss of 380 people who died in Coventry, or the 100 acres lost [ , ] but if we can flinch** [cringe, recoil, rail—Ed.] **at such ruination, what of 100,000 people killed in Hamburg in a few days and nights? For every person who died in Coventry. no less than 300 people died in Hamburg. How well such comparisons reveal the enormity of this crime which transcends all others and which for 1000 years and more will blacken the names of Britain and America.** [McLaughlin, p. 15]

**For every Briton killed by German bombs, no less than nine Germans were killed by allied bombs. In the demilitarised city of Dresden, an estimated 135,000 people, mostly civilian refugees, were slaughtered in allied bombing raids in just 24 hours. And for no purpose other than sheer bloodlust.** That beautiful city which contributed very little to the German war effort was virtually removed from the face of the earth. It was a crime of such magnitude that one of Great Britain's most eminent Socialists, R.H.S. Crossman described it as: **"The long-suppressed story of the worst massacre in the history of the world."** He went on to say: **"The devastation of Dresden in February 1945 was one of those crimes against humanity whose authors would have been arraigned at Nuremberg if that court had not been perverted."** [McL.'s source: Dr. A.J.P.'s The Bombing Atrocity of Dresden; Boniface Press, London; (no date nor page #s given)–Ed.]

Equally horrendous were the earlier attacks on **Hamburg. In ten terrible days from July 24 to August 3 1943 British bombers reduced to rubble more than 6,000 acres of Hamburg. During those ten awesome days, an estimated 100,000 people lost their lives.**

When a thousand-year old city of 2,000,000 souls is incinerated in just a few days with the loss of so many lives, what affect does it have on survivors? An official German document states: "For weeks afterwards, eyewitnesses were unable to report without succumbing to their nerves and weeping hysterically. They would try to speak, then would break down and cry: 'I can't stand seeing it again; I can't stand it!'"

Many weeks later, a woman who did survive was interviewed. She had still not recovered from the experience: "I saw people killed by falling bricks and heard the screams of others dying in the fire. I dragged my best friend from a burning building and she died in my arms. I saw others who went stark mad. The shock to the nerves and a the soul, one can never erase."

The Police President of Hamburg reported: "It's horror is revealed in the howling and raging of the firestorms, the hellish noise of exploding bombs and the death cries of **martyred human beings** as well as the big silence after the raids. Speech is impotent to portray the measure of the horror, which shook the people for ten days and nights [between July 24 and August 3, 1943–Ed.] and the traces of which were written indelibly on the face of the city and its inhabitants... No flight of imagination will ever succeed in measuring and describing the gruesome scenes of horror in the many buried air raid shelters. Posterity can only bow its head in honour of the fate of **these innocents, sacrificed by the murderous lust of a sadistic a enemy...**"

**As National Socialist Germany's second largest city, Hamburg was a natural target for allied bombing raids. The shame attached to "Operation Gomorrah" was that it far exceeded that which was necessary to paralyse the city's contribution to Germany's war effort. "Gomorrah" was the code name given to the plan to incinerate Hamburg in 1943.**

Hamburg was a Hanseatic city that straddled the beautiful River Alster immortalised by many songs and ballads and in particular, by the "Moonlight on the Alster" waltz. **Perhaps its most endearing feature was its medieval half-timbered Elizabethan-style houses that attracted tourists from all over the world. Sadly, this feature made it also attractive to the allied war lords who reasoned that such a city would burn easier and offer more potential victims per square mile.**

The method devised for the total destruction of Hamburg was simple, and as we shall see, was extremely effective. **The first waves of bombers would release thousands of high-explosive bombs on the city which would keep the population, and especially the fire service in their shelters. Then, the subsequent raids would rain down magnesium [incendiary or fire–Ed.] bombs.** It has been conservatively estimated that during those ten days, Hamburg was struck by 1,200 land-mines 30,000 heavy high-explosive bombs, and 3,000,000 stick incendiary bombs. In addition to this,

perhaps the most macabre weapon devised by mankind, the phosphorous bomb, was deemed [by the mass-murderous Brits—Ed.] suitable for dropping on a city's civilian population. 80,000 of these 100 pound phosphorous bombs were dropped, plus 500 phosphorous canisters, and 500 phosphorous incendiaries. The affect that this type of weapon had on civilians is one of the worst nightmares to emerge from the 2nd World War as we shall later see. [McLaughlin, p. 3] [McLaughlin, p. 3] [McLaughlin, p. 3]

....Throughout June of 1943, and halfway through July, Hitler's Germany withstood ceaseless and relentless waves of bombers, both American and British. During the day, the skies over Germany were darkened by U.S. Air Force bombers and the night skies were filled with Britain's R.A.F. ["Royal" Air Force—Ed.] bombers. Little regard was paid to the likely victims of bombs dropped indiscriminately. Indeed, the allies had already decided that civilians, even refugees were not only legitimate targets but in some cases, preferable targets.

[And so you see, dear reader, this truly was a genocidal war, but not of the Germans against the "allies," but of the "jewish" "allies" against the Germans (and the Japanese). A genocide for which the truly guilty side has yet to receive it due credit. But why does Evil or Satanic Guilt thus slanderously accuse Its innocent victims of Its sins against them? To know that, dear reader, is to know the very slanderous nature of Evil, and Its demonic children, and their demonic "allies." Evil (Its "chosen people" and Its "allies") is here, as elsewhere, merely living up to Its name, Its nature, Its character and Its method. (John 8:44)—Ed.]

Prime Minister Chamberlain, before he was ousted by the Churchill clique, had been quite adamant on the matter of bombing civilians. He had said that such a policy, "was absolutely contrary to international law". And he had given [Lord Hitler?—Ed.] the assurance that: "The British Government would never resort to the deliberate attack on women and children for purposes of mere terrorism."

Winston Churchill had no such scruples and was a principle party to the most appalling acts of mass murder which included the strafing of women and children refugees as they fled from their burning cities, or before the Red Armies' raping Asiatic hordes. [London's Sunday Telegraph, "1.10.61"—Ed.]

His premiership was accompanied by a new war policy in which it was decided that at whatever cost, Hitler's National Socialist Germany must be unconditionally and totally destroyed and the means used must have little or no regard for long established rules of warfare. Indeed, it could be safely said that in this, Churchill overturned rules of war that had endured for more than 1,000 years of European history.

What was to take place was carefully hidden from the British and American public. Even making allowance for propaganda-fed wartime hysteria, the iniquitous conspirators knew that ordinary folk would never stand for mass murder on such a scale. Outrage and revulsion would curb excessive bloodlust. The Labour M.P. [Member of Parliament—Ed.] Richard Crossman who in 1964 became Minister for Housing in Harold Wilson's Government, spoke severely of the screen of lies that was set up to deceive the public on the terror bombing of Germany. "One of the most unhealthy features of the bombing offensive was that the War Cabinet, and in particular the Secretary for Air, Sir Archibald Sinclair, felt it necessary to repudiate publicly the orders which they themselves had given to Bomber Command."

Nothing illustrates the cover up more than events which resulted from questions raised by Richard Stokes, M.P. in the House of Commons on 6th March, 1945. He had demanded to know the truth about a report that originated at Supreme Allied Headquarters in Paris which had gloatingly described the terror bombing of the refugee-crowded city of Dresden. This particular report had been widely published in the United

States, and broadcast on Paris Radio. But the official censor in Britain had suppressed its publication presumably as a result of the indignant protests it had already aroused.

Mr Stokes had insisted on being told, “Is terror bombing now part of our policy? Why is it that the people of this country who are supposed to be responsible for what is going on, are the only people who may not know what is being done in their name? On the other hand, if terror bombing be not part of our policy, why was this statement put out at all? I think we shall live to rue the day we did this, and that it (the Raid on Dresden) will stand for all time as a blot on our escutcheon (Coat of Arms).” [source: Irving’s Destruction of Dresden—Ed.]

**It is interesting to note also that whilst Members of Parliament of all political persuasions, including Government Ministers, were extolling the virtues of Britain’s working class, Professor Frederick Lindemann, Churchill’s closest advisor was getting his own way in demanding that Bomber Command’s saturation bombing raids be concentrated on working class areas of Germany because this would give us [Brits—Ed.] a much higher kill-rate per ton of explosives because working class houses were built closer together.** British High Command reasoned that if German workers were kept busy burying their wives and children, industrial output would drop. [from Veale’s ...Barbarism—Ed.] It would be difficult if not downright impossible to imagine a more monstrous inhuman callousness than this. **And it is the most dreadful irony that Churchill’s first [i.e. premier or highest—Ed.] scientific advisor [this shadowy and mysterious Lindemann—Ed.] and his bloodlusting sycophants were proved wrong in the end.** [McLaughlin, p. 4-5]

Yes but this assumes that the reasons given by the “jewish” overlords over Britain (to those mass-murderous Brit officials under them with an absolute “need to know”) were the real and true reasons for their bomber-“holocausting” or genociding the civilian German population. But in truth Evil can never completely show its real and true face (hand, mind, purpose, intent, design, goals, ends). Evil always lies about what It does and why It does it. Evil can’t afford to tell the truth, nor to be seen in the light. For Evil is far too ugly, and all good people would then either flee from Evil or oppose It. (Hence Evil’s “need to know basis.”)

Evil’s dark designs and malevolent plans are extremely premeditated. (See e.g. the Protocols of Zion.) Evil always disguises Its true ends by disguising or misrepresenting Its means.

(See e.g. Evil’s doctrine of “racial equality,” sameness, identity or interchangeability of the races or nations—but not for the “jews” themselves of course. By this evil means of Babylonially mixing all Gentile races, Evil (via Its “chosen people,” race or nation) intends to conquer all (other) races or nations, i.e. all Gentiles, all humanity.)

And Evil always has “cover stories” or false explanations to mask or conceal Its true, dark and secret purposes, intentions and ends. Surely Evil could not lie to Its British executioners about what It was doing to the Germans (through them). But Evil could (and did) lie about **why**. (They said it was regrettably and reluctantly intended to “weaken German morale,” to shorten the war, and other such demonic lies. Would that British or Amerikan “morale” had been so “weakened” by an act of God or something.) But the real, true and “jewish” purpose for the bomber “holocausts” of German cities (committed by anti-Christ, “jewish” or Satanic England and Amerika) was to biologically exterminate the German race.

This is evidenced also in the “Morgenthau plan” of the “jewish” U.S. Treasurer to greatly reduce the German territory, farmland or bread-land by “allied” or “jewish” annexation; and to remove or destroy the machinery of the Germans (and hence their productive and exportive potential—the main and jealous reason for the first “allied” war against Germany); and thus to once again genocidally starve the Germans out, as they did after their first world-war against her. And hence this “Morgenthau plan” of the U.S. Treasury Dept. was yet another direct and intentional “allied” or “jewish” genocide, mass-murder or “holocaust” of the German people, race or nation. (And perhaps you thought the U.S. Treasury was all about money.)

Also relevant is Theodore Kaufman's plan to sterilize the entire German race, and hence to exterminate, genocide or "holocaust" them in an even more direct way than proposed by the "jewish" U.S. Treasurer, Morgenthau. Kaufman's monstrous proposal, Germany Must Perish, was published in "jewish" Amerika in 1941, and was of course hailed by the "jewish"-Amerikan press monopoly. Time Magazine called Kaufman's call for genocide (of the Germans) a "sensational idea!" The Jew York Times hailed it to be "a plan for permanent peace among civilized nations!"

(For when the enemy nation has been thus mass-murdered or exterminated, then the war is over indeed, and hence (permanent?) "peace" can begin. And when that "uncivilized" nation has thus been "genocided" or "holocausted," then the civilized nations of the world shall never again be attacked by that "uncivilized" and belligerent nation, and hence and "permanent peace among [the] civilized nations." And therefore how can there ever be "peace" or "civilization" so long as England or Amerika persist?)

The Washington Post declared Kaufman's genocidal proposal to be "a provocative theory—interestingly presented." (But if some Gentile (or "jew") had labeled the SuperNazi "jew" to be that very "uncivilized" (mass-murderous, war-mongering, aggressive, imperialistic) nation—that very guilty race keeping all the civilized world from a "permanent peace"—would the Post then describe that book as "interesting" and/or "provocative"? Possibly not.)

Furthermore, this slanderous "jewish" "holocaust" myth was (is) a slanderous "jewish" attempt to forever bury the truth, and thus to forever escape justice. This "hollowhoax" was invented by and intended for the guilty "jews" to **seemingly** trade places with their German victims, and hence to extract "justice" and extort "reparations" from their German victims, instead of vice-versa, as Truth and Justice would naturally require.

Thus not only does the Satanic Enemy (and Its demonic spawn—John 8:44) pretend to be "an angel of Light," (2. Cor. 11:14), It also pretends to be Light's victim, rather than Light's crucifier, mass-murderer, genocider, "holocauster." Can you see that, dear reader? It's rather important that you do. For this is the very heart and soul of Evil, It's slanderous nature and character, Its murderous theory and practice.

But back to McLaughlin:

Note how vast areas have been turned into bomb-cratered quagmires. Buildings have completely disappeared to appease Churchill's crazed bloodlust. **Such methods of warfare failed to shorten the war by a day and failed also to curb Germany's war effort. Churchill refused such good advice and persisted in his policy of genocide.** [photo caption, McLaughlin, p. 10]

**Worst of all [?], it [the genocidal "allied" terror bombing—Ed.] never changed the course of war by one iota.** What it undoubtedly did do was instill in the minds of the people of the German nation, that their country was indeed fighting for a higher order of values against a foe so barbaric as to make Atilla and Ghengis Khan appear to be conscientious objectors by comparison. **German war production hardly faltered as Albert Speer was to later testify. Certainly such policies lengthened the course of the war** and embittered the German Armed Forces to fight with a spirit of vengeance. It is reasonable to assume that thousands of British servicemen and women, and civilians too, died because of **Churchill's penchant for satanic sadistic crimes on an unprecedented scale.** [McLaughlin, p. 15]

**One can only imagine why Churchill selected Lindemann to be his first advisor when scientifically, his track record was that of persistent failure.**

[I wonder: Because Winston ("Bomber") Churchill was (like his counterpart, Roosevelt) a secret agent of the SuperNazi sinagog of Satan, and was instructed by his "jewish" masters or handlers to follow Lindemann's plan to "holocaust" the Germans?

For wherever public office is auctioned or for sale to the highest bidders (of “advertising” or political propaganda), only purchased, mercenary and sociopathic public enemies can possibly occupy public offices. And once entrenched therein they thereby serve, obey and further (as well as mask or conceal) their hidden “jewish” purchasers, pimps, masters, controllers or “handlers.” Is this not precisely so? Or do I misunderstand modern England and Amerika?—Ed.]

**Who can know the state of mind of those who were by now directing the British war machine? Although it is beyond the comprehension of rational [and non-Satanic, -demonic or -anti-Christ—Ed.] human beings, hindsight and hitherto unknown facts suggest that Churchill and the other War Lords were caught up in a vortex of satanic bloodshed.** In 1953, H.M. [“His Majesty’s”—Ed.] Stationary Office published the first volume of a work entitled: The Royal Air Force, 1939-1945. In the relevant volume, The Fight at Odds, which was officially commissioned and based throughout on official documents which had been approved by the Air Ministry Historical Branch, the author Mr. Dennis Richards stated: **“The primary purpose of these raids was to goad the Germans into undertaking reprisal raids of a similar character on Britain. Such raids would arouse intense indignation in Britain against Germany and so create a war psychosis without which it is impossible to carry on a modern war.”** [source: Veale’s Barbarism—Ed.]

**It would appear that the British people were beginning to tire of what was clearly a European civil war over matters which were of no interest or concern of Britain’s, and that Churchill’s War Cabinet was determined to goad the Germans into attacking Britain’s civilian population to put some fight into them.** [McLaughlin, p. 5]

Rosenvelt did the same thing by deliberately, systematically and “infamously” goading the Japanese into attacking his Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, (Dec. 7, 1941), and by not warning his “sitting duck” servicemen that the attack was on its way, as he and his cabinet all-too-well knew. (Most Americans to this day don’t know this, and don’t want to. They’ve thus condemned themselves to live and die inside their “jewish” house of lies. (R.I.P.)

Condemnation [of the British or “allied” bombardiers—Ed.] can come easy but whether or not it would be justified is something that could be argued from both points of view. **The really smart dog goes not for the stick that beats it but the hand that holds it. Those powerful men such as Churchill, Lindemann** [See below for info on this shadowy, mysterious, mass-murderous “jew”—Ed.] **and others were so much aware of the consequences of their actions, and indeed had engineered them for the precise results that they were now getting.** By no stretch of the imagination could it be said that they were unaware of the consequences. These powerful men held the power of life and death over millions of their fellow human beings and irresponsibly abused that power. **Such terror-bombing was a policy that had no other purpose than to satisfy their bloodlust.** High-ranking members of the inner circles of power, in the Armed Forces also, argued against the policy of terror-bombing not only on moral grounds but on strategic grounds. They were dispensed with in as brief a manner as were Stalin’s underlings who disagreed with him.

**Responsibility for the needless deaths of hundreds of thousands of German civilians lies with Churchill. His, too, for the needless deaths of thousands of British civilians who died in raids of retaliation.** And last but not least, responsibility lies heavy with him who in order to satiate his bloodlust, his pathetic scrabbling for personal power, sacrificed no less than **55,888 British airman** who **died during the bomber offensive.** [All together now: “Aaaawwwwe”—Ed.] This figure represents nearly the number of British junior army officers who died during the entire 1st World War.

There is no doubt about it that had the war ended other than as it did, or had the Nuremberg Trials been administrated by neutral countries such as Sweden, Switzerland, or Chile, Churchill and his closest advisors would undoubtedly have ended their lives at the end of a rope [, ] and justifiably so. That Churchill's statue stands today in Parliament Square is no more a monument to his greatness than is a statue of Lenin or Stalin in Moscow's Red Square. [McLaughlin, p. 12-13]

\* \* \* \* \*

## Blaming the Victims: Or Scapegoating the Germans

From Veale's Advance to Barbarism, p. 167,

To put the problem in a nutshell: **the essential rule of civilized warfare laid down that hostilities must be limited to the combatant forces.** But, as from June 25th, 1940 [when France surrendered to Germany, upon whom she had declared war in Sept. 1939–Ed.], the combatant forces were separated by the sea. How in such circumstances could hostilities be continued?

**Hitler's solution of this problem** [which, unlike his belligerent enemies, he never wanted in the first place–Ed.] **was an offer to negotiate peace.** We need not consider whether this offer was sincere [?], since any other course from his point of view, would have been madness. He had achieved all and much more than all he had set out to achieve [within Europe by reunifying his Versailles-scattered German flock or "volk"—a "Nazi outrage" in the eyes and mouths of anti-German racists everywhere–Ed.] and Germany [(and desired eastern "living space" or farmland) yet still–Ed.] lay under the shadow of the Red Army. Nor need we consider what terms he would have been willing to offer since **his proposal was not even accorded a reply.** In their speeches to the House of Commons justifying the silent rejection of Hitler's peace offer both the Prime Minister, Winston Churchill, and the Foreign Minister, Lord Halifax, followed the precedent set by the British Government during the First World War, and gave no indication of any basis upon which a peaceful settlement could be discussed. [Hence the Brits wanted nothing but war, war, war. And before the French and the British declared war against Germany in Sept. 1939, Roosevelt and Churchill had been secretly plotting for years to get this world war started. Did you know that, dear reader? It's true.–Ed.]

**But a sulky silence by Hitler's opponents offered no solution to the problem as to how hostilities were to be continued and the war prevented from stagnating** [into peace or another "phony war" merely declared against Germany by Britain and France but not yet acted upon by these two belligerents–Ed.] **until boredom should overcome public opinion.** Half a century before, the only means available would have been to launch a series of tip-and-run [or "hit-and-run"–Ed.] naval raids on the coasts of Europe. Now, however, **the conquest of the air had provided a new method by which not only could boredom be combatted but a war psychosis created. The indiscriminate dropping of bombs at night on enemy centres of population would be bound, sooner or later, to call forth reprisals of a similar nature, and the resulting slaughter of innocent civilians could not fail to inflame warlike passions on both sides.** [Veale, p. 167]

But which side was going to start the "terror bombing" to "prevent" the war from "stagnating"? (The far more "terrible," mass-murderous, genocidal side, perhaps?)

And which side was merely going to offer token retaliation to the "terror bombing" of its cities (and hence its) civilians? The barbaric or the civilized side? the "jewish" or the Christian side? the side which had plotted, declared and started the war, or the side which was repeatedly asking or suing for peace (and repeatedly being ignored)—both before and during

this second world war of anti-Christ Amerika and “jewish” Britain against Gentile and Christian Germany? Veale continues...

**Certainly it is hard to imagine any other course of action** [besides “allied” “terror bombing”–Ed.] **which would have produced the result desired** [by the “allied” leadership (i.e. “war psychosis,” a mass-murderous, genocidal hatred of Germans—continued, by the way, far beyond this second world war (unlike the first) unto this very day, via hateful, slanderous, racist “jewish” “holocaust” propaganda. Is this not precisely so?)–Ed.]. The only drawback to this course was that the Luftwaffe at the moment was numerically much superior to the R.A.F. [(British) Royal Air Force–Ed.]. **Hitler was threatening that, if the British air attacks on the German civil population continued, he would drop ten bombs on Britain for every bomb dropped on Germany.** [But this was surely merely an empty threat intended to induce the Brits to cease their terror bombing. For Coventry notwithstanding, German terror bombing never really began at all, but was almost entirely directed only against legitimate military targets: i.e. munitions factories, military airports, the port or docks of Liverpool, etc. And the bombing of the “London blitz” period was likewise merely “strategic.”–Ed.]

**Consequently, the trials of the British civilian population would temporarily, at least, be severe if this policy were persisted in.** [Again, see the “London blitz.”–Ed.]

**It is one of the greatest triumphs of modern emotional engineering in that, in spite of the plain facts of the case which could never be disguised or even materially distorted** [But surely, plainly and clearly they were.–Ed.], **the British public throughout the Blitz Period (1940-1941), remained convinced that the entire responsibility for the sufferings it was undergoing rested on the German leaders.** Faith is prized by theologians as one of the three cardinal virtues [, ]and **accepting the definition that “faith is believing what one knows isn’t so”, it can truly be said that never before had this cardinal virtue been displayed so steadfastly by so many for so long** [by so many children or “allies” of their Father or “Uncle” Satan–Ed.]. The practical value of this steadfast [false, slanderous, Satanic, “jewish,” British–Ed.] faith for the war effort can hardly be exaggerated: **the Blitz was unanimously accepted as proof positive of the innate wickedness of the Nazi regime** and, as such, endured as something inescapable. **General recognition of the fact that it could be brought to an end at any moment** [via Lord Hitler’s repeated offers for peace negotiations—repeatedly ignored by Winston (“War at all Costs”) Churchill–Ed.] **might well have had a decisive influence on the public attitude.** Too high praise [?] cannot, therefore, be lavished on the British emotional engineers for the infinite skill with which the public mind was conditioned prior to and during a period of unparalleled strain. [Veale, p. 168]

Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah, the mass-murderous Brits and their anti-Christ allies were (and remain) very convincing, congenital, pathological and psychopathic liars, much like their prototypical, patriarchal Father of lies. (John 8:44) But would that we were all Evil’s “praiseworthy,” deceitful, “messianic,” genocidal spawn? I don’t think, wish nor desire it so. (But Veale has an ironic sense of humor.) And he continues...

It was not until April, **1944**, by which time the Luftwaffe had become paralysed from lack of petrol and the issue of the struggle was no longer in doubt, that the strict taboo on all mention of the facts was lifted in favour of Mr. J. M. **Spaight**, C.B., C.B.E., **former Principal Secretary of the Air Ministry, who was permitted to publish a book entitled Bombing Vindicated. The title in itself came as a surprise, since few until then had any idea that any vindication for bombing was needed.** In this book the man in the street learned for the first time that he had made an heroic decision on May 11, 1940. The man-in-the-street had, of course, no recollection of having made any decision, heroic or otherwise, on this particular date; in fact, he could not recall having

made a decision of any kind for a very long time, since in a ["jewish"—Ed.] democracy decisions are not made by such as he, but by ["jewish" "central" banksters—Ed.] international Press barons, permanent officials and even, occasionally, by Cabinet Ministers. No wonder the man in the was perplexed.

Mr. Spaight, GB., C.B E., resolved this perplexity in the following lyrical passage:

**“Because we were doubtful about the psychological effect of propagandist distortion of the truth that it was we who started the strategic bombing offensive, we have shrunk from giving our great decision of May 11th, 1940, the publicity which it deserved. That, surely, was a mistake. It was a splendid decision.** It was as heroic, as self-sacrificing, as Russia’s decision to adopt her policy of ‘scorched earth’. It gave Coventry and Birmingham, Sheffield and Southampton, the right to look Kiev and Kharkov, Stalingrad and Sebastopol, in the face. Our Soviet Allies would have been less critical of our inactivity in 1942 if they had understood what we had done.”

[Veale, p. 168-69]

“Bombing Vindicated” is a remarkable book: in fact, an amazing book having regard to the date when it was written [1944]. **Mr. Spaight is not content merely to admit that upon Britain rests the responsibility for starting the practice of bombing civilian Populations, but insists that to Britain must be awarded the entire credit for conceiving and carrying into effect this practice. He derides (p. 149) the suggestion rather half-heartedly put forward at the time by the Ministry of Information that “the whole majestic process had been set in operation” because an unidentified plane had dropped some bombs on a wood near Canterbury.** Nor will he admit the splendid decision of May 11, 1940, “was unpremeditated.” On the contrary, he insists hotly (p. 38), that **this decision can be traced “to a brainwave which came to British experts in 1936,” when the Bomber Command was organized—the whole raison detre [“reason to exist”—Ed.] of Bomber Command he tells us (p. 60), “was to bomb Germany should she be our enemy.” Further, he says it was obvious that Hitler realized that this was Britain’s intention in the event of war, and that he was, in consequence, genuinely anxious to reach with Britain an agreement “confining the action of aircraft to the battle zones”.** Finally, **he agrees that Hitler only undertook the bombing of the British civilian population reluctantly three months after the R.A.F. had commenced [started or began—Ed.] bombing the German civilian population, and expresses the opinion (p. 47) that after it had started Hitler would have been willing at any time to have stopped the slaughter—“Hitler assuredly did not want the mutual bombing to go on.”** [Veale, p. 171-72]

So, according to the former Principal Secretary of the British Air Ministry, Adolf Hitler, leader of Germany, long before Churchill’s and Rosenvelt’s war began, and all too aware of “allied” malevolence and mass-murderousness, wanted to “confin[e] the action of aircraft to the battle zones”, and “would have been willing at any time to have stopped the [air—Ed.]slaughter”—which, by the way, was almost entirely “allied”—(i.e. British and Amerikan, and therefore “jewish,” anti-Christ, Satanic). And by the way, this was merely one of **many** proposals to preserve European peace and civilization which Hitler made to the barbaric “allies” before they finally declared war on him and his in 1939.

Is it possible, dear reader, that Lord Adolf Hitler never really was the demonic monster he has always been portrayed to be by his “allied,” anti-Christ, “jewish” enemies...and slanderers (or “devils”)? I mean, if they will lie about their deliberate and systematic “holocaust” of German cities and civilians—(and they indeed **will**, and have, and even now

continue to do so—and by blaming their “holocausted” or genocided German victims, no less)—then what **won’t** they lie about? (German “gas chambers” and “ovens,” e.g.?)

And so the genocidal, holocaustic “allied” devils “project(ed)” their sins upon their German victims, blame(d) their German victims for their “allied” sins, and thus scapegoat(ed) their German victims. What a Satanic “religion”! How can one ever have peace or civilization with such demonic creatures?

\* \* \* \* \*

And now from Conrad Grieb’s excellent compilation, American Manifest Destiny and the Holocausts: An Historical and Sociological Encyclopedia of Domestic and Foreign Affairs; 1979, Examiner Books, N.Y., N.Y.; p. 191-92:

**In 1936 when Hitler was seeking peace for Europe, Britain was preparing to bomb Germany.** J. M. Spaight, C.B.. C.B.E. Late Principal Assistant Secretary, Air Ministry writes in Bombing Vindicated (1944), “...**why today giant four-engined bombers are tearing the heart out of industrial [but not civilian?–Ed.] Germany. Those bombers trace their descent to a brain-wave which came to British experts in 1936, while Germany was thinking only in terms of short-range bombers and particularly of dive bombers for [strategic, precision, non-terroristic or non-mass-murderous–Ed.] employment with her powerful mechanized army.**”

**“Perhaps Hitler’s famous intuition gave him an inkling of the ultimate significance of what Britain was beginning to do in 1935-36. In May (of 1935) he expressed his personal apprehension on the subject of long-range bombing to Mr. Edward Price Bell, the well known press correspondent. ‘War has been speeded up too much,’ he said, ‘and made too overwhelmingly destructive for our geographical limitations. Within an hour—in some instances within forty minutes of the outbreak of hostilities—swift bombing machines would wreak ruin upon European ‘capitals.’ ...He was afraid of the air. He showed that he was, again, when in 1935 and in 1936 he put forward proposals for the prohibition of bombing outside battle-zones.”**

Mr. Spaight then quotes General von Hoepfner [Deutschlands Krieg in der Luft, 1920, p. 21)–Ed.], chief of German air service World War I, **‘We (Germany) limited ourselves to employing weapons against important military places in the actual theatre of war. England went further.** In the autumn of 1914 she destroyed the airship Z.11 in the shed at Dusseldorf, attacked Friedrichshafen and raided military objectives far from the field of operations. **But at that time she showed consideration for the peaceful population. France adopted a different line. On December 4, 1914, she attacked the entirely undefended town of Freiburg-im-Breisgau, eighty kilometres behind the lines, and thus for the first time carried the terrors of air warfare into an entirely peaceful territory.”** [Bombing Vindicated, p. 38-40)–Ed.]

Mr. Spaight informs us that

**“We in Britain had organized a Bomber Command. The whole raison d’etre [“reason for existence”–Ed.] of that Command was to bomb Germany if she should be our enemy.”** [p. 60, Ed.]

Later Mr. Spaight tells of the “great decision” to start the strategic bombing offensive (bombing of civilians):

**“Because we were doubtful about the psychological effect of propagandist distortion of the truth I hat it was we who started the strategic bombing offensive, we have shrunk from giving our great decision of May 11, 1940, the publicity which it deserved. That, surely, was a mistake. It was a splendid decision....”** [p. 74–Ed.]

On March 30th, 1942, the British Government had accepted the Lindemann Plan by which the killing of civilians was made a military objective which henceforth was to be given, to use the official jargon of the time, “top priority.”

Professor Lindemann urged that bombing henceforth should be directed against German working-class houses in preference to military objectives which were much too difficult to hit. He claimed that given a total concentration of effort on the production of aircraft suitable for this work, fifty percent of all the houses in the cities and towns in Germany with over 50,000 inhabitants would be destroyed. Sir Charles (Snow) declared that the Lindemann Plan to initiate terror bombing against Germany was adopted by the British Government “and put into action with every effort the country could make.” [Veale, p. 182]—Ed.]

When Air Marshal Harris was reproached for the inhumanity of this form of warfare, he tells us complacently, it is his practice to confound his critics by quoting to them a British Government White Paper which estimates that the blockade of Europe by the British Navy between 1914 and 1918 “caused nearly 800,000 deaths, mainly women and children,” while, on the other hand, indiscriminate bombing by the R.A.F. between 1940 and 1945 probably did not, in his opinion, kill more than 300,000. [Veale, p. 174]—Ed.]

Had Hitler been alerted to the actualities and the factualities of British policy (which always has had, in these United States, influential pro-British sentiment propagandizing to have every American do his duty for British Imperialism—actually anti-“America First”), he could have been motivated to act as the realities of the confrontation with Britain required. [Grieb, p. 191-92]

But maybe Hitler was simply incapable of mass-murdering civilians—either from the sky or on the land. Maybe he just wasn’t barbarous, anti-Christian, “jewish,” British or Amerikan enough to properly defend his civil German people against such barbarous, mass-murderous, demonic and terrible enemies as these Satanic “allies.”

And yet, if you notice, Lord Hitler’s anti-Christ enemies to this day slander him and his with “holocausting” them and theirs. And thus Evil slanders, accuses, “tries,” condemns and “punishes” Its victims for Evil’s sins against them. (See e.g. their Nuremberg show-“trials” and lynchings.) This is in accordance with Evil’s hateful “religion” of slandering and “scapegoating” Its opponents: i.e. (relative) innocence, Godliness, beauty, perfection, “unblemishedness.” (For me, call it “jewishism,” “Satanism,” “Amerikanism.”)

\* \* \* \* \*

## The Canterbury Tale

**The Canterbury Tale: Or Burying the Slandered and Mass-Murdered Truth deeply, almost irretrievably, under the Weighty Lies, Tales and Cant of Satanic “jewish” Cantors**  
From Veale, p. 169-70,

In passing, the comment must be made that Mr. Spaight in this passage [above on Britain’s “splendid decision” —Ed.] does much less than justice to the services rendered to him and his colleagues of the Air Ministry by **the emotional engineers of the Ministry of Information. Without their aid, this splendid decision might well have led to disastrous consequences; it was entirely thanks to what he is pleased to term “propagandist distortion” that the inhabitants of Coventry, for example, continued to imagine that their sufferings [380 killed by German bombs—Ed.] were due to the innate villainy of Adolf Hitler without a suspicion that a decision, splendid or otherwise, of the British War Cabinet was the decisive factor in the case. Had this suspicion existed, their reaction might have been somewhat different.** [“When the war ended in 1945, Coventry mourned 380 of her citizens who

had died as a result of German bombing raids,...”—(McLaughlin, p. 3)—Ed.] Is it fair for the famous surgeon [i.e. Churchill and/or Roosevelt—Ed.] to sneer at the contribution of the humble anaesthetist which alone renders possible his own delicate operations? **Without previous conditioning by the emotional engineer would the activities of the “block-buster”** [a very destructive British-American or “allied” bomb—Ed.] **have been tolerated by public opinion?** [Not to mention the conventional “block-busters” and atomic bombs dropped upon the “Japs.”—Ed.]

Contemporary publications on the war may be scanned in vain for a clue why the date May 11, 1940, is in any way memorable. A very close search will, however, bring to light the fact, at the time obscured by far more sensational news, that on night of May 11th, “eighteen Whitley bombers attacked installations in Western Germany.” Naturally this announcement when made aroused little interest since it was only claimed these installations had been attacked; it was not suggested that they suffered any injury [i.e. civilian casualties—Ed.] thereby.

The full significance of this announcement, first disclosed nearly four years afterwards by Mr. Spaight [Bombing Vindicated; London, 1944—Ed.], only appears after further investigation and reflection. **Western Germany in May 1940 was, of course, as much outside the area of military operations as Patagonia. Up to this date, only places within the area of military operations or such definitely military objectives as the German air base on Sylt or the British air base on the Orkneys had been attacked. This raid on the night of May 11, 1940, although in itself trivial, was an epoch-marking event since it was the first deliberate breach of the fundamental rule of civilized warfare that hostilities must only be waged against the enemy combatant forces.**

In default of any further details it must be left to the imagination to picture the eighteen bombers setting forth on the night of May 11th from their base with instructions to drop their bombs when they found themselves over Western Germany in the hope that some of them might land on railway installations. [Veale, p. 169-70]

And so what precisely was this “previous conditioning” of which Mr. Spaight speaks whereby the British public would “tolerate” their gov’t’s “block-busting” terror bombing of German cities and civilians?

They were told a Canterbury tale wherein the dastardly Germans had barbarously bombed their historic little town. (They hadn’t, of course.)

**He** [J.M. Spaight, former Principal Secretary of the Air Ministry, in his Bombing Vindicated, 1944—Ed.] **derides** (p. 149) **the suggestion rather half-heartedly put forward at the time by the Ministry of Information that “the whole majestic process had been set in operation” because an unidentified plane had dropped some bombs on a wood near Canterbury.** [Veale, p. 172]

Throughout this period **the British public believed without question that the British air offensive against Germany was a reprisal for the attacks of the Luftwaffe on Britain which, it was said, began with the dropping of bombs by an unidentified plane on a wood near Canterbury.** [Veale, p. 183]

But where on earth (and from whom) did the British public get that misgiven idea, dear reader?

And why Canterbury? Why was Canterbury chosen to be the scene of this fictitious German (or rather English) crime or atrocity?

I don’t know for sure, but Canterbury was the scene of a bloody historic, royal English sacrilege or atrocity. Canterbury was a Roman arch-bishopric which figured in English history in the historical struggle between Church and State, priest and king (president or parliament).

Before the very altar of the Canterbury Cathedral, its archbishop, Thomas a Becket (1118-70), was murdered by four knights of the English king Henry II (1133-89), the first of the Plantagenet family dynasty. The archbishop was loyal to the Roman pope and church. And the English king was loyal to himself. The two officious men struggled over who and what should be supreme in England: the king of England or the pope or Rome (and his priests or clergy): the (Roman) church or the (English) state. The English king won after slicing and dicing the Roman archbishop of Canterbury, whose cathedral later became the headquarters of the English Church, and whose archbishops were later appointees of the king of England instead of the pope of Rome.

And this separation of England from Rome was formalized under king Henry VIII (1491-1547), who by acts of Parliament was declared to be both pope and king of England, or head of England's church, which had its "mother city," center, headquarters or "Rome" in Canterbury, and its pope or "prelate" in its archbishop. So Canterbury, you see, was the "holiest" place in all England, holier even than the Keltic "Stonehenge." What better place, therefore, for the Godless, graceless, desecrating and barbarian Germans to be **said** to drop their bombs?

\* \* \*

A mere two years after his royal bloody murder before the bloody altar of the cathedral, the slain archbishop of Canterbury was "canonized" (for sainthood) by the Roman pope. (And so the Roman Catholics now call him "Saint" Thomas a Becket. And the Roman saint's "holy day" is the day of his bloody murder or martyrdom, Dec. 29.) For centuries afterward medieval pilgrims journeyed to Canterbury to visit and revere a shrine built in the cathedral to honor the Roman saint, though his bones were elsewhere.

In 1229 the slain saint's remains were placed in London's Trinity Chapel, where they were likewise revered or worshipped by idolatrous medieval pilgrims until the vexed Henry VIII had them bones, them bones burned and scattered ("over a wood near Canterbury"? Possibly not.)

The holier the victim (Saint Becket), the unholier the crime and criminal (Henry II & VIII). So perhaps "sacred" Canterbury was chosen by the slanderous Brits to be the scene of the imaginary German crime to more effectively demonize the hated, slandered and mass-murdered German race.

And by the way, the current or reigning "Prelate" of the Church of England, the archbishop of Canterbury, recently declared homosexuality to be OK. So that shows you where their "Anglican" heads are at. (Know what I mean?)

\* \* \*

But back to those imaginary German bombs. Evidently the contemporary W.W.II ("Anglican") archbishop of Canterbury wasn't a total sucker or didn't quite entirely fall for the slanderous or atrocious British hate-propaganda. Nor did he tolerate the terror-bombing of Germans civilians. (So good for him and his church.)

For after A. Sinclair ["Secretary for Air"-Ed.] had lied to M.P. R. Stokes within the house of Commons (on March 30, 1943) that "the targets of Bomber Command are always military," Sinclair...

...explained to Sir Charles Portal [Chief of the (British) Air Staff-Ed.] in a minute [official message-Ed.] sent on 28th October 1943, **it was only thus that he could satisfy the inquiries of the Archbishop of Canterbury, the Moderator of the Church of Scotland, and other significant religious leaders who, on learning the truth and condemning the area offensive** [i.e. terror bombing of German civilians-Ed.], **could undoubtedly impair the morale of the bomber crews and hence their bombing efficiency.** This explanation satisfied the Chief of the Air Staff [Portal-Ed.], but not Sir Arthur Harris, or apparently Sir Robert Saundby [Deputy Chief-Ed.], both inveterate opponents of hypocrisy and firm believers in the propriety of the area offensive;... [Irving, p, 52-53]

\* \* \* \* \*

From Veale, p. 183-84,

Throughout this period **the British public believed without question that the British air offensive against Germany was a reprisal for the attacks of the Luftwaffe on Britain which, it was said, began with the dropping of bombs by an unidentified plane a wood near Canterbury. A faint echo of this belief will be in the official history of the air offensive [The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany; HMSO (His Majesty's Stationary Office); London, 1961-Ed.] where it is stated the destructive raid on Coventry on the 14th November, 1940, decided [or convinced-Ed.] the chiefs of Bomber Command to launch the [Dec. 16, 1940]-Ed.] attack on the centre of Mannheim above mentioned. Indisputably, of course, both Coventry and Mannheim possessed "military objectives" according to the new definition of this term adopted by the British Government in the previous May.**

**Coventry was many times cited in the popular propaganda as the excuse for obliteration strategy [i.e. "area-", "saturation-", "carpet-", "obliteration-" or "terror-bombing" of civilian non-combatants-Ed.] applied later on in Germany. Michie [Allen A., Reader's Digest, August, 1945, p.77-78-Ed.] estimated that by comparison Berlin suffered 363 Coventrys; Cologne, 269; Hamburg, 200; and Bremen, 137. Few believed that this was an excessively weighted retaliation, or that such prodigious damage was not absolutely necessary. [Martin, p. 100]**

In passing it may be observed that **the question of which air offensive was a reprisal for which had now long ceased to be a subject for dispute. As early as 1953 HM Stationery Office published the first volume of a work The Royal Air Force, 1939—1945 entitled The Fight at Odds, a book described as 'officially commissioned and based throughout on official documents which had been read and approved by the Air Ministry Historical Branch.'** The author, Mr. Dennis Richards, states plainly that the destruction of oil plants and factories was only a secondary purpose of **the British air attacks on Germany which began in May 1940. The primary purpose of these raids was to goad the Germans into undertaking reprisal raids of a similar character on England. Such raids would arouse intense indignation in Britain against Germany and so create a war psychosis without which it is impossible to carry on a modern war.** Mr. Dennis Richards writes:—

*"If the Royal Air Force raided the Ruhr, destroying oil plants with its most accurately placed bombs **and urban property with those [bombs-Ed.] that went astray**, [it was thought by the Brit leadership that-Ed.] **the outcry for retaliation against Britain might prove too strong for the German generals to resist.** Indeed, Hitler himself would probably head the clamour. **The attack on the Ruhr, in other words, was an informal invitation to the Luftwaffe to bomb London.**"*

**This passage, of course, merely confirmed what Mr. Spaight had so incautiously disclosed in 1944 in his by then forgotten book Bombing Vindicated. The popular belief that Hitler started unrestricted bombing still persisted and is, in fact, widely held even at the present day. [Veale, p. 183-84]**

\* \* \* \* \*

# The “jewish” “Lindemann Plan” (to Terrorize, Mass-Murder or “Holocaust” the German Race)

The subject is one Frederick Alexander Lindemann, educated at Blair Lodge, Darmstadt, Berlin and Paris. Beginning in 1919, he was Professor of “Experimental Philosophy” at Oxford College, Oxford, England. And was he popularly believed to be a “jew” (with a German name). And I don’t doubt it, judging from his terroristic and mass-murderous “philosophy,” whether theoretical, “experimental” or practical.

And besides, who but a “jew” could conceive, conspire and do such a thing as to “holocaust” the German people via terror bombing them, and to hide all the while behind a German name?

(And why? Perhaps to conceal himself while stalking the Germans until striking time? and/or to blame the Germans for his “jewish” sins, or for the sins of his “jewish” race, nation or SuperNational super-state?)

From F. J. P. Veale,

...the fact that... [in-Ed.] **March 1942 Mr. Churchill’s War Cabinet had accepted the plan laid before it by Professor Lindemann by which ‘top priority’ as an objective for air attack was in future to be given to “working-class houses in densely populated residential areas.”** [Veale, p. 18]

**In 1948 no one had ever heard of the Lindemann Plan, or for that matter of Lindemann himself except as a professor of physics who was known to be an advisor of Mr. Churchill on scientific subjects.** [British, “allied,” Amerikan or “jewish”-Ed.] **Terror bombing was not a recognized term since officially it had never taken place.** The term [originally-Ed.] used in this booklet was “indiscriminate bombing” which means of course something quite different: **terror bombing was not indiscriminate, being directed, as we now know, against** [German-Ed.] **working-class houses** [but not working-class Germans?-Ed.]. [Veale, p.24]

...[in-Ed.] **March 1942 Mr. Churchill’s War Cabinet had accepted the plan laid before it by Professor Lindemann by which ‘top priority’ as an objective for air attack was in future to be given to “working-class houses in densely populated residential areas.”**

**This decision of the War Cabinet was kept a closely guarded secret from the British public for nearly twenty years until** it was unobtrusively revealed in 1961 in a little book entitled Science and Government by the physicist and novelist, Sir Charles Snow in which occurred the following oft-quoted passage which was immediately translated and published in every [?] language in the world:

**“Early in 1942 Professor Lindemann, by this time Lord Cherwell and a member of the Cabinet, laid a cabinet paper before the Cabinet on the strategic bombing of Germany.** It described in quantitative terms the effect on Germany of a British bombing offensive in the next eighteen months (approximately March 1942—September 1943). The paper laid down a strategic policy. **The bombing must be directed essentially against German working-class houses. Middle-class houses have too much space round them and so are bound to waste bombs; factories and “military objectives” had long since been forgotten, except in official bulletins, since they were much too difficult to find and hit. The paper claimed that—given a**

**total concentration of effort on the production and use of aircraft—it would be possible, in all the larger towns of Germany (that is, those with more than 50,000 inhabitants), to destroy 50 per cent of all houses.**” (Pages 47-48)

[Not to mention all the Germans living (or rather dying) therein. “Published in every language in the world”? Did you hear that, dear reader? And have you ever read of “Professor” Lindemann’s/“Lord Cherwell’s “holocaustic” proposal in your particular language? No? It just goes to show, to publish is one thing, to be read quite another—as this particular author all too-all-knows. (Literal bummer !)—Ed.]

**Terror bombing as proposed in the Lindemann Plan was a novelty in warfare rendered possible by the conquest of the air during the first two decades of the 20th century. Genocide, on the other hand, was only the revival of an ancient practice, once probably worldwide, which had long been abandoned in Europe and which barely survived, in company with cannibalism, among the savages of Africa [and the Satanic “jews” of the east, the west, the north and the south—Ed.]. It has never seriously been contended by anyone that either genocide or terror bombing were in accordance with the moral standards accepted at the time by all civilized peoples.** [Veale, p. 18-19]

And yet all the while it was the official (though secret and officially-denied) war policy of the (Satanic, anti-Christ or “jewish”) allies: i.e. “jewish”-England or Britain (and colonies: Canada, Australia; “jewish”-Amerika or U.S.S.A. and “jewish”-Russia or U.S.S.R. Therefore either “accepted moral standards” of “all civilized peoples” have since been changed (or rather lowered) by these “terrible,” “genocidal” monsters, or else these “jewish” nations (or rather empires) are simply not “civilized peoples.” Is this not truly and logically so? So which is it, dear reader? And what of their “Jewnighted Nations Organization” How “civilized” or “terrible”/“genocidal” is that particular “beast”?

The Dresden Massacre [and British, Amerikan or “allied” mass-murderous, genocidal or terror-bombing—Ed.] was the result of the gradual conversion by the Air Force chiefs of the politicians to this primitive conception of [“total”—Ed.] warfare. During the period between the world wars little progress had been made [toward “this primitive conception”—Ed.], but immediately [when—Ed.] **war broke out in September 1939 the [British—Ed.] Air Staff began to clamour for leave to carry their ideas of warfare into practice. When Winston Churchill became Prime Minister in May, 1940, they obtained his permission to adopt a definition of military objectives so wide as to render the term in practice meaningless: their final triumph came two years later with the adoption of the Lindemann Plan which initiated terrorism as a means to victory...** [Veale, p. 193]

“Terrorism as a means to victory.” And who is it presently rampaging over God’s Gentile globe allegedly stamping out “global terrorism” here, there and everywhere he “finds,” suspects or alleges it? Is it not the very same “jewish”-Amerikan son of his “Uncle” Satan who “initiated terrorism as a means to victory” during his World War II, if not before? So go figure, dear reader? Is this not the most imperious and terrible “jewish”-Amerikan stove calling various Gentile kettles “terribly” black?

\* \* \* \* \*

From Leon de Poncins, (p. 37-38),

...In April 1961 there appeared a small work under a seemingly abstract title, Science and Government. The author, Sir Charles Snow, is a scientist and a writer, and in one simple paragraph he revealed for the first time a truth of absolutely capital importance.

“Early in 1942...he (Lindemann) produced a **Cabinet paper on the strategic bombing of Germany**...it described in quantitative terms the effect on Germany of a British bombing offensive in the next eighteen months (approximately March 1942—September 1943). **The paper laid down a strategic policy. The bombing must be directed essentially against German working-class houses. Middle-class houses have too much space round them, and so are bound to waste bombs; factories and ‘military objectives’ had long since been forgotten, except in official bulletins, since they were much too difficult to find and hit. The paper claimed that—given a total concentration of effort on the production and use of bombing aircraft—it would be possible, in all the larger towns of Germany (that is, those with more than 50,000 inhabitants) to destroy 50 per cent of all houses.**” (Sir Charles Snow: Science and Government, pp. 47—48)

[Note no mention of any German civilians living (or rather dying) within or under those German houses targeted by British fire-bombs. Why not? Because Germans (and all other Gentiles) simply don't count...to Satanic, “messianic,” terroristic and mass-murderous “jews” like “professor” Lindemann, his “kind” kindred, and their Satanic “allies”?—Ed.]

**“The Air Ministry fell in behind the Lindemann paper. The minority view was not only defeated, but squashed.** The atmosphere...had just the perceptible smell of a witch hunt (p. 50) [with (not Churchill, not Roosevelt and not Stalin but) Hitler as the Devil—Ed.]. **Churchill and Lindemann really did work together on all scientific decisions and on a good many others, as one mind. In his early days as grey eminence to the Prime Minister, Lindemann made it obvious, by holding his interviews in 10 Downing Street** [London, the official residence of the British “prime minister”—Ed.], **or by threatening Churchill’s intervention. Very soon this was not necessary. Bold men protested to Churchill about Lindemann’s influence, and were shown out of the room. Before long everyone in official England knew that the friendship was unbreakable, and that Lindemann held real power.**” (Sir C. Snow, *ibid.*, p. 64)

[Thus Lindemann was apparently Churchill’s “jewish” overlord or “handler”! And therefore perhaps a secret agent and intermediary or “go-between” between the SuperNazi sinagog of Satan and the British “prime minister” and gov’t! (Apo./Rev. 2:9 & 3:9) And has this very same political dynamic or phenomenon not been occurring within “jewish”—Amerika with every president since Woodrow Wilson, that great American statesman who sold, traded or gave his country over to these very same SuperNazi “jewish” “central” banksters in 1913? De Poncins continues...—Ed.]

This brief revelation created a feeling of profound stupor in England. **On several occasions** [during the war—Ed.] **important people had questioned the government as to whether the RAF was terror-bombing the civilian population of Germany, and on each occasion the Secretary for Air, Sir Archibald Sinclair, had replied that it had never issued such orders, and that the bombing raids were directed exclusively against military objectives.** Naturally [he explained—Ed.], there had been an inevitable number of civilian losses during the course of these operations. [The Americans euphemistically dismiss these kind of murders, killings or victims of theirs as “collateral damage(s).” But if the murdered are Americans, such as those trapped in falling skyscrapers, e.g., then they are immediately, stridently and stridently declared victims of “terror.” But methinks the very same murderous meter or “terrible” yardstick should be

applied to all combatants. Yes, even to the almighty Americans, “Israelis” or “jews.” But what say you, dear reader?—Ed.]

**When Snow’s book appeared in 1961, the British public expected an immediate and forthright contradiction from the government, but no such denial was forthcoming. However, six months later the truth was finally revealed in an official publication, The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, published by HMSO [“His Majesty’s Stationary Office”—Ed.], which contains the most exact details of the history of the Allied bombing campaign against Germany during the Second World War.**

In his book, Advance to Barbarism (p. 184), F. J. P. Veale states :

**“In passing it may be observed that the question of which air offensive was a reprisal for which had now long ceased to be a subject for dispute. As early as 1953 HM Stationery Office published the first volume of a work The Royal Air Force, 1939—1945 entitled The Fight at Odds, a book described as ‘officially commissioned and based throughout on official documents which had been read and approved by the Air Ministry Historical Branch.’** The author, Mr. Dennis Richards, states plainly that the destruction of oil plants and factories was only a secondary purpose of **the British air attacks on Germany which began in May 1940. The primary purpose of these raids was to goad the Germans into undertaking reprisal raids of a similar character on England. Such raids would arouse intense indignation in Britain against Germany and so create a war psychosis without which it is impossible to carry on a modern war.** Mr. Dennis Richards writes (p. 122): **“The [British—Ed.] attack on the [German—Ed.] Ruhr, in other words, was an informal invitation to the Luftwaffe [the German Air Force—Ed.] to bomb London.”**

**It could not have been phrased more clearly, or more cynically [“cynically” or truthfully?—Ed.], and this machiavellian trap functioned to perfection.** [de Poncins, p. 37-38]

Are you hearing this, dear readers? And have you ever heard this before, or elsewhere? And if not, why not? Because it’s all a pack of lies? Because it doesn’t matter any more, if it never did (to the likes of you)? (It obviously matters to the Americans if they lose 3 thousand civilians or less. Did they, are they, not going berserk, ballistic, imperialistic about it? Then why wouldn’t it matter to the Germans or the Japanese if they lose 3 million civilians or more?) Because Germans and Japanese simply don’t count?—not to mention Palestinians and other Arabs.)

Or it is because some (obviously very powerful) people don’t want you to know the truth? And if not, why not? Because they themselves (or their parents and grandparents) are the mass-murderous perpetrators of these genocidal “holocausts” of innocent Gentile civilians?

And exactly how can they forever keep you and yours from these “terrible” truths but by via total control of everything you read in the newspapers, everything you see on television, and everything hear on the radio? Is this not clearly, plainly and obviously so? And yet you imagine or believe that you live somewhere within “the free world”? In a “land of the free” or a “home of the brave”? And yet evidently not free or brave enough to speak or hear, or to write or read the truth? How free or brave is that? (Not very.)

“One view to find them./ One view to blind them./ One view to mark and in all Darkness bind them.”—(the deceitful, guileful, poisonous, monopolist, anti-Christ “king of all media”)

Evil or the Liar seeks to control the present by controlling the past. Present History is Its/their property because past History is their monopoly. Do you see?

And who exactly are these people who so thoroughly keep the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth from you and yours? Are they Frenchmen, Englishmen, Germans? Are they Gentiles, Christians, Mohammedans? Or are they “jews”? (You do the math.)

(But didn't Franklyn Delano Roosevelt perpetually grant us all “freedom of speech and expression—everywhere in the world.” I'm sure glad he did, dear reader, because otherwise frankly I'd be afraid of being officially censored or “legally” persecuted for telling the truth in anti-Christ Amerika. (See “truth-crime.”) Yes, precisely there, in that “land of the free” and that “home of the brave”—wherein Americans are rediscovering they cannot possess the former without the latter. And that's of course because freedom ain't free, nor does it come shrink-wrapped in a piece of (“constitutional”) paper. For, as the original rebels damn-well knew, freedom comes from barrels of (perhaps “illegal” or “unconstitutional”) guns. So keep your powder dry, comrades. (“I'm back in the U.S.S.A./ You ain't half as free as you say, boys!/ Back in the U.S., back in the U.S., back in the U.S.S.A.”)

\* \* \* \* \*

And from J. J. Martin, p. 106-7,

**“Area”** [“saturation,” “carpet” or “terror”—Ed.] **bombing also had another goal, the undermining of German morale, in the hope that subsequent disaffection would encourage various forms of** [mental, emotional, psychological—Ed.] **breakdown leading to** [a general, popular, widespread—Ed.] **collapse and surrender. Various staff papers and directives on the subject were filed in 1941, and a number of separate investigations into possibilities of maximizing personnel injury by bombing were conducted, the best known being those of Professors Solly Zuckerman, P.M.S. Blackett, and F. A. Lindemaun. Zuckerman and Blackett were both pessimistic about the possibilities of causing any formidable degree of harm to the German populace via area bombing. But Prime Minister Churchill turned for advice to Professor Lindemaun, who, according to Irving, “was asked to propound a bombing policy by which Britain could effectively assist her ally in the East,” Stalin.** It is instructive to note that the Earl of Birkenhead's special plea in defense of Lindemann, The Professor and the Prime Minister [Subtitled The Official Life of Professor F. A. Lindemann, [Ed.—a.k.a.] Viscount Cherwell (Boston, U.S.A., Houghton Muffin, 1962)—Ed.], makes no reference to this. However, **Churchill had taken the initiative in pushing through as policy a twenty-year treaty of amity and alliance with Stalin,** and was under some pressure to create a second front in Europe against Hitler, pressure which grew to immense proportions later in 1942.

[Thus practically from its mass-murderous beginnings, “jewish” Britain, like “jewish” Amerika, was in secret league with the similarly “beastly,” imperialistic or SuperNazi “jewish” “Soviet Union” against the independent Gentile nations of the globe, toward the Marxist, “communist,” Satanic or “messianic” conquest of the entire Gentile world by the Satanic “jews” and their Satanic “allies.”—Ed.]

**The Lindemann report, filed on March 30, 1942, as Irving puts it, “suggested that there was little doubt that an area bombing offensive could break the spirit of the enemy provided it was aimed at the working-class areas of the fifty-eight German towns with a population of more than 100,000 inhabitants each.”** As things turned out, Lindemann's prediction of the number that area bombing would kill or leave homeless was remarkably close to what was to transpire.

**When this report,** and the gruff controversy which it provoked, principally between Lindemann and Sir Henry Tizard, **was disclosed by Sir Charles P. Snow** in his Godkin Lectures at Harvard in **1960**, subsequently published as Science and Government, [Cambridge, Mass., U.S.A., Harvard University Press, 1961—Ed.] **it was a revelation which produced widespread shock.** Undoubtedly both the Earl of Birkenhead, in his official biography of Lindemann (and Times Literary Supplement, also

defensive of Lindemann), had point in taking Snow to task for his account of the fight, in which Lindemann came out a sinister villain and Tizard some kind of hero.

“Both men were avid for power, but in the eyes of Snow that was fitting in the case of Tizard, but reprehensible in that of Lindemann.” Actually the two men were not supporters of vastly different approaches to the subject; “there was far less difference between the views of Prof (sic) [Lindemann, as he was known to intimates] and Tizard on strategic bombing than Snow would have us believe,” asserted Birkenhead. Sir Charles Webster, one of the co-authors of the official British history of strategic bombing, also came forward with the declaration that Tizard “did not disagree fundamentally” with the bombing policy recommended by Lindemann. If anything it was a violent personality conflict and a struggle for power. As Birkenhead admitted [pp. 258-61 & 265-67]–Ed.), **“Both men were intensely ambitious to dictate the scientific [i.e. mass-murderous or genocidal–Ed.] policy of the country, and, in their grapple for power, there was room for only one at the summit.” Lindemann won.**

**And this was the policy adopted by Churchill, and with modifications became general “allied” policy after the January, 1943, Casablanca meeting, while official propaganda fed to the British (and of course American) publicity organs of all types insisted in highly moral terminology that only military targets were being attacked, and all others scrupulously avoided, even in 1944 and 1945. And Irving points out that the Churchill government was “able to safeguard its secret from the day that the first area raid had been launched,” “right up to the end of the war.” [Martin, p. 106-07]**

It was everywhere expected that these assertions of Sir Charles Snow [in 1960 & 61 about the British acceptance and perpetration of the mass-murderous Lindemann plan–Ed.] would at once be rebutted by categorical and emphatic denials. No attempt at denial was made, however. **Lord Birkenhead indeed hurriedly produced a biography of Professor Lindemann [The Professor and the Prime Minister; Boston, U.S.A., 1962–Ed.] in which he rebutted at length and with indignation the popular belief that Lindemann was a Jew, a point of no relevance or interest to anyone [Really? Of no relevance nor interest to anyone? Not even to a mere Gentile? Is “terrorism” irrelevant? And am I no one? As if this demonic and “terrible” fruit of mass-murder could more likely drop from any other but the Devil’s “jewish” tree, and hence from Its/their English, Amerikan and Soviet “allies”!–Ed.], but he had nothing to say with regard to the suggestion that Lindemann was a war criminal responsible for a ghastly crime against humanity. [Veale, p. 182]**

But what about those Brits (led by that anti-Christ, Winston himself) who accepted and practiced this mass-murderous “jew’s” mass-murderous proposal? And was this shadowy, mysterious, mass-murderous and “terrible,” “jew” a secret or covert agent of other (shadowy, mysterious, mass-murderous and “terrible”) “jews”? The SuperNazi sinagog of Satan, perhaps? (Apo./Rev. 2:9 & 3:9) Did “Lord Birkenhead” say so in his “biography” of Professor Lindemann? (Probably not.)

\* \* \* \* \*

Comparing the Mass-Murderous  
Terror-Bombing Campaigns of the  
Imperial or SuperNazi “jewish”  
“Allies” (England and America) and

# their National (or “Nazi”) Victim, Germany

**As for the total damage achieved in England by the Germans, as compared to that achieved in Germany**, the summary by Allen A. Michie, a one-time Time-Life reporter, in the Reader’s Digest in the summer of 1945 [August, p.77-78–Ed.], is particularly dramatic and succinct: **“The combined damaged areas of London, Bristol, and Coventry and all the blitzed cities of Britain could be dumped in the ruins of just one medium-sized German city and hardly be noticed.”**

**Coventry was many times cited in the popular propoganda as the excuse for obliteration strategy** [i.e. “area-,” “saturation-,” “carpet-” or “terror-bombing” of civilian non-combatants–Ed.] **applied later on in Germany. Michie estimated that by comparison Berlin suffered 363 Coventrys; Cologne, 269; Hamburg, 200; and Bremen, 137.** Few believed that this was an excessively weighted retaliation, or that such prodigious damage was not absolutely necessary. [Martin, p. 100]

So we have some interesting wartime eyewitness stories on the relative damage of air attacks: Stalingrad a bagatelle [trifle–Ed.] compared to London; **London and the entire damaged areas of all Britain combined virtually *nothing* compared to any one of seventy German cities *alone***, and one interesting traveller who hardly was able to find any damage in parts of London he visited at the very height of the war. **The Anglo-American propoganda picture of this interesting business was just about the reverse.** [Martin, p. 101-02]

Just see or hear the false and misleading reports from London, e.g., during the retaliatory German blitz of the “jewish”-Amerikan hate-propogandist, Edward R. Murrow.

**Churchill’s decision to bomb Germany’s civilian targets was to cost Britain dearly in terms of lives lost.** The smouldering blackened ruins of London, Liverpool, Coventry and many other British cities bore silent testimony to this. **The blitz on Coventry stands out as an example of such retaliatory raids and the British people in their innocence, unaware of the true reasons for their suffering, grew to hate and gave their all to strike back at the German barbarians** [when all the while from then to now the true barbarians were the British people themselves, or rather their war leaders and their obedient followers, whether officials, soldiers or civilians–Ed.]. **When the war ended in 1945, Coventry mourned 380 of her citizens who had died as a result of German bombing raids, and 100 acres of their city lay in ruins. A tragedy of enormous proportions which was nevertheless to pale into relative insignificance when compared with the results of bombing raids on German cities by allied bombers.** [McLaughlin, p. 3]

By the morning of 3rd August, 1943, **Hamburg** was no more. At least not in any true sense of the word. **No less than 6000 square acres had been utterly gutted. Ruined beyond repair. One can only wonder at the scale of values of “historians” who equate Hamburg or Dresden with Coventry. This is not to minimise in any sense the loss of 380 people who died in Coventry, or the 100 acres lost but if we can flinch at such ruination, what of 100,000 people killed in Hamburg in a few days and nights? For every person who died in Coventry. no less than 300 people died in Hamburg. How well such comparisons reveal the enormity of this crime which transcends all others**

**and which for 1000 years and more will blacken the names of Britain and America.** [McLaughlin, p. 15]

**For every Briton killed by German bombs, no less than nine Germans were killed by allied bombs. In the demilitarised city of Dresden, an estimated 135,000 people, mostly civilian refugees, were slaughtered in allied bombing raids in just 24 hours. And for no purpose other than sheer bloodlust.** That beautiful city which contributed very little to the German war effort was virtually removed from the face of the earth. It was a crime of such magnitude that one of Great Britain's most eminent Socialists, R.H.S. Crossman described it as: **"The long-suppressed story of the worst massacre in the history of the world."** He went on to say: **"The devastation of Dresden in February 1945 was one of those crimes against humanity whose authors would have been arraigned at Nuremberg if that court had not been perverted."** [McL.'s source: Dr. A.J.P.'s The Bombing Atrocity of Dresden; Boniface Press, London; (no date nor page #s given)-Ed.]

Equally horrendous were the earlier attacks on **Hamburg. In ten terrible days from July 24 to August 3 1943 British bombers reduced to rubble more than 6,000 acres of Hamburg. During those ten awesome days, an estimated 100,000 people lost their lives.** [McLaughlin, p. 3]

**The 40,000 killed in Berlin in a single daylight raid, the 60,000 to 100,000 in the July, 1943, week-long raids on Hamburg, the 100,000 to 150,000 killed in Dresden in one raid in February, 1945,** were all as hard to conceive as the most incredible of fairy tales, and undoubtedly still are. As Stuart Chase summarized it, while reviewing Donald M. Nelson's Arsenal of Democracy [in Nation, Nov. 23, 1946, p, 587)-Ed.], **the wars in Europe and Asia were won, "not by superlative generalship, courage, or cunning, but by literally overwhelming our enemies with shot and shell, a rain of steel and lead more dreadful than anything hitherto known. Where they sprinkled it on us, we let loose a continuous cloudburst on them."** Indeed, **to compare anything achieved in aerial bombing by the Germans with what later befell them is a travesty; English and American bombers dropped 315 tons of bombs on Germany for every one Germans dropped on England.** [Martin, p. 121]

And so there really was no counter-terror bombing of English civilians by the Germans. But there was reluctant (and merely retaliatory) "strategic" bombing of legitimate military targets (factories, ports, airfields, etc.) during 1940 and '41 which came to be called the "London blitz" and the "battle of Britain." And remember, Lord Hitler's proposals and appeals for peace were ignored by the Brits before, during and after this violent time.

And contrary to "allied" propaganda, Hitler never intended to invade England. What for? He wanted peace with England (and France, and Amerika). But Churchill (and his hidden "jewish" masters) simply wouldn't allow it. They wouldn't even respond to Hitler's peace proposals. They had (and still have) an entirely different, contrary and hidden agenda: the "jewish" conquest of the entire Gentile world. Is this not so?

\* \* \* \* \*

From Martin, p. 120-21,

**The American press carried vast spreads on the exploits of the United States Air Force in Europe from 1943 on; its participation as a partner to the RAF in the massive bombing raids on Hamburg, Berlin, and Dresden have been documented in profusion.** It is for this among other reasons that some observers thought there was something peculiarly anticlimactic when the New York Herald Tribune and other papers published on Sunday, February 18, 1945, **less than three months before the end of the war in Europe, a dispatch from the Supreme Headquarters of the Allied**

**Expeditionary Force in Paris announcing that “the allied air chiefs have made the long-awaited decision to adopt deliberate terror bombing of German population centers as a ruthless expedient to hasten Adolf Hitler’s doom.”<sup>1</sup> One might have been led to wonder that if “terror bombing” was next, what possibly might be the name for what had already taken place, and whether making sure of the doom of additional hundreds of thousands was necessary in order to make sure of Hitler’s.**

**1. This communique aroused a furious discommotion. It was suppressed in England but filtered into the Associated Press traffic and was published in the United States ; as Irving says, “Thus, for one extraordinary moment, what might be termed the ‘mask’ of the allied bomber commands appeared to have slipped.” It was eventually “officially taken back,” but the damage was done. Irving, Destruction of Dresden, pp. 218-222. [sic-Ed.]**

**Most Americans, living anywhere from four to eight thousand miles from where the bombs were falling, had no conception of what area bombing was like, and still do not**, with the exception of those who have taken part in it [e.g. Jimmy Stuart, Hollywood actor, and W.W. II bomb squadron leader-Ed.] or who were able to see the stunning mass wreckage in Europe at war’s end. (Postwar tourists fortunately spared the death and carnage.) What Vera Brittain [and her British (Terror-) “Bombing Restriction Committee”-Ed.] was trying do was as incomprehensible to the vast majority [of Brits and Americans-Ed.] as an attempt to establish the reality of science fiction. **This [popular ignorance or indifference-Ed.] cannot be laid entirely to remoteness from the field of action**; the English, already bombed and always in the line for more, were scarcely more moved by the Brittain appeal than were Americans. **However, the feeling of relative immunity from any substantial retaliation** [via America’s oceanic moat-Ed.] **surely had a part to play in the complacency. The progressive dulling of the public conscience with daily drippings of horror throughout the war such as newsreel episodes of Japanese flushed from caves with flame-throwers, with clothing and hair on fire, was hardly conducive to the development of public conscience against the savagery of distant, impersonal aerial bombing carried out against women and children.** [Martin, p. 120-21]

**The attempt to tell people that multitudes of German non-combatants, half of them women and children, were dying in fire-storms in bombed cities, where temperatures approached 1500° Fahrenheit [(paper bursts into flames at 451°)-Ed.], and the scores of other revolting consequences** [e.g. human flesh melting into liquid at those temperatures; German policemen mercifully shooting phosphorus-splattered German civilians dead like mad-dogs to put them out of their hellish, untreatable, “allied” agony, etc., etc., etc.-Ed.], **was bound to encounter open-mouthed stares of disbelief.** Furthermore the demands of wartime partisanship upon the news dispensers resulted in preposterous non-sequiturs being used to divert attention from the main issue. **When the official Stalinist photographic agency Sovfoto supplied American papers and magazines with pictures of dead Russian civilians, these were published here and invariably accompanied by charges or imputations that the dead were victims of German “atrocities.” But when a German picture arrived here in September, 1943, of a vast collection of bodies of women killed in an allied air raid on Cologne, laid out in rows to facilitate identification by surviving relatives, it was disparaged as an example of the “lengths to which the Nazis have gone in building up the horror aspects of the allied bombing offensive against the Reich” (Newsweek, September 20, 1943, p. 38). Apparently the experiencing of horror by the enemy was possible only as a by-product of propaganda.** [Martin, p. 110]

This is because the mass-murderous, “jewish” or anti-Christ “allies” (being liars, like their “Uncle” Satan, their Father of lies—John 8:44) can never admit nor confess the terroristic and horrifying crimes they routinely commit against all humanity—against all those Gentiles good enough to oppose them, and so of course against all truly Godly spirits, souls and bodies, and therefore especially against Adolf Hitler and all his good and loyal Germans. Consider: Whom do the Satanic “jews” hate even more than Lord Adolf Hitler? If not Jesus-God, then no one. Is this not so?

\* \* \* \* \*

And let’s not forget the German revelations in April 1943 of the 10,000 Polish officers mass-murdered by the “jewish” Soviets in the spring of 1940 and mass-buried in Poland’s Katyn forest (and Satan’s SuperNazi sinagog knows where else).

And at that time, Stalin got his “jewish”-Amerikan buddy and fellow-communist, F. D. Roosevelt, the president of “jewish”-Amerika, to stop the American Red Cross from sending agents to verify the German discoveries of the Soviet atrocities at Katyn, and to block its parent body, the International Red Cross, from officially participating in the forensic post-mortems conducted there.

Apparently in this case, “No news was good news” for the Satanic, anti-Christ, “jewish” “allies” against God, Gentile humanity, Germany, Justice and Truth.

These truthful and genuinely atrocious revelations at Katyn forest were totally ignored (and hence went totally unreported) by the SuperNational “jewish” media-monopoly, which only allows “all” the “news” that “fits” or furthers their Satanic world agenda to be printed, disseminated, read, seen or heard. And hence we ceaselessly see, hear and read of their racist Holocaust” slanders against Germans. But never a word about the real and true “holocaust(s)”—i.e. their “burnt offerings” to their god, father or “uncle” Satan—“religious” “sacrifices” which these demons made via their British and Amerikan “allies” and via their mass-murderous terror bombings and other genocides of German (and Japanese) civilians.

(See also, e.g., the “allied” expulsions of Germans civilians from their homes after the war, and the mass-murders of German soldiers by the “jewish” “Soviet Union” of Joseph Stalin and by that other mass-murderous monster, other than Roosevelt and Churchill, the “jewish”-Amerikan general (and later president) Eisenhower.) Lesson to the world: You must never lose a war to Satan’s “allies.” And you can’t ever surrender to them. They’re uncivilized, mass-murderous, Satanic monsters. Is this not precisely so?

\* \* \* \* \*

And by the way, On May 8, 1979, the International Red Cross officially numbered all people who died within German prison or concentration camps during World War II to have been 271,304. And this of course includes all “jews.” Having nothing whatsoever to hide, the Germans kept meticulous records. (So much for the “Holohoax” of the mass-murderous, slanderous, SuperNazi sinagog of Satan.)

So how many people died within the “allied” prison or concentration camps of “jewish” Amerika, Britain and Soviet Union during (and after) their World War II? What figures does the International Red Cross give, if any? Inquiring minds want to know (just how infinitely more guilty the slanderous “jewish” and “allied” accusers are than their slanderously accused, “tried,” “convicted” and “executed” (i.e. “legally” lynched) German (and Japanese) victims at Nuremberg, (and Tokyo), etc.. Where are the meticulous “concentration camp” records of the almighty and victorious “allies”? I’ll bet they don’t have any, or else destroyed or “edited” them, because they’re mass-murderers and don’t want the world to know exactly whom and how many they’ve mass-murdered. For if there were records kept (or not destroyed) we would know to the man how many German soldiers died or were murdered in murderous Amerikan or bloody British hands.

And yet nearly one-million German prisoners of war were mass-murdered by starvation, exposure and neglect by that anti-Christ “crusader” in Europe, Eisenhower, who was rewarded for his war crimes with the “jewish” Amerikan presidency from 1953-61. Where was the International Red Cross at that intense time of need?

(And millions more German prisoners of war were taken into the “Soviet Union” and never, ever heard from again. Is this not so? (But the uncivilized Soviets were not signatories to any civilized “conventions of warfare.” And so the International Red Cross cannot at all be blamed for not reporting to the world on that particularly atrocious genocide, mass-murder or “holocaust.” For they had no access.)

Look again at the figure above (271,304). It’s meticulous, accurate or exact to the man. It’s not even rounded off to the nearest ten, one hundred, one thousand, or ten thousand. Germans can afford to come to the light of truth. For they had (have) little to no guilt or shame to hide from all the world. But Americans, Brits and Soviets cannot, and for obvious reasons. And not only that, but these bastards of their Satanic Father slander(ed) their German victims as perpetrators of their very own mass-murderous “crimes against [German–Ed.] humanity.” (John 8:44)

But where are the “International Red Cross” reports on the number of dead Germans in Amerikan or British hands? For these allegedly civilized nations (or rather empires) **were** signatories to the Geneva conventions on civilized warfare. Little good that did the civilized world, except to help conceal these “allied” and mass-murderous barbarians under a civilized mask.

And where was the “International Red Cross” when the Germans were being internationally “judiciously,” and legalistically slandered, tortured (by the testicles) and lynched at Nuremberg, etc.? And if they weren’t invited to give damning and slanderous “testimony” at that “allied” kangaroo court and inevitable rope dance, they could at least have SAID SOMETHING, or else published to the world what they damn well knew to be true. But did they do that? Why not? Were (are) they cowards, or complicit “jews”?

In truth this “Red Cross” is a cowardly and useless international entity. For unlike the present imperial Amerikan prison camps throughout the world, this Red Cross always had access at any time to each and every German “concentration camp.” And so they always damn well knew there were no “gassings” nor any other “jew”-alleged mass-murders occurring therein. But over the years since, other than releasing the above statistic, this cowardly group hardly ever contradicted (much less disproved) what they damn well knew were atrocious “jewish” lies and slanders against the “holocausted” German people and their lynched leaders. If this “International Red Cross” really were (are) objective, honest, truthful, non-partisan and unbiased, then surely they would have repeatedly, stubbornly, even stridently, told and retold the objective, honest, non-partisan and unbiased truth about the German prisons or “concentration camps.” Is this not clearly, plainly, obviously so? In other words, what the hell good were or are these God-damned, “Red Cross” bastards?

And therefore I say, every single country which loves or respects Truth and Justice should expel this useless and cowardly “International Red Cross” from within their borders. For Truth and Justice demand it, at the very least. For when they were needed the very most, they delivered the very least. Is this not so? Then they must go. It’s only right. To allow them to stay is to approve or be complicit in what they did in their “holocaustic” omissions, if not also commissions.

In other words, did the “International Red Cross” merely fail to deny or refuse to refute the “allied” or “jewish” “holocaust” slanders against the Germans and their leaders, which they damn-well knew to be so? Or did they also affirm them, thus joining Satan’s wolf pack in their demonic howlings for their lies, slanders, and injustices to be regarded by all onlookers as truths, justice and retribution? And are they, as their name suggests, if not subtly proclaims, bloody “international reds” with innocent German blood on their hands, along with “Uncle” Satan’s genocidal “allies”? I put the question.

\* \* \* \* \*

The attack on **Dresden** had indeed attained all that could possibly have been desired of it [by the Devil’s (Amerikan and British) demons, both military and civilian–Ed.] : **over sixteen hundred acres of the city had been devastated in one night, compared with the rather under six hundred acres destroyed in London during the**

**whole war.** [David Irving, The Destruction of Dresden, 1963; (First Futura Ed., 1980, p. 168)]

\* \* \* \* \*

## Strafing the German Survivors of the “Allied” Terror Bombings

The night after Dresden was mass-murdered by the “allied” “liberators,” they set their sights on a town nearby. But why? To “finish off” the survivors of Dresden, why else?  
From Irving, p. 168,

**Curiously, although Chemnitz as a city possessed many obviously military and legitimate targets**—the tank works, the large textile and uniform-making factories, and one of the largest locomotive repair depots in the Reich, **in at least two widely separated squadrons of two Bomber Groups an almost identical wording of the briefing was used by the Intelligence officers.** [KILL ALL THE GERMANS YOU POSSIBLY CAN!!!—Ed.] Thus No.1 Group crews were informed:

**Tonight your target is to be Chemnitz. We are going there to attack the refugees who are gathering there, especially after last night’s attack on Dresden.**

No. 3 Group crews were briefed [instructed, directed, commanded—Ed.]:

**Chemnitz** is a town some thirty miles west of Dresden, and a much smaller target. **Your reasons for going there tonight are to finish off any refugees who may have escaped from Dresden. You’ll be carrying the same bombloads,** and if tonight’s attack is as successful as the last, you will not be paying any more visits to the Russian front.

The wording is from the diary kept by one of the bomb-aimers who was present at one of the No. 3 group briefings.

[David Irving, The Destruction of Dresden, 1963; (First Futura Ed., 1980, p. 168)]

Can anyone honestly doubt that the real and true “commander-in-chief” of the “allied” forces was (is) Satan Itself? Yet see and hear how the “holocaustic” demons of “jewish”-Amerika and England even to this day still slander their German victims! Surely they thereby prove themselves the genetic and spiritual sons of their father, the Devil, the (false) “Accuser,” the “Slanderer.” (John 8:44)

From McLaughlin,

Prime Minister Chamberlain, before he was ousted by the Churchill clique, had been quite adamant on the matter of bombing civilians. He had said that such a policy, “was absolutely contrary to international law”. And he had given [Lord Hitler?—Ed.] the assurance that: “The British Government would never resort to the deliberate attack on women and children for purposes of mere terrorism.”

**Winston Churchill** had no such scruples and **was a principle party to the most appalling acts of mass murder which included the strafing of women and children refugees as they fled from their burning cities, or before the Red Armies’ raping Asiatic hordes.** [London’s Sunday Telegraph, “1.10.61”—Ed.] [McLaughlin, p. 4]

And again from Irving, p. 194-95,

The ferocity of the U.S.St.A.F. ["United States Strategic Air Force"—Ed.] daylight raid of 14th February had finally brought the people to their knees. The sky had been overcast and the bombs dropped by the Flying Fortresses were widely scattered.

But it was not the bombs which finally demoralized the people : compared with the night's bombardment by two- and four-ton 'blockbusters', the American 500-pound General Purpose bombs must have seemed very tame; it was **the Mustang fighters**, which **suddenly appeared low over the city, firing on everything that moved, and machine-gunning the columns of lorries** [trucks—Ed.] **heading for the city** [to offer aid—Ed.]. **One section of the Mustangs concentrated on the river banks, where masses of bombed-out people had gathered. Another section attacked targets in the Grosser Garten area.** ["Sitting in an English garden waiting for the Sun. / If the Sun don't come you get a tan from standing in the English rain."—Ed.]

Civilian reaction to **these fighter-strafting attacks, which were apparently designed to complete the task** outlined in the air commanders' Directives as 'causing confusion in the civilian evacuation from the East', [Can you, dear reader, say "euphemism" for "allied" mass-murder or terrorism?—Ed.] was immediate and universal; they realized that they were absolutely helpless.

**Amerikan fighters** [not bombers but low-flying, mass-murderous, machine-gunning planes—Ed.] **strafed Tiergarten-strasse, the road bordering the Grosser Garten on the southern side.** Here the remnants of the famous Kreuzkirche children's choir had taken refuge. Casualties on record include the Choir Inspector, seriously wounded, and one of the choir boys killed. **British prisoners who had been released from their burning camps were among those to suffer the discomfort of machine-gunning attacks on the river banks and have confirmed the shattering effect on morale. Wherever columns of tramping people were marching in or out of the city they were pounced on by the fighters, and machine-gunned or raked with cannon fire** [very large bullets fired from Amerikan airplanes—Ed.]. **It is certain that many casualties were caused by this low-level strafing of the city, which later became a permanent feature of American attacks.**

[David Irving, The Destruction of Dresden, 1963; (First Futura Ed., 1980, p. 194-95)]

\* \* \* \* \*

Did you hear that, dear reader: "this low-level strafing of [German cities—Ed.]...became a permanent feature of American attacks." Isn't that just darling?

And yet Amerikans have the gall, nerve or "hutsba" to endlessly whine, bitch and moan to all the world, demanding all the world's sympathy, commiseration, cooperation and submission—(and all the while subtly threatening them all with further imperial attacks for any alleged **lack** of sympathy on their parts toward this most threatening, violent and Satanic "beast")—simply because two unAmerican pilots drove two hijacked American airplanes into their "twin world-trade towers"?

Can you, dear reader, say anti-Christ, Satanic or "jewish"-Amerikan "solipsism," "hypocrisy" and/or "injustice"? As if they never invaded anyone else's air-space! As if they never mass-murdered unarmed civilians, and by the hundreds of thousands, even millions!

(And here they're ceaselessly whining about 3,000?! Is this not merely a pathetic pretext and camouflage for further "jewish"-Amerikan imperialism? I put the question.)

\* \* \* \* \*

# Other Europeans Mass-Murdered and Terrorized by the Uncivilized and anti-Christ “Allies”

From Martin, p. 116-17,

**But its was not just the Germans who were suffering from obliteration bombing.** [i.e. “area-,” “saturation-,” “carpet-,” or “terror-bombing” of enemy civilians—Ed.] Anne O’Hare McCormick of the New York Times soon was in competition with her **descriptions of the flattening of a long string of Italian communities as the Anglo-American forces began their move up the peninsula, and protests began to file in from prominent churchmen in Belgium and France.** Rev. John L. Bazinet of St. Mary’s Seminary in Baltimore acted as the agent for Cardinal Van Roey, Archbishop of the Belgian city of Malines, in **presenting to the New York Times his protest against Anglo-American mass bombing of Belgian and other European cities, in May, 1944, though the Times quietly rejected it for publication.** [Of course it did. It evidently wasn’t news that “fit” the “jew’s” paper’s mass-murderous or terroristic agenda, and hence found itself no part of “all the news that fits.”—Ed.] [“Catholic weekly papers published it, however. Rev. Gills in Catholic World (August, 1944), pp. 391-392.”—Martin’s footnote]

On the heels of this came **the May 14, 1944, Paris and Vichy radio broadcasts of an appeal to the Cardinals and Archbishops of the Catholic Church in the United States and the British empire to intervene against indiscriminate bombing of French and other European cities.** The appeal came from Cardinals Lienart of Lille, Suhard of Paris, Gerlier of Lyon, and Archbishop Chollet of Cambrai. Not long after, Paulding in Commonweal engaged in a recital of Belgian and French cities from one-third to one-half destroyed by area bombing. [“Plea of French Bishops,’ Commonweal (June 2, 1944), p. 105; Paulding, ‘Other Cities,’ Commonweal (June 16, 1944), p. 197.”—Martin’s footnote]

**Of course the appeal of the churchmen in question received very little attention here** [in “jewish” or anti-Christ Amerika—Ed.], **despite their eminence; after all, they were dignitaries associated with the church in regions controlled by the enemy,** and the war was demonstrating that though Maxim Litvinov’s bromide [platitude—Ed.], that “peace is indivisible,” was possibly so, the divisibility of Christianity definitely was so. [Martin, p. 116-17]

\* \* \* \* \*

Of course, for the “jews” conquer the Gentiles by division: of classes and genders: of bourgeois” and “proletarians,” of “capitalists” and “unionists,” of managers and workers; and of men and women. (See e.g. “women’s liberation” from men, or their rebellion against men, nature, children, families, God.)

And “jews” seek to fragment and scatter the Christian flock, (after they kill the one, true Shepherd of course), that they then may pick off devour them one by one. (Matt. 26:31 & 12:30)

And “jews” seek to divide and conquer all Gentile races or nations via alien racial immigration and forced (racial) “integration”—(i.e. racial or national **disintegration**, scattering, disuniting, Balkanization or Babylonization). Such are their evil, malevolent, harmful intra-national policies against every Gentile race and nation.

And internationally, and last but not least, the “jews” conquer the Gentiles by setting Gentile nations against Gentile nations, (see their Zionist Protocols), by charging the Gentile

nations (or empires) which they possess (i.e. Amerika, England) with attacking those free and independent Gentile nations which they **don't** possess (Germany, Japan, Italy, Palestine, Iraq, etc.)

So “jews” conquer the Gentiles by dividing them, by getting them to hate, to fight and even to kill one another. Therefore beware and be armed against this.

\* \* \* \* \*

## “Operation Gomorrah” over Hamburg, Germany: A Real and True “Allied” Satanic, “Jewish” “Holocaust”

Again from Michael McLaughlin's Death of a City; Phoenix Pub. London, England, 1982. (The city was Hamburg, Germany, the spiritual birthplace of the post-war Beatles, the phoenix arisen from the hellish, “holocaustic,” Satanic “allied” flames.)

**“Gomorrah” was the code name given to the plan to incinerate Hamburg in 1943.** [McLaughlin, p. 3]

...**this account concerns itself only with terror-bombing** and its consequences. There are many excellent books on **bombing as a legitimate act of war** but that **is something** which is quite different and **totally different from the policy of saturation bombing for the purpose of terrorising and destroying a civilian population.** [McLaughlin, p. 12]

**Old Hamburg** before it was incinerated, It was **precisely because its medieval houses were dry-timbered and packed closely together that R.A.F.** [“Royal Air Force”—Ed.] **High Command on Churchill's orders bombed them. They reasoned that in such areas, they could kill more people per square mile.** [photo caption, p. 5]

Imagine, **here was a city similar in size to Manchester or Birmingham** [England—Ed.], **in which over 100,000 people had lost their lives. Many times that number had been maimed or otherwise badly injured. 750,000 people had been made homeless.** Think of a city comparable in size to any major British city and consider what it would be like to pick your way through the rubble from one end of it to the other. To find in that area over 100,000 of your fellow citizens dead in the rubble. And weep because it had no affect whatsoever on the course of the war other than to lengthen it.... One might say **it was Hobson's [?] choice as to whether it was better to be “liberated” by Britain's war lords or Moscow's hordes of raping, looting Asiatics.** [MaLaughlin, p. 13]

**As National Socialist Germany's second largest city, Hamburg was a natural target for allied bombing raids. The shame attached to “Operation Gomorrah” was that it far exceeded that which was necessary to paralyse the city's contribution to Germany's war effort. “Gomorrah” was the code name given to the plan to incinerate Hamburg In 1943.** [McLaughlin, p. 3]

\* \* \* \* \*

**“Aerial bombardment for the purpose of terrorizing the civilian population, of destroying or damaging private property not of a military character, or of injuring non-combatants, is prohibited.”**—A ruling established at the Washington [D.C., U.S.A.—Ed.] Conference on the Limitation of Armaments in 1922. [Martin, p. 102-03]

(Good words, but too bad they're not true, but a pack of "jewish"-Amerikan lies. For their Uncle Satan is a liar, and the imperial father of world-wide lies, deceits, murders and mass-murders. (John 8:44) It is an anti-Christ and a Pharisee. It simply does not practice what It preaches. (Matt. 23:1-3 & :25-28) And "jewish"-Amerika's Uncle Satan unjustly persecutes ("punishes") others for not practicing what It preaches (i.e. commands)—"everywhere in the world," or for defending themselves against It, if only slightly, evasively, disobediently.)

\* \* \* \* \*

The city of Hamburg, Germany, before and during the summer of 1943:

#### A CITY DIGS IN

As might be expected of a German National Socialist city [or as the "jews," anti-Christ or (mass-murderous, Satanist, "holocaustic") "allies" say, a "Nazi" city-Ed.], **no effort was spared to make the city as safe as possible from air attack. It was perhaps the best protected city in Europe from an air attack point of view. The Police President of Hamburg wrote: "The fate of our cities in the Ruhr district and on the Rhine was a warning. None of the experience gained there was disregarded. The plainly increasing intensity of the war in the air led to an acceleration of tempo in the constant development of air protection measures which finally reached the limit of possibility."**

There was total mobilisation of all resources and there was no shortage of volunteers. Men, women, and even children worked ceaselessly to make their city safe. Equipment was kept in tip-top condition and always at hand. **A massive air raid shelter construction program was begun and the authorities assisted civilians in building air raid shelters in homes and factories. The same authorities saw to it that the city's hospitals, government buildings, schools, administrative and police buildings were likewise catered for.** [p. 3]

#### THE HOLOCAUST BEGINS

As **July, 24th, 1943** came to an end and the sun slipped down behind the western horizon, the scents and sounds of another English Summer's day were submerged by the activities surrounding events as **nearly 800 heavy bombers were made ready for declaring war on a great city. Over 5000 British airmen; pilots, co-pilots, bombardiers and navigators, radio operators and gunners were preparing to take part in the first assault wave of an air armada that in ten days would erase from the face of the earth, one of Europe's oldest and loveliest cities.**

As darkness descended, English airfields vibrated to the roar of nearly 4000 of the most powerful air engines devised by man. **Each bomber was being positioned for a long nose-to-tail lumbering take off.** The engines screamed on full throttle while pilots checked their instruments. Their brakes stayed firmly on while the pilots waited for the signal to go. Finally it was given and the brakes were released. **The bombers, Lancasters and Halifaxes, sluggishly moved down the runways trying to pick up speed yet handicapped by huge bomb loads.**

**In each, everything had been sacrificed to enable them to carry the heaviest possible bomb load and incendiary devices** [or fire bombs-Ed.]. **The Lancaster could carry a bomb load of seven tons.** In weight, that is the equivalent to that of a double-decker bus. It could carry monstrous bombs weighing many tons each. [?] **The Halifax likewise could carry bomb loads of seven tons** and cruise at speeds of 230 miles per hour.

On the ground the bombers were cumbersome although the sense of their power was overwhelming as these monster aircraft lurched awkwardly down the runways. It was an awesome spectacle. Each of the aircraft's four propellers chewed hungrily at the air, dragging the reluctant fuselage along and slowly increasing its speed until 60 to 70

miles per hour was reached. Then, each tail slowly started to lift as the pressure of air increased beneath the aircraft. Finally, the wheels grudgingly left the tarmac and airborne, the bombers clawed to increase height.

This air armada of nearly 1000 bombers took their bearings from English coasts and then as one they headed out over the North Sea towards Germany. Leading the pack were “the Pathfinders”—a corps d’elite made up of the most experienced and skilled crews. **In the armada itself were 51 bombers that would not take part in the attack on Hamburg. They are decoys. They will break off from the main body to swing towards other coastal areas as a ploy to confuse the German defences. As they do so, these bombers and others too will release thousands of strips of tinfoil called “window” and these will appear on German radar screens as enemy aircraft and will cause further confusion. German fighter planes directed by ground control units will search the skies vainly for a non-existent enemy. By the time they realise that the British are attacking Hamburg, it will be too late for them to do much about it. They will find that the earth beneath the air armada has been torn apart and that a large section of their homeland has become a sea of flames.**

During the day, **Hamburg’s** citizens had already been inconvenienced by two false air raid alarms but when the **sirens start screaming again at 24 minutes past midnight**, they dutifully went once more to their air raid shelters. The silence of the night was broken again at **33 minutes past midnight and moments later**, the urgent shrieking of the [air raid–Ed.] warnings was drowned out by the roar of **nearly 1000 bombers and already the first deadly rain of landmines, high-explosive bombs and incendiary devices was falling on the city**. All hell broke loose and no words can adequately convey the awesome spectacle that unfolded before the eyes of people watching from the safety of small towns beyond Hamburg itself.

The clean night air of Summer was broken by countless flickering, wavering searchlights as they stabbed at the darkness seeking an airborne enemy for the flak [anti-aircraft–Ed.] guns to aim at. The combined noise of the air armada, air raid sirens, and anti-aircraft guns firing made speech impossible. The din on the ears was enough to make some people lose their senses. The darkness of the night sky, now broken by the searchlights and the jagged bursts of flak was lightened by a more ominous glow as **bombs struck the city and incendiaries thudded through houses and buildings setting them ablaze.**

**The flames spread through the city as the very air itself throbbed to the drumming roar of hundreds and hundreds of heavy bombers overhead. Smoke started to rise from the city; first as many hundreds of individual columns, but soon to become one column of cloying choking gases. Beneath this black shroud, flames danced. Some were hundreds of feet high, swirling from building to building. Shock waves from the falling bombs could be felt running through the ground several miles away** and as onlookers watched from a safe distance and the horizon became a sea of flickering flames, they were mesmerised by the awesome sight. Their minds became numbed by the horror that unfolded before them for they knew that in those flames, thousands of their fellow countrymen, including women and children, were being done to death.

For the city’s German defenders, the misery was increased, for **against the swirling clouds of tinfoil being dropped by the bombers, their searchlights and their anti-aircraft guns were virtually useless. Ground defences were thrown into turmoil for both searchlights and anti-aircraft guns were radar controlled.** [“these bombers ...release thousands of strips of tinfoil called “window” and these will appear on German radar screens as enemy aircraft and will cause further confusion.” (above)–Ed.] **The apparatus which normally guides the searchlight beams and gun sights to their targets had seemingly become deranged. The apparatus reported bombers where there weren’t any.** The beams of light wandered aimlessly, stabbing uselessly in

all directions. Far above the confusion, a bomber's pilot reported exultantly: "They waved aimlessly in every direction. It was a beautiful sight to see." [p. 5-6]

#### WHEN SATAN RULED, GOD WEPT

**In Hamburg itself, the fire defences were completely overwhelmed. "Bomber Harris's" prediction was coming true and the old dry-timbered medieval sections of the city were ablaze from one end of the city to the other. High-explosive bombs had smashed water mains and firemen looked on helplessly as the flames leapt from building to building. The noise was beyond all previous experience. Every explosive device known to man was pouring down on the city.** The sound smashed the ears, it stunned the brain. People, terrified out of their wits, succumbed to violent spasms and shaking. From all quarters could be heard shouts; and above the shouts, the shrieks of pain, madness, agony, terror, anguish and horror. It was not until twelve hours after the Lancasters and Halifaxes had left that the full horror was gauged. **The city's officials were appalled at the numbers killed during that two and a half hours attack, 1500 citizens of Hamburg had been killed and many times that number mutilated and maimed beyond all practical help.**

**All gas, water, electricity mains had been destroyed.** Devastation was everywhere. The world famous Hagenbeck Zoo had been totally destroyed and pieces of animals were scattered in the area.

The radio operators in the Lancasters and Halifaxes were beside themselves with glee as they listened on their radios to the confused shouts on German radio waves as **for two and a half hours** [i.e. from 12:30 to 3 a.m.–Ed.], **their bombers poured 2,396 tons of bombs onto the stricken city below. The first air raid was terrifying in the extreme to the people of Hamburg.** Large parts of their city were in flames and the suburb of Barbeck on the left banks of the Alster was almost completely destroyed. The suburbs of Hoheluft, Elmsbittel and Altona, and the inner city too were likewise desolated. They [the Germans of Hamburg–Ed.] had no way of knowing that **pro Zionist Winston Churchill had embarked on a coldly calculated policy of wiping Hamburg and as many of its citizens as possible off the face of the earth**, that the night's horrifying event was just an appetiser for "Bomber Command" and that in ten days time, their city would be no more, that it would resemble nothing more or less than a desert of bomb craters and crumbling shells of burned out buildings.

That [first–Ed.] night's bombing was just a grim foretaste of what was yet to come. **For perhaps the first time in the history of mankind, one European nation was to utilise technological advantage to raze to the ground whole cities without any thought of sparing the inhabitants, innocent or otherwise. This is terror-bombing designed for no other purpose but to instill in a population a boundless fear, a mindless terror. What the Red Army could do with barbaric Asiatic hordes, the Western allies could do with bomber armadas.** [McLaughlin, p. 6]

....The fires were so intense that an entire house would disappear as completely and as quickly as would a piece of paper thrown onto a fire burning in a grate at home. Within thirty minutes of the first attack, two out of every three buildings in an area of six square miles were burning. Yet **the bombers continued to empty their cargoes of death on the stricken city. The most heavily populated area of Hamburg was doomed.**

**There was no wind in Hamburg that night but the fires created their own wind.** [Hear that, dear reader?–Ed.] Hamburg was a city that was dead except for the intense flickering of flames and ignited gases. **That which remained of the terrified population was underground; sheltering and knowing that exposure on the streets would almost certainly result in a quick and horrible death. The heat was so intense that phenomena previously unknown to man began to occur. Parts of**

**buildings simply burst into flames although no flame had been near them. Flames, hundreds of feet in length danced and waved in the air unattached to any combustible [or combustibile, flammable or burning—Ed.] material. Pockets of air heated to incredible density exploded. [?]**

**It became possible to see air moving. The flames of the doomed city needed oxygen and the breeze feeding the fires became a stiff wind wafting through the avenues and streets leading into the city. As a million flames embraced and became one in a multi-mile inferno, the winds became gales and partially destroyed buildings began to collapse in the fast-moving streams of air.** Flaming wreckage was picked up and hurled through streets and into the city itself. Never in the history of man have such scenes been witnessed. Balls of fire were leaping into the air and exploding.

**Gales shrieked as the countryside surrounding the mutilated city was starved of air. It began to look as though the very skies above Hamburg were on fire. For the tens of thousands of lost-souls in their air raid shelter sanctuaries, escape was hopeless. The condition outside of their shelters were such that survival could be measured in minutes if not moments. They sealed the doors tight and bolted themselves inside little knowing that they had sealed their own tombs and that they would never again set eyes on their city. There was no escape but some did try. [p. 7]**

#### HAMBURG IS NO MORE

Hamburg presented a vision of what the inner-earth must look like, or the fiery wastes of the sun's surface. The sounds of the screaming winds competed with the deafening crackle of thousands of fires.

**Explosions filled the air and tar on the roads became liquid, rippling and moving slowly.** It was a sight never before seen by man and in terms of lives lost and buildings damaged, far exceeded the damage done to atom-bombed Nagasaki. **In such heat as this, everything, literally everything burned.** Gases vomited from stricken buildings. Super-heated gases travelling at incredible velocity seared the skies as high as the earth's troposphere, an incredible 40,000 feet above the city itself. **Still the bombers came and the pillar of twisting smoke and gases reached five miles higher than the bombers themselves. This was a firestorm.**

**The air above Hamburg was pure flame. Six square miles of Hamburg had become engulfed in the world's greatest fire** and merely looking at the blinding heat and light could terrorise, destroy the mind. **There were no longer any individual blazes and the winds relentlessly feeding the flames were sucked in at higher and higher speeds.** Even out in the suburbs it was like no ordinary wind. Such winds as we all experience each day of our lives swirl in eddies and gusts. They blow this way at one corner, another way at the next corner. But **these winds showed no variation in direction or speed. The wind flowed into the city at a constant speed. During the early stages these winds had reached forty and then fifty miles per hour.**

Ninety minutes after the first bombs fell, trees on the outskirts of the city were beginning to lose their leaves. It was as though some giant supernatural vacuum cleaner was sucking them off. Small branches were snapped off and the natural debris of the streets was picked up as though by some unseen hand and swirled away, bouncing off the shells of buildings but always sucked in one direction. Outside the city's perimeter, tens of thousands of people had gathered to witness that which no man had witnessed before them. A whole city had become a throbbing inferno of intense heat. They watched as a column of flame a mile wide reached the inner limits of space. This surely was a night when God wept!

["And as the flames leaped high into the night/ to light the sacrificial [or 'holocaustal'-Ed.] rite,/ I saw Satan laughing with delight/ the day the Music died/ ....For fire is the Devil's only friend./"—(Don McClean) [And the Christian God's tear is the "jewish" god's joy!—Ed.]

"WHEN SATAN RULED, GOD WEPT" [p. 6]

[And Satan still does rule in all the "allied" states, as in the still-conquered and demonically-occupied Germany. There hasn't been a pro-German leader in Germany since Hiller, or rather Doenitz.]

Oh, what a price is paid for Satanic self-righteousness, and for national or supernatural (SuperNazi), imperial or "beastly" mass-murderousness. But for whom does the murderous till still yet ring? And when will this "beastly" debt at last be due? And when will the debt-collector at last come calling?—Ed.]

**The winds reached supernatural speeds and far exceeded tornado or hurricane velocities.** It flattened flames. It turned the city into one gigantic flame thrower or blow torch. Flames many hundreds of feet long were caught in the blast of wind and seared through streets where thousands of people still huddled in the open, hiding behind partly demolished walls, cowering in alleys. These unfortunates were incinerated and their shrieks of terror and pain mingled and were lost in the screaming wind and crackling firestorm. It will never be known how many such people simply disappeared as though they had never walked the earth. Not even a few charred bones marked their presence on earth. **It is estimated that the winds feeding the blazing city reached speeds as high as 150 miles per hour, maybe more. This is twice that of hurricane force and at such speeds, trees three feet in diameter were sucked out of the ground and hurled into the flames.**

**For those of us whose knowledge of fire is limited to our experience of man-made controllable ones, such heat as was generated in the firestorm of Hamburg is beyond all comprehension. The temperatures reached 1400 degrees fahrenheit.** [Paper burns at fahrenheit 451°—Ed.] **At such temperatures, lead becomes a bubbling fluid as liquid as water itself. Balks of wood simply explode without necessarily coming in contact with flame. Metal, rubber, and glass melts.**

**The fire—for the city was literally a fire, threw flames three miles up into the sky** and its gases reached as high again and more. It was a sight so spectacular and horrifying that the well known effect of an atom-bomb explosion becomes relatively lesser. As the fire's superheated gases boiled upwards, they passed through a stratum of cold air high above the city. The debris in the soaring flames and smoke attracted moisture and caused a meteorological reaction. The natural elements combined to reject the debris which was transformed and fell to the earth once more in big greasy black rain blobs.

**For those still trapped in the city there could be no escape but, being human, many tried. Some judged that it was better to attempt escape through the blazing streets than to take their chances in the stifling heat of the oxygen-deprived shelters.** Perhaps they had been deceived by seeing an acre or two of relative calm, minor crackling fires. Such areas were as deceptive as the calm eye of a hurricane storm. Rushing out into the streets, they would soon run into [a] wall of flame. Retreating, some would look for another way and perish whilst others realising the hopelessness of their situation would stagger back to their shelter's door. Battering uselessly on the door for admission as the flames licked around the corner and down basement steps, their twitching bodies were consumed by the flames.

[Corpses found in the shelters. Most likely carbon monoxide was the killer : the increasing heat afterwards blackened the bodies.—[photo caption, p. 9—Ed.]

For those in the shelters the heat became unbearable. They gasped for air uselessly. Some fainted and weaker, especially older people had heart seizures. Children became hysterical and mothers were out of their minds with fear and horror. They had

only one overwhelming urge and that was to flee and they did so, ripping open doors and rushing blindly into the heat-seared streets. There was no escape. They were doomed.

Firebrands rained down on them. The air was filled with choking gases and whirling embers of fire. One might as well try to dodge raindrops in a thunderstorm. The blazing firebrands stuck to clothing and to flesh, and mothers, their children (and children who had lost their parents) ran screaming through the blazing streets. **Such was the heat that as they ran, many simply burst into flame.** ["Spontaneous combustion"—Ed.] **They beat madly at their hair, their eyes, or whatever parts of the body was on fire and they ran in directions that no longer had any meaning or purpose.** Out of their minds, they staggered, often running blindly into walls. They would stumble, pick themselves up and run a few more feet and the least fortunate ones lost their reason and became demented in their final moments. **Others burst into flames.** Some, beside themselves dashed unseeing into fires, and **many just ran until they could run no further and collapsed to fall twitching in the bubbling street tar.**

\* \* \* \* \*

**There is no cause in the world save that of satanism which justifies the horror that was callously inflicted upon Hamburg during that ten day period.** It was more than a blot on Britain's coat of arms [or "escutcheon" (See the M.P. Stokes' quote above.)—Ed.]. **It was a reminder that in mankind dwells the devil himself as well as the Lord, and that the arbiter between the two is our own conscience.**

**When innocent people and in particular, children, become an enemy to be disposed of using the most refined methods of torture, then whatever cause is championed is degraded.** ["Allied" "liberation" from life e.g., and hence from "allied" terror or fear (of those demonic, mass-murderous "allies" of Satan and Its SuperNazi sinagog).—Ed.] **It no longer serves idealism or freedom once evil is recruited as an ally. There are many people** motivated by high and noble ideals **who** will become so enslaved by those ideals that they lose all claim to nobility or even humanity. They **will commit any crime, sell their souls to the devil to see victory theirs. Those who declare war on children declare war on God** [Matt. 25:40)—Ed.], **and in Hamburg that night, and in tens of other German cities, children suffered as children have never suffered before.** [p. 8-9]

\* \* \* \* \*

**There was no end to the horror. For those on the streets still, heat was a constant goad to movement and move they did until they too were consumed by the flames and heat.** Those who were destined to escape were tragically few. **Deprived of shelters for many reasons, thousands of civilians ran without reason or direction** and as they did so, **superheated air rushed past tongues that had become swollen and blackened. Air passages to the lungs rasped through having become as crisp and dry as leather bellows. Lungs seemed to explode agonisingly with each tortured breath.** Imagine the horror of those last to die in such groups. They dashed in a frenzy along open spaces and in front of them, a man, a woman, a child burst into flames. [p. 8-9]

### WATER, SWEET WATER

Hamburg is a city that has its fair share of waterways, canal and dock basins, and thousands of people sought a watery refuge. They sought salvation in the waters grown brackish that ran in threads through their city. **Those who had survived the fiery streets threw themselves into such waters even as the heat seared their flesh; a heat that had already scorched their hair and often their clothes from their bodies.** The strongest made it to the middle, to the deeper waters where for hours they trod water **dipping their heads repeatedly under the surface to escape the heat of the air.** There were many who were unable to swim or were too weak to do so. They would go as deep as they could, standing on their toes and **immersing themselves to their**

**chins and they too would constantly duck their heads under the water to escape the unbelievable heat.** Still the bombers came in waves.

Despite such efforts, many in the water continued to die from the heat. **Large portions of the exposed skin on their faces and necks grew red and swollen, blistered and burst.** Eyeballs stood out from their sockets. [?] Great water blisters appeared and burst unfelt and the ruptured folds of skin slithered down raw faces and into gasping mouths. [?] In such ways did countless European civilians die [?] :Men, their wives and sweethearts, their mothers, their children.

Yes, it was the children who suffered most of all. **It might be said with much truth that the world's most renowned writers of horror fiction** [and slanderous, "jewish" Holohoax propaganda–Ed.] **have never exceeded in descriptions of horrific events, the horror that was visited upon these unfortunate** [German–Ed.] **waifs of war.** Parents retained a semblance of sanity only through the instinct to keep their children alive. "The children, save the children! O God, let them survive!"

It is an instinct that transcends all others and is just as total when all hope is lost and **that night, even God must have been driven out of Hamburg. That night, the city belonged to Satan** [and Its demonic, anti-Christ, "jewish" "allies"–Ed.]

Standing neck deep in the canals and waterways of burning Hamburg, parents (mostly mothers) held their children aloft so that they would not drown. But it was not enough. Their superhuman efforts were no match for the horrific events that surrounded them. They kept raising and lowering their children so that the heat did not flay their young skins. They suffered terribly and were unable to even cry out. They gasped for breath when they were pushed under the water; sucking and spluttering they vomitted and gasped in the heat when raised above the waters. Their hair that so recently had been lovingly combed and cared for steamed and streamed in the heat. Their tongues became swollen and they could not cry out. Only moans and sobs tortured their tormented parents.

For how long did their parents' strength sustain them? Their energy was depleted, their muscles ached and grew weaker and finally they would subside beneath the water [, ] no longer able to struggle against such overwhelming odds. Their children, left to flounder in the water weak and terrified into insanity [, ] would thrash wildly in the water as an instinctive reaction against drowning.

As the hours pass, more and more bodies float on the surface. Infants, older children, their mothers and fathers, grandparents. As the corpses floated in the brackish waters, the clothing still above the surface steamed and then burst into flames as did any visible tufts of hair. The floating cadavers had become burning rafts of horror.

**...In the midst of so much carnage, there is the shrieking wind feeding the inferno. It is so powerful that it tugs and puffs at partially destroyed buildings until their walls collapse. Huge balks** [beams–Ed.] **of timber, debris of all sorts is dragged by screaming winds along streets in flames. Imagine the horror as** those alive fling their arms around trees to save themselves from being sucked along by the wind only to feel the tree that they clutch being torn itself from the ground. It is no exaggeration to describe events in which **men, women and children, already burning alive, being swept helplessly along roads, caught up in the clutching fingers of wind and hurled bodily into the flames. They are as helpless as dried Autumn leaves floating on the eddying winds that surround a bonfire.** [p. 10-11]

#### HELL ON EARTH

**Through 2000 years of Christianity, the world's most imaginative and inspired artists have depicted hell as they have imagined it in all of its horror. Yet each description leaves us unmoved once compared with such events as these. As bombers roared overhead and winds far beyond hurricane force screamed about**

**them, thousands of survivors pressed towards canals and waterways that were already filled with doomed souls. There was no room in the waters for more yet still they came on, pressed forward by the fires behind them.** The people in the canals standing and floating shoulder to shoulder screamed at those on the banks to stay there. They may just as well have screamed at the flames for the hordes of terrified people who pressed forward had no choice. To stop would have denied life to themselves, their families, their children. They plunged into the waters, their feet and arms striking those already there.

Some were suffocated or drowned in the pressing assault of half-mad human beings. Wedged like sticks, they burned, suffocated and died yet still the crowds pressed forward until what had once been a canal became a snake of sorts that squirmed and wriggled between blazing buildings. Of those who still survived, many did so at the expense of weaker ones whose bodies were now beneath their feet and all around them in the turgid waters. In the shallows, the heaped mounds of corpses burned with bluish flickering flames [ , ] yet even there life remained as occasionally, a mound would feebly jerk.

**This was Hamburg experiencing the ultimate in barbarism, in wanton savagery that arguably had no precedent in the history of mankind.**

**It is impossible to imagine, let alone document, each individual private horror. Merely touching the surface of events is depressing enough. Children are torn shrieking from the parents' arms by the wind. They are flung head over heels, tumbling crazily down burning roads and into the infernos** while their demented mothers half-run, [or-Ed.] are half-dragged after them. People are sent physically reeling as unseen fists of exploding air currents slam them in all directions. **After the holocaust was over one could still see the finger marks in the roads where people, out of their minds with terror, had clutched the road's surface to save themselves from being swept up in the wind's tidal race.** Frantically, they attempted to save themselves from being incinerated alive. Their mouths were open but they were unable to scream out and their eyes appealed with animal pain. **Their attempts to save themselves were useless against a wind that picked up cars and trucks as though they were toys, and hurled them into the all-consuming inferno.**

**In the shelters, thousands, perhaps tens of thousands of people suffered a different but no less horrifying fate as the world blazed above them. In many cases, no flames ever did touch them but they died all the same. Nobody survived the firestorm area: Not a man, woman or child. The entire area was sterilised. Not even insects lived through this holocaust.**

**In these shelters,** the huddled apprehensive and bewildered civilians could only guess at the horrors above them. They sat, dimly aware of others sitting or lying beside them in the darkness, waiting and conserving their ebbing strength. **Their breathing became shallow and rapid as the oxygen they needed so much began to disappear. It was feeding the flames above. For some, death came slowly and compared with the deaths of others, pleasantly. It came in the form of slow suffocation.** They went to sleep and never awoke. They never knew when their heart stopped beating.

[Corpses found in the shelters. Most likely carbon monoxide was the killer: the increasing heat afterwards blackened the bodies.—[photo caption, p. 9-Ed.]

Groups of people, especially families clung to each other in the blackness or the semi-darkness of their shelters. They embraced. Mothers held their children tightly, murmuring many comforting expressions of hope as the raging firestorm shrieked somewhere high above them. Thus they died. Long after the last person had lapsed into the arms of death, the heat continued to rise in the shelters, the subways, the cellars far beneath the burning streets. No flame ever touched them but thick glass bottles grew soft and then melted into shapeless pools of molten fluid. Kitchen tools; knives, forks,

pans, melted and formed pools of cloying liquid. **The bricks began to glow and burn, throbbing with intense heat until such heat far surpassed the temperatures that had once created them. Finally, they crumbled into dust in these ovens of intense heat. It will be a long time before these shelters are opened again and when they are, men will look upon sights that mankind has never before witnessed, and hopefully, will never again.**

Mixed in with the molten glass and metal, **they will find hideous mounds of half-human remains. Such mounds are mostly dust or viscose fluid. Such mounds are their fellow citizens. Some of these shelters had to be left alone for as long as two weeks after the holocaust had ended, the heat was so intense. Each day, decontamination crews discovered thousands more corpses to add to their stupefying lists. Counting the bodies was a hopeless task. Some of the shelters had held hundreds of people yet they had disappeared without trace, buried beneath the rubble of collapsed buildings. Often when shelters were opened, workers had to run for their lives. As they opened the shelter's door, air was sucked into the overheated oxygen-starved interior and the shelter's interior would explode in flames. Some had to be left many weeks to cool down.**

**In most of the shelters, the number of corpses could only be guessed at. The bodies had melted into the debris and congealed with mangled, melted glass and iron, and brick turned into mounds of fine dust. Often just a few scorched bones remained; a man's, a woman's, a child's?**

The de-contamination teams entered some shelters to find lifeless men women and children; often in family groups lying as though asleep. In some cases, a family group might be sitting around a table, slumped or sitting just as they had died. It was as though they were asleep. Death had come to them without warning. **They had died through carbon monoxide poisoning but the heat had never touched them.**

**There were yet other shelters where the teams found signs of terror and panic. They discovered people who in their final moments had clawed insanely at walls, or had flung their bodies at walls and pillars. Bodies were frequently found lying in thick pools of greasy black substance which was subsequently found to be melted human fat. All kinds of strange phenomena were discovered as the teams of sickened weary relief workers entered the shelters. They discovered bodies that had been burned to a crisp long after the victim had died. The oxygen allowed in to the shelter by their arrival was all that was needed to cause the cadaver to burst into flames. Other shelters contained only heaps of ash with scattered bone from which it was concluded to be the remains of another victim. [p. 11-12]**

**....So it was with Hamburg and sixty other German cities [ , ] not to mention Tokyo, Hiroshima and Nagasaki which between them lost 250,000 people in just three air raids. [p. 12]**

\* \* \* \* \*

Oh no!/ They say he's got to go!/ Go, go Godzilla!/ Yeah/

Oh no!/There goes Tokyo!/ Go, go Godzilla!/ Yeah/

(History shows again and again how Nature points up the folly of men.)—(Blue Oyster Cult)

\* \* \* \* \*

# The Censored, Silenced and Forgotten Horror of “Allied” “Liberation” via “Allied” “Phosphorus” Droppings

The Censored, Silenced and Forgotten Horror of “Allied” “Liberation” via ex-“Great Britain’s” “Phosphorus” Droppings—long since Dropped down (or rather shoved up) the Orwellian “Memory Hole” of “his [(or their) Satanic, British] Majesty”:

STRUCK FROM THE RECORDS [the (censored) horror of “Phosphorus”—Ed.]

The British people of course had no way of knowing what was being done in their name and as we have seen, even M.P.’s [Members of Parliament—Ed.] were victims of a conspiracy to deny and hide the facts. It is beyond doubt that the British people, and people of other nations engaged in the war with Germany, would never have tolerated carnage on such a scale, particularly as it brought nothing but misery for our [British—Ed.] side too. **Only now [1982—Ed.], many years after the war’s end is the full truth available and then only for the scholar diligent enough to go to the trouble to seek it out. Such few books that do deal with this and related tragedies are given the orchestrated cold-shoulder by the British media [a “jewish” monopoly, as in “jewish”-Amerika?—Ed.]. And yet this same media wastes no time in giving full publicity to tales of Jewish suffering, even those tales of dubious authenticity. And so, by means other than outright censorship, the lies and cover-ups continue to distort balance of opinion.**

**But there is one element in this dreadful and pitiful episode which was so appalling that the allied high command went to great lengths to hide all trace of it. This was the use of, and the consequences of using, phosphorous bombs on a civilian population. Even today no British television or radio station, or newspaper would dare to mention its consequences for fear of the revulsion it would cause.**

Martin Caidin who as previously stated is perhaps better qualified than any man living to comment on the effects of terror bombing [“one of the world’s leading authorities on military-science subjects, who was a high-ranking U.S. Government official expert on bombing effects and author of many related books,” (p. 3)—Ed.]; who as an author has probably researched more material related to terror-bombing than any other, spent years trying to get details of the use of phosphorous. In his own words, he has, “met with less [documentary success—Ed.] than the success required by the historian to include the episode in a documentary book”.

He says: **“Perhaps the solution to the total absence of any reference in official (postwar) German documents is explained in the story told to me by a U.S. Army officer, who learned that portions of the documents on the after effects of the Hamburg attacks were ordered to be destroyed and all references to the surviving victims of phosphorous bombs stricken forever from the records.”** [from Martin Caidin’s The Night that Hamburg Died; Ballantine Books. N.Y. (no pub. date or pg.#s given)—Ed.]

**Nevertheless, it has been established that the British weaponry used in the holocaust of Hamburg included many bombs of which the incendiary contents were phosphorous. The U.S. Strategic Bombing Survey reports officially on these phosphorous bombs, stating: “Phosphorous burns were not infrequent,” but that**

most such burns “occurred in people who had come in contact with unexploded phosphorous canisters lying about in the streets or in the rubble.” [?]

Even in such a telling statement such as this, one smells the whiff of guilt. Note the wording carefully. Perhaps Germans inquisitively strolled the streets, sticking their fingers in the contents of unexploded bombs to see what they felt and tasted like! And [or As if–Ed.] the **phosphorous bombs** of course had obligingly fallen on buildings, carefully avoiding people as they **sprayed their** murderous **contents**. **An official British source was a little more honest when it gave as its reason for using phosphorous: “because its demonstrated ability to depress the morale of the Germans.”** [Caidin, op. cit.–Ed.]

.... **What is so special about phosphorous that the allied High Command has gone to such lengths to hide?** Simply this. As a refinement to barbarism, it has no equal and it is doubtful if it ever will have except those found in the pits of the KGB’s torture chambers in Moscow’s Lubianka Prison.

**It is one thing to fight an incendiary bomb which can at least be put out using ordinary means—and which soon burns itself out anyway. It is quite another thing to face a bomb that no matter which way it is handled, persists in flaring into life again and again. And which furthermore will stick grimly to anything that it touches: brick, wood, concrete, steel... and human flesh. Whatever the material, the phosphorous clings tenaciously to it and continues to burn unless scraped off. Imagine the effect of that on a human body, not to speak of a child’s body. One can only stand back in mute horror at the minds of those who dreamed such a satanic weapon up and authorised its use to “depress the morale of the Germans.”**

There was one incident in the Hamburg holocaust which is on record and which stands as testimony to the use of **phosphorous** which incidently **is still used today in the Jewish/Arab conflict**. [Guess which side uses it, and which side gets to wear it? And it is another of those Satanic-American war-products given (or “lend-leased”) to “Israel”?–Ed.] This incident happened at the height of the bombing. It was at that most awful period earlier described when the whole city was engulfed in flames, tens of thousands were already dead, and many more were on the verge of death. Among those thousands cornered in the inferno were several hundred people who were trapped in a dense shower of phosphorous bombs. The injury to those poor souls was immediate.

**The exploding phosphorous bombs sprayed their contents indiscriminately and clothing caught fire and had to be torn from the body quickly otherwise the wearer would suffer terrible nightmarish burns. When the liquid splattered onto people’s hair, the victim was doomed There was no chance to cut off the hair. The chemical globules, like a burning jelly, burned fiercely, setting aflame the entire head and indeed, the head itself burned.**

These terrified and pain wracked people were seen to leap about in a frenzy, dashing their heads against the ground in blind panic—anything to douse the flames. **One can extinguish an ordinary fire by smothering it with clothing but such methods were useless against phosphorous. It continued to burn and set afire any material that was thrown over it.** Such people in these circumstances could only be left to their sad fate amidst the terrifying background glow of the streets in flames.

They writhed in the rubble-strewn roads with their bodies partially ablaze. **Others were nearer to the River Alster and dozens of these shrieking demented souls, trailing tongues of flaming smoke and fire, dashed madly to the water to fling themselves into the lifesaving liquid.** Men, women and children too, ran hysterically, falling and stumbling, getting up, tripping and falling again, rolling over and over. Most of them managed to regain their feet and made it to the water. But many of them never made it and were left behind, their feet drumming in blinding pain on the overheated pavements amidst the rubble, until there came one last convulsive shudder from the smoking “thing” on the ground, and then no further movement.

Those who made it to the water found the safety they had sought so desperately—but incredibly, some faced a choice that stuns the mind in its horror. Water prevents phosphorous jelly from burning because it denies the chemical the one thing it needs to burn: oxygen. Those with the blazing chemical on their arms, their legs or their bodies were able to douse the flames by remaining under the water. But many had the phosphorous jelly on their faces or heads. Certainly the spluttering fiery chemicals went out as the victims ducked their heads beneath the water, but the moment they brought their heads up again to break the surface and take a breath of air, the phosphorous burst into flames again immediately. And so such victims were faced with a choice. Death by drowning or death by burning. [Which, dear reader, would you choose?—Ed.]

While others watched, sick and despairingly, the victims of phosphorous on faces and heads thrashed wildly in the brackish waters, screaming with pain and frustration. Spluttering [spewing—Ed.] and choking, they alternately burned alive or drowned. They met a slow and pain-wracked death. Their wild motions eventually ceased and the froth on the water slowly subsided.

Little wonder that such horrors were “stricken from the records.” One can only imagine the publicity that would have been given to such a method of warfare had Hitler or Mussolini used it. [p. 13-15]

#### THE LAST CONVULSIONS

.... As the great firestorm of Hamburg thundered to its climax, the rampaging fires subsided only when there was simply nothing left to burn. Never in the history of mankind had any community of peoples been so utterly devastated, although a similar fate which later befell Dresden and other German cities certainly equalled it. 60% of the city was destroyed beyond repair. No less than 30 square miles of Hamburg city and its suburbs were badly damaged. Twelve and a half square miles had been completely burned out, making Hiroshima the lesser tragedy. 300,000 dwellings had simply disappeared. If God has ever wept for mankind’s weakness [?], this was surely the time.

There was one vast area of the city that was simply called “the dead zone.” It was in this area that nothing, absolutely nothing had survived. It was cordoned off and only decontamination and other specialist work units were permitted to enter the “dead zone.” At its edge, survivors huddled in groups. Few of them had mentally survived the holocaust. Those still in the waters of the Alster and other waterways, who had not been burned by phosphorous or otherwise incapacitated by their injuries, made their way as best they could to the far banks of the Alster, or as far away as possible from the utter devastation. Those who couldn’t move any distance or swim across the waters, had no choice but to stay where they were—in the shallows or huddled in craters in the pock-marked desert that had once been a city. They were numb. Some were mentally deranged, and others were suffering from severe shock. Children, many of them orphans lay immobilised, their tiny minds paralysed, their pain-wracked bodies destined to live out their physical lives in postwar institutions and mental asylums. **Such was the “liberation” of Germany.** [But when will the anti-Christ “allies” ever be “liberated”?—Ed.]

**In such conditions little or nothing could be done for the victims unless they had the means to survive on their own for awhile. There were too few able-bodied people available.** Medical supplies and other equipment was scarce. Many in the waters were suffering from heat and water blisters and were in the final stages of agony. **Despite their tormented condition, they fought their would-be rescuers violently for they knew that their real agonies would come as their bodies left the water and came into contact with the air.**

To the very limits of their ability the people who could come to their aid did so and did all they could to ease the hellish torture of the phosphorous victims still partially submerged with the globules of chemicle still adhering to them and threatening to burst into flames as soon as it came in contact with the air. Rescuers cried as they gave these victims fresh water to drink. They tried to attend to their visible wounds and burns. But really, there was very little that could be done, and many in the water were in effect the living dead.

Their skin in huge folds began to slough off. Burns festered and pus oozed exposing raw meat and muscles that are normally seen only by surgeons carrying out operations. Most of the victims were deranged to some extent and as night fell, the silence that fell on the Alster was broken only by the pitiful moans and the tortured cries of the demented souls still in the water. In the darkness of the night, huddled forms could be seen as intervals, crouching along the banks in the murk, supporting an agonised victim in the water, administering to their needs. As the night wore on, huge folds of skin slipped from the bodies of victims like the skin from a peeling snake. Insanity showed in many of their eyes. They shrieked in agony, weird, inhuman screams, and they cursed and called damnation on all who would leave them thus.

Much later that night, and as the dawn approached, the most heart-rending task ever ordered of a city's officials and a national army took place. As the sky lightened in the East, the last civilians in the area were removed by German official and military personnel. With the entire area sealed off, small boats bearing policemen and soldiers who had been home on leave, began to move about with the waves slapping against the sides of the small craft in the cool morning air. Moving slowly and gently between the stumps of heads bobbing about in the water, and others close to the shoreline, they carried out their grim task and as they did so, more than one man vomited.

Above the splash of the oars against the water, and the moaning of demented human beings came the sound of metallic clicks. It was the noise of gun hammers being drawn back; the cold metallic warning of Lugers being cocked. And then...a shot would ring out. Another, and another, and another.

The boats moved quietly among the stumps. More shots, and more.

Some of the boats ran out of ammunition. There were sharp thuds, the crack of heavy oars against human skulls. It was the only and final act of mercy that could be carried out. It [i.e. death—Ed.] was the only peace that could be found [for these hopeless victims of phosphorous gel—Ed]. The pall of yellowish black smoke hung over the pock-marked desert and acres of devastated shells of buildings where once had stood a beautiful city. The sun shone grimly through the yellowish sky but for those in the water, it was all over. They had gone home. [p. 15-16]

\* \* \*

How'd you like that job, dear reader, to be a "mercy-killer"? Or here's another, maybe more to your liking, and even more merciful:

Goring's on the phone [to H.] from Freiberg./ [He] Says "Willie's done quite a job."/  
Hitler's on the phone [to me] from Berlin./ [He] Says "I'm gonna make you a star./  
My Captain ["Willie"] Von Ondine/ Here's your latest patrol./ A flight of English bombers [coming] across the canal./ After twelve, they'll all be here./ I think you know the job!"/  
[CHORUS: They hung there dependent from the sky/ like some heavy-metal fruit./ These bombers are ripened and ready to tilt [downward toward German cities/civilians—Ed.]/ Must these Englishmen live that I might die?/ Must they live that I might die?/]

In a G[ravity]-load disaster from the rate of climb/ sometimes I'd faint and [might] be lost to our side./ But there's no reward for failure (but death)./ So watch me in mirrors./ Keep me on the flight-path./ Get me through the radar. No I cannot fail/ when

my great silver slugs are eager to feed./ I can't fail,/ no not now!/ When 25 ["allied"-Ed.] bombers wait ripe!/  
 [CHORUS]

ME-262, Prince of Turbo-Jet,/ Junker's Jommo 004,/ Blasts from clustered R4M quartets in my snout,/ and see these English planes go burn./

Will you be my witness how red were the skies/ when the [Amerikan-made-Ed.] fortresses flew for the very last time?/ It was dark over Westphalia in April of '45!/  
 [CHORUS: (All together now!-Ed.)]

("Bombers ['Bomber's']?-Ed.] at 12 o'clock high!")

(From Blue Oyster Cult's Secret Treaties, a 1974 collection of metallic, "jewish"-Germanic, ironic and yet good vibrations. (Can you dig 'em? I knew that you couldn't.) But you can't convince me that the Germans have no Muse, and hence no Music! "Roll over Beethoven," and tell Wagner the rocking good-news! (Can you dig it? I knew that you could.)

\* \* \* \* \*

## The Old Mosaic Myth Comes True at Last?

The Police President of Hamburg reported: "Its [i.e. the "allied" "Operation Gomorrah's"-Ed.] horror is revealed in the howling and raging of the firestorms, the hellish noise of exploding bombs and the death cries of **martyred human beings** as well as the big silence after the raids. Speech is impotent to portray the measure of the horror, which shook the people for ten days and nights [between July 24 and August 3, 1943-Ed.] and the traces of which were written indelibly on the face of the city and its inhabitants...

"No flight of imagination will ever succeed in measuring and describing the gruesome scenes of horror in the many buried air raid shelters. Posterity can only bow its head in honour of the fate of **these innocents, sacrificed by the murderous lust of a sadistic enemy...**" [McLaughlin, p.3]

Mass-murderous human sacrifices committed by Satan's people for their sadistic selves and their sadistic god. May the one true God (and His truly faithful) at long last avenge these genuine genocides, these actual "holocausts," these "Gomorrahic operations" of "Uncle" Satan and Its "chosen [or "allied"] people."

**"Gomorrah" was the code name given to the plan to incinerate Hamburg in 1943.** [McLaughlin, p. 3]

\* \* \* \* \*

One is also reminded of the letter of Sir Archibald Sinclair (British Air Minister) "that he was 'in full agreement' with the views of an unnamed M.P. [i.e. the British Foreign Secretary, A. Eden-Ed.] who had written him **emphatically supporting terror bombing and proclaiming** that **he** [which official witch: Sinclair or Eden, or both?-Ed.] **was 'all for the bombing of working-class areas in German cities. I am a Cromwellian—I believe in 'slaying in the name of the Lord'.**" (Veale, p. 196, quoting from Webster and Frankland's official history of the terroristic and genocidal campaign of the British bombardiers of W.W. II, p. 115.

But would you expect the Devil's "terror bombing" demons to "slay" (or to "believe in slaying") in the name of their Father or "Uncle," Satan? (John 8:44) That wouldn't be

characteristic or like them at all, would it? And their religious “belief” “in “slaying in the name of the Lord” is fervent indeed. (For Satanism is a religion too, you know. See e.g. “jewishism,” Talmudism, Phariseism, Roman Catholicism and SuperNazi “jewish” “Communism” and/or imperial Amerikanism.) And they in deed fervently practice what they preach with all their demonic technology and all their mass-murderous might. So look out!

Whoa, I though it was a nightmare/ Lord it was so true./  
 They [Moses’ two angels? (Gen. 19:1) and/or the two “witnesses” of Apo./Rev. 11:1-14?–Ed.] told me, “Don’t go walking slow,/ The Devil’s on the loose./  
 [Chorus : “Better **Run Through The Jungle**. (3x)  
 Whoa, don’t look back and see.”] [See Gen. 19:26]–Ed.]  
 I though I heard a rumbling/ Calling to my name./  
 200 million guns are loaded./ Satan cries “Take aim!”/  
 [Chorus]  
 Over on the mountain/ under a magic pope, [(?) (Apo./Rev. 13:11-15?)–Ed.]/  
 “Let the people know my wisdom./ Fill the land with smoke.”/  
 [Chorus]  
 (Creedence Clearwater Revival, 1970)

\* \* \* \* \*

See, if you will (but don’t look back at) the Mosaic myth of the divine, prophetic or metaphoric destruction of the alleged cities of Sodom and Gomorrah in Genesis 19:13 & :24-25. (For lustful sin consumes one (or many) like fire. And some cities are “hotter” than others.)

For we [two angels (19:1)–Ed.] will destroy this place, because the cry of them [? Gen. 18:20-21] is waxen great before the face of the Lord [“because its outcry is great in Jehovah’s face”—By.], and the Lord hath sent us to destroy it.... **Then the Lord rained upon Sodom and upon Gomorrah brimstone** [“sulpher”—By.] **and fire** from the Lord **out of heaven** [“the sky”—By.]. **And he overthrew those cities**, and all the plain [“the Pale”—By.], **and all the inhabitants of the cities, and that which grew upon the ground** [“and the growth of the soil”—By.]. [Gen. 19:13 & :24-25]

But how much this Mosaic myth sounds or looks like the “allied” holocausts of the German (and Japanese) cities, and the “jewish”-Amerikan nuclear holocausts of Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Japan in August of 1945, except that these unspeakable or indescribable atrocities weren’t committed by Moses (or his mountain god) but by “Uncle” Satan, God’s eternal Enemy! But in naming their “holocaust” of Hamburg “Operation Gomorrah,” Satan’s faithful and obedient servants placed themselves, as always, in the Lord’s place, or in “Moses’ seat,” or in the place of Moses’ avenging or destructive angels. (Matt. 23:2)

\* \* \* \* \*

And besides the lust to sin, there is also the lust to murder and mass-murder, noted by the Police President of Hamburg above, “Posterity can only bow its head in honour of the fate of **these innocents, sacrificed by the murderous lust of a sadistic enemy...**”

\* \* \*

And speaking of Moses, “Uncle” Satan, Sodom and (“Operation”) Gomorrah, this lust to murder and mass-murder stems predominately from a particularly evil or Satanic anti-Christian or “jewish”-Amerikan city. Can you guess “her” name, dear reader?—besides “Mystery: Babylon the Great”?

From Apocalypse/Revelation 17: & 18:

**And there came one of the seven angels...saying unto me, Come hither; I will show unto thee the judgment of the great whore that sitteth upon many waters:...** [17:1]

(The waters...where the whore sitteth, are peoples, and multitudes, and nations, and tongues. [17:15] ["peoples and masses and races and languages"—By. trans.]

...and I saw a woman sit upon a scarlet coloured beast, full of names of blasphemy, having seven heads and ten horns. And the woman was arrayed in purple and scarlet colour, and decked with gold and precious stones and pearls, having a golden cup in her hand full of abominations and filthiness of her fornication ["prostitution"—By.] : And upon her forehead (was) a name written, MYSTERY, BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND [political, "democratic," socialistic, "communistic," Marxist, "jewish," Zionist or anti-Christ?—Ed.] ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH. **And I saw the woman drunken with the blood of the saints** ["God's people"—By.], **and with the blood of the martyrs of Jesus** ['Jesus' witnesses'—By.; (See 11:1-13)—Ed.] [So you see, dear readers, she's not only sexually hot or lusty, but also murderously so.—Ed.]

: and when I saw her, I wondered with great admiration. And the angel said unto me, Wherefore didst thou marvel? ["Why did you wonder?"—By.] [17:3-7]

And the woman which thou sawest is that great city, which reigneth ["that has empire"—By.] over the kings of the earth. [17:18]

**And I heard another voice from heaven, saying, Come out of her, my people, that ye be not partakers of ["a party to"—By.] her sins, and that you receive not of her plagues** ["scourges"—By.]. **For her sins have** ["been crowded up to the sky"—By.] **reached unto heaven, and God hath remembered her iniquities.** [18:4-5; (Compare with Gen. 18:20-21 & 19:13)]

**Therefore shall her plagues** ["scourges"—By.] **come in one day**, death ["pestilence"—By.], and mourning, and famine; and she shall be utterly burned with fire: for strong is the Lord God who judgeth her. [Apo./Rev. 18:8]

And the kings of the earth, who have committed fornication and lived deliciously with her ["luxuriously in her company"—By.], shall bewail her, and lament for her when they shall see the smoke of her burning, Standing far off for the fear of her torment, saying, Alas, alas that great city Babylon, that mighty city! for **in one hour is thy judgment come** ["because **in one moment your judgement has come.**"—By.]. [18:9-10]

And the merchants of the earth shall weep and mourn over her.... shall stand afar off for the fear of her torment, weeping and wailing, And saying Alas, alas that great city, that was clothed in fine linen, and purple, and scarlet, and was decked ["jeweled"—By.] with gold, and precious stones, and pearls! For **in one hour** so great riches is come to nought ["because **in one moment** such wealth has been desolated."—By.]. [18:11 & :15-17]

...for **in one hour is she made desolate.** ["because **in one moment she has been desolated.**"—By.]. [18:19]

**Rejoice over her, thou heaven,** ["and God's people"—By.] and ye holy apostles and prophets; **for God hath avenged you on her** ["because God has passed judgment on her in your cause"—By.]. And a mighty angel took up a stone like a great millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying, Thus with violence shall that great city Babylon be

thrown down [“with a plunge and never be found again”—By.], and shall be found no more at all. [18:20-21]

And the light of a candle [‘lamp’—By.] shall shine no more in thee; and the voice of the bride and the bridegroom shall be heard no more at all in thee: for thy merchants were the great men [‘magnates’—By.] of the earth; for by thy sorceries [“witchcraft”—By.] were all nations deceived [“misguided”—By.]. And **in her was found the blood of the prophets, and** [“holy men and of all who have been slaughtered on earth.”—By.] **of all that were slain upon the earth.**

And after these things I heard a great voice of much people in heaven, saying, Alleluia; Salvation, and glory, and honour, and power, unto the Lord our God: For true and righteous [‘just’—by.] are his judgments: for **he hath judged the great whore** [“done justice on the great prostitute who debauched the earth with her prostitution”—By.], which did corrupt the earth with her fornication, **and hath avenged the blood of his servants at her hand.** And again they said Alleluia. **And her smoke rose up** [“goes up”—By.] **forever and ever.** [Apo./Rev. 18:23 to 19:3]

\* \* \* \* \*

And by the modern, Musical, lyrical way, what did these lines portend to say?

Snow,/ cement,/ and ivory young towers,/
 Someone called us “Babylon”/ those hungry hunters tracking down the hours./
 But where were all your shoulders when we cried?/
 We’re the [pop-rock–Ed.] darlings on the sidelines/ dreaming up such cherished lines/ to whisper in your ear before you die./

[CHORUS] It’s [“Republican”?–Ed.] party time for the guys in the tower of Babel./
 Sodom meet Gomorrah!/ Cain meet Able!/
 Have a ball, y’all!/ See the latches crawl with the call girls under the table./
 Watch them did their graves/ ’cause Jesus don’t save the guys/ in the tower of Babel./

[with–Ed.] Junk,/ angel,/ this closet’s always stacked!/
 The [bond?–Ed.] dealer’s in the basement/ filling your prescription for a brand new heart attack./

But where were all your shoulders when we cried?/
 Were the doctors in attendance/ saying how they felt so sick inside?/
 Or was it just the scalpel blade that lied?/

[CHORUS] It’s party time for the guys in the tower of Babel./
 Sodom meet Gomorrah!/ Cain meet Able!/
 Have a ball, y’all!/ See the latches crawl with the call girls under the table./
 Watch them did their graves/ ’cause Jesus don’t save the guys/ in the Tower of Babel./ (No, No, No!)/ [Bernie Taupin]

\* \* \*

(“Party on, dudes!”)—(“Abe Lincoln,” from the photoplay, “Bill & Ted’s Excellent Adventure”)

And “Shove your ‘9-11’ you anti-Christ, Sodomite arseholes! If you lay down with dogs, your ‘Uncle’ Satan and his/Its ‘chosen people,’ what else but the like can you realistically expect...and deserve?” (John 8:44)

\* \* \* \* \*

# Terror “Bombing Vindicated”: “Splendid” “Allied” Excuses for their “Splendid” Policy of Terror Bombing their German Opponents into Smithereens: e.g. (“Breaking Enemy Morale,” “Ending the War,” “Justice” or “Retribution,” etc.)

Correct me if I’m wrong, dear reader, but I believe the latter two excuses (“The Germans started it!”) were exposed, disproved or dispelled above.

From Veale, p. 194,

...the minute [i.e. official message or letter–Ed.] dated the 28th March 1945, which **Sir Winston Churchill sent to the Chief of the Air Staff, Sir Charles Portal, six weeks after the mass raid on Dresden:**

**“It seems to me that the moment has come when the question of bombing of German cities *simply for the sake of increasing the terror, though under other pretexts should be reviewed.* [ (...) (Veale inexplicably omits two sentences here. See Irving below.)–Ed.] The destruction of Dresden remains a serious query against the conduct of Allied bombing. I am of the opinion that **military objectives must henceforth be more strictly studied in our own interests rather than that of the enemy.** [?] **I feel the need for more precise concentration upon military objectives,** such as oil and communications behind the immediate battle-zone, **rather than on mere acts of terror and wanton destruction, however impressive.**” [Veale, p. 194]**

What were these “other pretexts,” dear reader? Let us review them. Let us count the ways the real, true, genuine “holocausts” of W.W. II were concealed, hidden, “justified” or “pretexed” by their monstrous, genocidal, “allied,” “jewish” perpetrators.

\* \* \* \* \*

Pretext #1: To weaken or “collapse” the enemy’s “morale”:

...the considered opinion expressed by the joint authors of the official history of the campaign [The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; H.M. (“His Majesty’s”) Stationary Office, London, 1961–Ed.], Messrs. Charles Webster and Noble Frankland, [was–Ed.] that the [British terror bombing–Ed.] **campaign must be regarded as a failure since it did not justify its nature by bringing the war to an early end; it neither succeeded in paralysing German war production nor in breaking the morale of the German people.** [Veale, p. 199]

By the “morale” of the German people is meant the Will, the Spirit, the Hope of the German people, whom I myself, not being a “jew,” neither hate nor wish to “paralyze” or “break.”

(“But neither does anyone else...any more.”)

Really? Then you haven’t ever seen or heard that racist, slanderous “jewish” hate propaganda (and “reparations” scam) called “Holocaust”? Funny, I though it was rather pervasive, persistent, continuous, never-ending.

And this is merely the mental, spiritual or psychological continuation of their eternal, never-ending (world-wide) war against God, humanity, and “the best of the Gentiles.” (See their Satanic bible called “Talmud.”)

\* \* \* \* \*

From Veale, p. 196-97,

In a letter to the Daily Telegraph of the 1st March 1963 Dr. Noble Frankland [co-author of the official The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; London, 1961–Ed.] **put forward what seems to be the only plausible justification for terror bombing.** He pointed out that in 1914 the submarine, and in 1939 the long-range bomber, were recently perfected but hitherto untested weapons of war. **The British adopted the unrestricted use of the long range heavy bomber in the Second World War for exactly the same reasons as the Germans had adopted the unrestricted use of the submarine in the First World War, because they realised that the employment of this novel weapon would ultimately be of greater use to them than to their opponents. “Naturally,” he writes, “the Germans detested the idea of ‘strategic bombing’ in 1942 just as the British deplored the unrestricted submarine warfare of 1917.”**

**Probably in default of anything more convincing, a defence along these lines will finally be adopted by apologists for terror bombing.** Reflection will show, however, that an important distinction can be drawn between the two cases. **The Germans torpedoed merchant ships in the First World War, not for the purpose of drowning their civilian crews [or passengers, such as those upon the munitions’ ship, “Lusitania,” which the Americans exploited (over a year later) as their self-righteous excuse to enter that “great war”–Ed.], but in order to enforce a blockade of the British Isles as a countermove to the blockade of Germany which the British, thanks to their command of the sea, were successfully enforcing with ultimately decisive results. The intention of terror bombing during the Second World War, on the other hand, it is now officially admitted, was to kill as many civilians as possible until the survivors had been terrorised into unconditional surrender.** Everything here turns about the intention. In all such cases **the true test is to decide whether the act in question was contrary to the accepted standards of conduct at the time it was committed. With regard to terror bombing it is difficult to maintain that terror bombing was in accordance with the standards accepted in 1942, otherwise obviously it would not have been necessary to carry out terror bombing behind a screen of indignant and mendacious official denials.** [Veale, p. 196-97]

Does this mean that terror bombing enemy states and populations into “unconditional surrender” is now an accepted standard of (uncivilized) warfare among modern states? Or have the other nations not yet spiritually, morally, mentally and militarily descended to the level of the Americans and the British/English?

\* \* \* \* \*

And within “jewish”-Amerika (from Martin),

**And when the famous SHAEF** [Supreme Headquarters of the Allied Expeditionary Force–Ed.] **communique in February, 1945, admitted terror bombing as a policy,....** **The main escape they** [Amerikan periodicals newspapers and “newsreels,” (or, more accurately, “jews’papers” and “jews’reels”—false, hateful, slanderous and mass-murderous)—Ed.] **employed was the plea that surely “military necessity” warranted all these bombings, and that the continuation of the program would surely “hasten the end of the war.”** (A small library of works exists which agree that **area bombing** [i.e. “saturation,” “carpet,” “obliteration” or “terror” bombing–Ed.] **not only did not shorten the war a day but probably stretched it out considerably, in addition to failing to effect any substantial damage to German war industry, break the morale of their civilians, or contribute in any appreciable manner to the “allied victory.”** **Some three-quarters of wartime German industry was not eliminated by bombing; it was made ineffective by dismantling by the victors after the war** [via anti-Christian or “jewish” Amerika’s “Morgenthau Plan”–Ed.]) [Martin, p. 118-19]

The attitude of the two most prestigious voices of [“jewish”-Amerikan–Ed.] liberalism in those times, the New Republic and the Nation, was what might be expected from such concentrations of civilian battlers. On March 13 the latter discounted the whole [“allied” terror-bombing–Ed.] endeavor, making the usual plea of military necessity and denying that area bombing [or “saturation,” “carpet,” “obliteration” or “terror” bombing–Ed.], as far as its editors were “aware,” was taking place, embellished with **the propaganda rhetoric of the day, such as “Those who take up arms to end aggression by others against humanity must do what is necessary to win.”** **The New Republic concluded, “It is late in the day to appeal to the codes of warfare appropriate to the romantic times when war was a sort of game carried on by professional soldiers and ‘noncombatants’ had no part in willing the war, in carrying it on, or in willing its end.”** The editors, snugly secure in their New York offices from any possible retaliation in the form of German bombing attacks, obviously felt that there no longer were any “non-combatants.” [Yeah, tell that to those in the fallen trade towers.–Ed.]

**The Nation.... concluded, “Deprived of the weapon of mass bombing our armies might easily be so handicapped that the war might be stalemated. That, perhaps, is what the protestors** [of “allied” terror bombing–Ed.] **have in view, for what they are really attacking is not a weapon of war but war as a weapon.”** **And if there was one thing the left-wing liberal warriors** [within “jewish”-Amerika–Ed.] **had in common with their** [“right-wing”–Ed.] **Tory-warrior contemporaries and colleagues** [within “jewish” Britain–Ed.], **it was their determination to saturate the planet with unlimited and endless war, in order that “victory” be realized.** [Martin, p. 114]

(See George Orwell’s 1984.) But “victory” for who? The imperialistic, “messianic” or SuperNazi “jew”!

And yet methinks since “9/11/2001” the “terrible,” mass-murderous and “non-combatant” Jew Yorkers aren’t quite so cock-sure any more that their “terrifying” chickens—(and their various other imperious supernatural “abominations”: monetary, military, political, social, racial and spiritual or cultural (Apo./Rev. 17:1-7 & :12-18), which they have so “generously” exported, so profusely perpetrated, and so torrentially and terroristically rained down upon and against God’s Gentile world)—shall never again come home to roost upon them—upon those mass-murderous, terror-bombing, “jewish”-Amerikan “non-combatants,” and upon their “allies” in their common, permanent, continuous and never-ending, “hundred-year,” world-war against God and Gentile humanity—thus slandered as “terrorists” by these self-righteous, imperious, anti-Christ or “jewish” “non-combatants” and their political “representatives,” covert agents or official puppets in Washington, D.C.

This demonic/Satanic “jewish”/British/American “foreign policy” of terror-bombing/holocausting/mass-murdering/genociding German civilians was a failure “in its declared purpose”. But was it a success in its real and true (but undeclared and secret) purpose? That is the question. For if it was, or maybe even if it wasn’t, the world can expect in future more of the very same demonic, “jewish,” foreign “policy” from its “allied” “jewish” perpetrators. Therefore, Gentile world, beware and be armed.

From Veale. pp. 202-03,

Naturally the conclusion of Messrs. Charles Webster and Noble Frankland that nearly sixty thousand young and valuable lives were sacrificed to carry out **a policy which failed in its declared purpose, namely to bring about a collapse of the morale of the German people**, seems intollerable to many. [Yes but its real, true but undeclared purpose was to mass-murder or “holocaust” the German civilians, and not only for the hateful hell of it, but also in order to prevent Lord Hitler from achieving his desired peace with the west, and in order to give F.D. Rosenvelt and his fellow “jewish”-Amerikans enough time to find some pretext or other (such as Peal Harbor) to drag their reluctant Gentile countrymen into another European war, which “their” “jewish”-Amerikan administration in Washington, D.C. had in fact since 1933 desired, instigated, started and supplied.–Ed.] Yet **the most that can be claimed for the Lindemann Plan is that it contributed to some indefinable extent to the final outcome of the war** although it was certainly not the decisive factor or perhaps even a decisive factor. **Terror bombing however was a logical and perhaps inevitable extension of the “Splendid Decision” by the British Government in May 1940 to repudiate the rules of civilized warfare and to adopt the policy advocated by Air Marshal Trenchard in 1923 when he declared that the purpose of war was not merely to defeat the armed forces of the enemy but to defeat the enemy nation, Terror bombing was a logical application of this view of warfare which is in complete conformity with the maxim of Clausewitz, “War is an act of violence pushed to its utmost limit.”**

**The “Splendid Decision”, carried out to its utmost limit in the form of terror bombing, failed to defeat the enemy nation by bringing about a collapse of its will power to resist. It had nevertheless decisive consequences not only on the course of the Second World War but on subsequent world history. Its immediate effect was to keep alive the conflict which had started in 1939 as a European civil war of the usual type and to prevent it from petering out in stagnation and general boredom [i.e. into peace (with “Nazi” Germany)–Ed.], so that Roosevelt was given time to involve the United States and transform it into a global conflict.<sup>1</sup>**

**1. Until the secret correspondence in code between Churchill and Roosevelt, which was revealed by Tyler Kent [British code officer/translator—jailed by Churchill for years to keep him from telling what he knew of his and Rosenvelt’s secret international conspiracy to start their world war : i.e. their “Conspiracy to wage war” or their “Crimes against peace”—(with which they slandered, accused, “convicted” and lynched their defending German victims at Nuremberg).( See below.)–Ed.] and which remains a closely guarded State secret, has been published and proved the contrary it is reasonable to assume that in it Roosevelt pledged himself to involve the United States in the war [completely, totally, invasively, actively militarily, and hence not just diplomatically, financially, passively militarily–Ed.] provided he was given time to do so. See Back Door to War by C. C. Tansill, Regnery, Chicago, 1952, pages 587-8. [Veale’s footnote–Ed.]**

**This momentous result has been closely studied by many historians from various conflicting points of view. [Veale. pp. 202-03]**

It is a universally known and recognized truth that to trade with or to supply only one side in a war is in fact to take sides, to take part, to become a belligerent in that war. And this “jewish”-Amerika started doing on March 11, 1941—months before that Satanic whore, “decked with ... pearls” (Apo./Rev. 17:3-8), could get into that war of hers, which she finally and “infamously” accomplished on Dec. 7, 1941. And therefore “jewish”-Amerika was really and truly at war with Germany since the time they started supplying England, the communist Soviet Union and communist China with more than 47,500,000,000 (1941 dollars) worth of war materials. And this “jewish”-Amerikan belligerence in fact existed and persisted even **years** before “jewish”-Amerika’s second world war was begun on Sept. 3, 1939, when England and France (encouraged by secret “jewish”-American assurances of war assistance) declared war on Germany.

(It was only that America was popularly supposed to be a “democracy,” and the Gentile majority of voters didn’t want to go to war once more against Christian Germany. And so that’s why Roosevelt and his “jewish”-Amerikan Parliament hadn’t yet openly declared their second world war against Germany. They first had to find a pretext that would “excuse” further “jewish”-Amerikan belligerence against Christian Germany. (See Roosevelt’s secret “day of infamy” at Pearl Harbor, Dec. 7, 1941.)

Thus the “jewish”-Americans not only entered, prolonged and “ended” their second World War (as they had the first), but they in fact started their second world war, “diplomatically,” via their European ambassadors in England, France and Poland. And then even before it began (on Sept. 3, 1941) the Amerikan war-mongers (via their “lend-lease program” of March 11, 1941) in fact financed and supplied their second world war, via England and their “jewish” “Soviet Union,” which likewise had sprung from their Jew York City, the financial and political “mother of harlots and abominations of the earth.” (Apo./Rev. 17:5) Is all this not precisely so?

And so, to trade with or supply only one side in a war is to side with that side, to join that war. And this “jewish”-Amerika did, after her “jewish,” president, Roosevelt, had repeatedly promised not to—and in fact was largely reelected on that (false) promise. (But he was all the while secretly conspiring with Churchill to start that very war by any means possible.) For what a sociopath promises and what a sociopath does are two very different (even opposite) things. But Americans are far too stupid and “brainwashed” to know these things. Even today they still worship their beloved Soviet president as a god, in precisely the same way many “Russian” Soviets still worship Lenin, and to a lesser extent, Stalin. It is said the people get the leaders they deserve. Such are the horrors of democracy.

\* \* \*

I.E. one unstated, secret and hidden reason for the terror bombing of German civilians (aside from the obvious: i.e. homicidal, mass-murderous racial hatred, or demonic racism) was for the supernatural (or SuperNazi) “jews” to have their Second World War.

Probably future historians will agree with the learned authors of the official history of the British strategic air offensive [The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; Sir. Charles Webster and Dr. Noble Frankland; H.M. (“His Majesty’s”) Stationary Office, London, 1961–Ed.] that **the Second World War was not won by British terror bombing. On the other hand, terror bombing, officially adopted in March 1942, was only the logical outcome of Churchill’s “Splendid Decision” of May 1940.** Future historians may well reach the conclusion that although the “Splendid Decision” did not bring victory, **it protracted the struggle for five years and transformed it from an orderly European civil war into a global conflict** conducted by both [?] sides with unrestricted barbarity and ending, as Churchill himself described it, in tragedy. [Veale, p. 208]

Not to mention the end of the British empire, (“Bye, bye!”), which Adolf Hitler, in his Mein Kampf, not only praised as a bastion of world-civilization, but even pledged to militarily

support, if only the Brits would cease historically trying to divide and conquer and thus control, dominate or subject the European continent. And thus the “jew”-maddened Brits slapped away the peaceful hand of the best friend they never had.

\* \* \*

With regard to the bombing of the enemy civilian population, **everyone** [in Britain (and Amerika)–Ed.] **knew that civilians in Germany were being slaughtered wholesale but it was believed that this was an unavoidable by-product of an air offensive against military objectives. The comforting reflection was accepted that the German civilian population could at any moment bring its sufferings to an end by surrendering unconditionally.** [Veale, p. 17-18]

(But when are the “jewish” “allies” going to “surrender unconditionally” (to the Truth at last), and to whom? Or who, among their survivors, shall accept their “allied” swords?)

\* \* \* \* \*

## Official British Denials of the Terrible, Mass-Murderous, “Jewish”- British Truth

**On several occasions** [during the war–Ed.] **important people had questioned the government as to whether the RAF** [Royal Air Force–Ed.] **was terror-bombing the civilian population of Germany, and on each occasion the Secretary for Air, Sir Archibald Sinclair, had replied that it had never issued such orders, and that the bombing raids were directed exclusively against military objectives.** Naturally [he explained–Ed.], there had been an inevitable number of civilian losses during the course of these operations. [de Poncins, p. 38]

It would not indeed be correct to say that what was officially termed “the strategic bombing offensive” was carried out to the last day of the war without opposition, protest or misgivings. **Questions were asked in Parliament as to the character of this air offensive, which were fully reported in the Press, with the answers given.** Certainly it cannot be said that the Ministers of the Crown upon whom fell the duty of answering these questions, resorted to evasion or equivocation. [Instead they flat-out, bold-face lied.–Ed.] In accordance with the British tradition they kept a stiff upper lip and gave clear and emphatic replies, without any signs of embarrassment such as might have been expected from [non-congenital liars, sociopaths, and mass-murderers?–Ed.] them having regard to the fact that as recently as **March 1942 Mr. Churchill’s War Cabinet had accepted the plan laid before it by Professor Lindemann by which ‘top priority as an objective for air attack was in future to be given to** [“obliterating”–Ed.] **“working-class houses in densely populated residential areas.”** [Veale, p. 18]

From Veale, p. 19-23,

We know...that the members of **the British War Cabinet who accepted the Lindemann Plan fully realized its enormity because concurrently with its acceptance it was decided that on no account must any inkling of its terms reach the public.** The following extracts from the parliamentary reports of Hansard [publisher of Parliamentary debates–Ed.] are set out verbatim here...not to suggest that British politicians are exceptionally mendacious—politicians whatever their nationality have never been renowned for veracity—but to establish that those responsible for the

acceptance of the Lindemann Plan were conscious of a feeling of guilt. They instructed those entrusted with the task of answering questions on the subject to give emphatic and unambiguous denials designed to stifle all further enquiries, as the following passages from Hansard show. Some or indeed most of them may have replied in the innocence of their hearts without personal knowledge of the truth but credulously believing what they were told by their departments. [?]

**On the 11th March, 1943 (a year after the acceptance of the Lindemann Plan) in the House of Commons, Mr. Montague, a Labour Member, having expressed the hope that our air raids on Germany were still being concentrated, as he believed they were, on military and industrial objectives, Captain Harold Balfour, Under-Secretary for Air, replied that he could give the House “an assurance that our objectives in bombing the enemy were industries, transport and war potential. There is no change in our policy. We were not bombing women and children wantonly for the sake of so doing. It is not for us to turn back. If innocent people, women and children suffer in the execution of our policy in Germany the remedy lies with the German men and women themselves.”** (Hansard, 12 March 1943.)

[I.E. they must immediately “surrender unconditionally,” to be afterwards starved to death by the “allies,” or else imprisoned as their slaves, which historically happened. Did you now that, dear reader? Some choice or “remedy,” huh?—Ed.]

**On the 30th March, 1943, in reply to the Labour Member, Richard Stokes, the Secretary for Air, Sir Archibald Sinclair, replied blandly that, “The targets of Bomber Command are always military but night-bombing of military objectives necessarily involves bombing the area in which these are situated.”** (Hansard, 31 March, 1943.) [Hence “area bombing”—Ed.]

**On the 9th February, 1944, in the House of Lords, Dr. Bell, the Bishop of Chichester, in a memorable speech demanded a statement of the Government’s policy “in regard to the bombing of enemy towns with special reference to the effect of such bombing on civilian life.” Viscount Cranbourne, Secretary of State for Dominion Affairs, replied for the Government that he was “very ready to give an assurance that the aim of our intensive attacks on German cities was to hamper and, if possible, to bring to a standstill enemy war production and not aimlessly to sprinkle bombs with the object of spreading damage among the enemy population. The R.A.F. had never indulged in purely [?] terror raids.”** (Hansard, 10 February, 1944.)

The last and most illuminating debate on the subject of terror bombing took place in the House of Commons on the 6th March, 1945, only three weeks after the ghastly mass air raid on Dresden on the 13th February, 1945.

This debate was initiated by the irrepressible Richard Stokes who demanded to be told the truth concerning an authorised report, issued regarding this raid by the Associated Press Correspondent from Supreme Allied Headquarters in Paris which gloatingly described “this unprecedented assault in daylight on the refugee-crowded capital, fleeing from the Russian tide in the East,” and declared it showed that “the long-awaited decision had been taken to adopt deliberate terror-bombing of German populated centres as a ruthless expedient to hasten Hitler’s doom.”

Mr. Stokes began by reading this report which he reminded the House had been widely published in America and had been broadcast by Paris Radio. In Britain on the morning of the 17th February it had been released by the Censor but in the evening of that day it had been suppressed from [further—Ed.] publication [within the British empire—Ed.], presumably as a result of the indignant protests which it had aroused.

Mr. Stokes insisted on being told, “Is terror bombing now part of our policy? Why is it that the people of this country who are supposed to be responsible for what is going on, the only people who may not know what is being done in their

name? On the other hand, if terror bombing be not part of our policy, why was this statement put out at all? I think we shall live to rue the day we did this, and that it (the air raid on Dresden) will stand for all time as a blot on our escutcheon.”

[I'm still awaiting that day. Aren't you, dear reader? And furthermore, "Justice delayed is Justice denied."—Ed.]

Here a private member [?], Rear-Admiral Sir Murray Sueter, interposed with the fatuous observation that "all targets are very carefully planned by the Bombing Committee. The Committee go into each target which is of military importance, necessitating the carrying out of this bombing."

**Commander Brabner, Joint Under-Secretary for Air, then spoke on behalf of the Government.** "May I conclude on a note of denial," he observed apologetically. **"The report which has just been read stated that the Allied Commanders had adopted a policy of terror bombing. This is absolutely not so. This has now been denied by Supreme Allied Headquarters and I should like to have an opportunity of denying it here. We are not wasting our bombers or time on purely [?] terror tactics. Our job is to destroy the enemy. It does not do the Hon. Member justice to come to this House and try to suggest that there are a lot of Air Marshals or pilots or anyone else sitting in a room trying to think of how many German women and children they can kill. We are concentrating on war targets [i.e. ("working-class") "German women and children"—Ed.], and we intend to remain concentrated on them until Germany gives up."**

Quite unabashed by this expression of official disapproval, **Mr. Stokes asked two supplementary questions, "If the report issued with the authority of Allied Headquarters in Paris was untrue, why when protest was made against it was this not stated at once, and why was it said at first that it was impossible [for the British—Ed.] to suppress a report approved by Allied Headquarters stating its official policy, although in fact it was immediately afterwards suppressed [within Britain—Ed.]?"**

**Sir Archibald Sinclair, the Secretary for Air, had pointedly left the House when Mr. Stokes began to read this [true—Ed.] report so imprudently approved by Supreme Headquarters in Paris.** No doubt by this time he knew the contents of this compromising production by heart. Realising that Commander Brabner's rambling evasions of the questions put to him, instead of disposing of them, would be more likely to arouse curiosity as to the truth and so lead to further enquiries, he decided to dispose of the subject finally himself. **"This report," he declared, "is certainly not true. The Hon. Member can take that from me. How it was handled, what newspapers published it, and whether publication was authorised, are matters which the Hon. Member had better discuss with the Ministry of Information."** (Hansard, March 7th, 1945.)

**In passing it may be noted that this denial was in a sense true. [?] No decision, long-awaited, had just been reached to adopt deliberate terror bombing of German main centres of population. The decision to do this had been reached three years before when in March 1942 the Lindemann Plan was accepted by the British War Cabinet. Ever since then it had been ruthlessly carried into effect: the Dresden massacre was merely the culmination of this policy.**

Referring to the above quoted report issued from Allied Headquarters, the subject of the above debate, David Irving in his book, *The Destruction of Dresden*, published in 1963, observes complacently, **"What might be termed the 'mask' of the Allied Bomber commands for one extraordinary moment appears to have slipped."** It was however only a brief moment. "The debate on the 6th March, 1945," he writes proudly [?], "was the last wartime debate on Bomber Command's policy: **the British Government was able to preserve its secret from the day when the first area raid**

had been launched on Mannheim, the 16th December, 1940, right up to the end of the war.”

**The apparent indifference of the British public to the adoption of terror bombing as a method of waging war may be explained by the fact that the emphatic denials of the Ministers of the Crown were almost universally accepted as true. Officially this problem did not exist,** hence the public apathy which certainly contrasts strangely with the frenzied moral indignation professed in Britain and elsewhere in 1966 when the Americans began to bomb communist troop concentrations, oil depots and ammunition dumps in Vietnam on the ground[s] that bombs which missed their mark might endanger civilian life. The distinction between these cases is that the outcry in 1966 was perhaps more an expression of anti-American feeling than of a humanitarian regard for human life. **In 1945 the death of German civilians troubled few people in Britain simply because the victims were Germans.**

Be this as it may, the worldwide outcry of 1966 [against the Amerikan bombing of Vietnam—Ed.] certainly tends to support the view that **Winston Churchill and his colleagues were justified in fearing in 1942 that if the terms of the Lindemann Plan were made known to the public, an outcry,** similar to that which arose in 1966, **was to be expected.** [Veale, p. 19-23]

\* \* \* \* \*

Now back to that debate in the British House of Commons on March 6th 1945:  
From Irving, p. 242-44,

**As Mr. Stokes** [Labour M.P. (“Member of Parliament”)—Ed.] **rose to speak, the Secretary for Air, Sir Archibald Sinclair, pointedly rose from his seat and left the Chamber;** he refused to be drawn back, even when Stokes called attention to his absence. **Richard Stokes was therefore obliged to commence his speech, one of the most telling in the history of the air offensive against Germany, without as it were the most prominent witness for the defence present.**

**In his speech he returned to the theme he had been representing consistently since 1942; he was not convinced by the Minister’s repeated insistence on the precision of Bomber Command’s attacks; he also doubted the advantage of what he announced he would call “strategic bombing,” and commented that it was very noticeable that the Russians did not seem to indulge in “blanket bombing.” He could see the advantage of their being able to say that it was the Western capitalist states which had perpetrated all these dirty tricks, while the Soviet Air Force had limited its bombing activities to what Mr. Stokes called “tactical bombing.”** In making this observation he was displaying remarkable prescience as the post-war years have demonstrated.

The question was whether at this stage of the war the indiscriminate bombing of large population centres was a wise policy; he read to the House an extract from a report in the Manchester Guardian—based on a German telegraphic dispatch—which contained the remark that tens of thousands of Dresdeners were now buried under the ruins of the city, and that even an attempt at identification of the victims was proving hopeless.

**...Stokes observed caustically that it was strange that the Russians seemed to be able to take great cities without blasting them to pieces,** and added a question which clearly set even the Prime Minister’s mind at work: [See Churchill’s self-exculpating “minute” or letter below.—Ed.]

“What are you going to find, with all the cities blasted to pieces and with disease rampant? May not the disease, filth and poverty which will arise be almost impossible either to arrest or to overcome? I wonder very much whether it is realized at this stage. When I heard the Minister (Sir Archibald Sinclair) speak of the crescendo of destruction,

I thought: what a magnificent expression for a Cabinet Minister of Great Britain at this stage of the war.”

**Stokes called attention to the Associated Press dispatch from the SHAEF headquarters, and indeed read it out in full, thereby putting it on record for posterity; he asked once again the question he had asked so often before: Was terror-bombing now part of official Government policy? If so, then why was the SHAEF decision released and then suppressed? And why was it that in spite of the reports having been broadcast from Radio Paris, printed throughout America, and even being relayed back to the German people, the British people “are the only ones who may not know what is being done in their name?” It was “complete hypocrisy” to say one thing and do another. In conclusion Mr. Stokes asserted that the British Government would live to rue the day that it had permitted these raids, and that the raids would stand for all time as “a blot on our escutcheon.” These sentiments were doubly significant in that—expressed in more formal language—they were to reappear in a minute [or letter—Ed.] addressed by the Prime Minister [Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill—Ed.] to his Chiefs of Staff, warning Bomber Command to reconsider its “terror” campaign. [Did you hear that, dear reader? What a Winston! (See below for Winston’s chosen scapegoat.)—Ed.]**

Richard Stokes’ speech was completed by 3.7. p.m. on 6th March, but he had to wait until after 7.50 p.m. [i.e. 43 minutes—Ed.] for a reply from the Government. **Commander Brabner, the Joint Under-secretary of State for Air, replied for Sinclair**, although the latter had by now resumed his seat. His first action was to point out that **although the S.H.A.E.F. report had been received in London on 17th February** [1945—Ed.], **it had been** [officially (and deceitfully)—Ed.] **denied almost immediately.** However, he also stated that he too would like to deny the report, there and then:

**We are not wasting bombers or time on purely terror tactics. It does not do the Hon. Member** [Mr. Stokes, M.P.—Ed.] **justice to come here to this House** [of commoners—Ed.] **and suggest that there are a lot of Air Marshals or pilots or anyone else sitting in a room trying to think how many German women and children they can kill.**

**One curious aspect of the SHAEF dispatch riddle remained unsolved: When the Associated Press dispatch was circulated and objections were raised in London to its publication, the first reaction from SHAEF was that it could not be suppressed, as it represented official SHAEF policy. To this remark** [of Brabner’s (above)—Ed.], **backed up by the promise of documentary evidence** [which doubtlessly never arrived. For whatever is false can never, by definition, be “proven” true, never, ever, ever. At most many, even most, can be deceived by lies. (See e.g. the hateful, racist “holocaust” slanders of the “jews” against the German people, and their leaders.)—Ed.], **Sir Archibald Sinclair felt obliged to reply:** [he said—Ed.] **the [SHAEF—Ed.] report certainly was not true, and Mr. Stokes might take that from him.**

**Thus ended the last war-time debate on Bomber Command’s policy; the British Government had been able to safeguard its secret from the day that the first area raid had been launched on Mannheim on 16th December 1940, right up to the end of the war.** [Irving, p. 242-44]

\* \* \* \* \*

# Feb. 16, 1945: The Day the Mask of the Allied Terrorists was Momentarily Removed

I have said that Evil never takes off Its Godly-mask, Its saintly-mask, Its far-holy-than-thou mask and/or Its victim-mask. (For Evil always wants to keep all others as far from the ugly, predatory and murderous truth about Itself as It's lies, masks and pretenses can possibly accomplish.) But on Feb. 16, 1945 I hereby stand corrected, sort of. For on that day Satan's holocaustic "allies" told the truth, sort of. But soon afterwards they changed their minds and went back to telling the same old lies. So evidently it was only a momentary **accident** of truth, a truth-**mistake**, a truth-error or "crime"—(much like the "jewish" "truth-crimes" presently "prosecuted" within "jewish"-Amerika, and elsewhere (as "lies," "false news," "hatred," "racism," etc., etc., etc.).

For Evil cannot ever afford to tell the truth about Itself and Its evil deeds. And much less can Evil tolerate or permit anyone else (evidently a truth-teller) to do so. Hence Evil's censorship or control of all communication: whether print, t.v., radio or internet, so that the truth may never be read, heard nor seen, and so that the Light of truth might never, ever shine within Evil kingdom(s) of Darkness, such as Satanic Britain, or the "jewish"-Amerikan or Soviet "Unions."

But for a moment in 1945 Evil's mask fell or was taken off. For just two days after the "allied" "holocaust" or mass-murder of the non-military, non-"strategic," undefended, cultural German city of Dresden (on Feb. 14, 1945)...

(From Irving, p. 233-38),

**The allied air commanders at Supreme Headquarters** in [Paris-Ed.] France...**entrusted an R.A.F.** Air Commodore [C. M. Grierson-Ed.] seconded to S.H.A.E.F. as A.C.S.2 (**Intelligence**) **officer, to address a press conference.**

According to the American Official History [Army Air Forces in World War II, Vol. III, p. 731-2-Ed.], **the new Allied plan** that he outlined **was to "bomb large population centres and then to attempt to prevent relief supplies from reaching and refugees from leaving them—all part of a general programme to bring about the collapse of the German economy."** [So only the latter part (the prevention of help from coming and survivors from leaving) was "new."-Ed.]

In the course of a reply to a question put to him by one [newspaper/magazine-Ed.] correspondent, the Air Commodore recalls having apparently referred to German allegations of "terror-raids"—he was currently engaged in Intelligence on German operations—and, once spoken, the word remained in the mind of **the correspondent of the Associated Press. Within an hour, the A.P. correspondent's despatch was being put out from Paris Radio and being cabled to America for inclusion in the next morning's newspapers:**

**Allied air chiefs have made the long-awaited decision to adopt deliberate terror-bombings of German population centres as a ruthless expedient of hastening Hitler's doom. **More raids** such as those recently carried out by heavy bombers of the Allied air forces on residential sections of Berlin, Dresden, Chemnitz and Kottbus **are in store for the Germans, for the avowed purpose** of heaping more confusion on Nazi road and rail traffic, and **to sap German morale.** **The all-out air war on Germany became obvious** with the**

unprecedented daylight assault on the refugee-crowded capital, with civilians fleeing from the Red tide in the East.

**Thus, for one extraordinary moment, what might be termed the “mask” of the Allied bomber commands appeared to have slipped. The despatch—which was of course a highly tendentious version of the Air Commodore’s more moderate wording—was broadcast throughout liberated France and printed across America as front-page news:** [thus it was for a moment revealed that—Ed.] **not only R.A.F. Bomber Command**—whose own air offensive had long been viewed with suspicion in the United States—**but also their own U.S. Air Forces were now delivering terror-raids on** [German—Ed.] **civilians.** At the time that the news broke in America, many people had only just finished listening incredulously to a radio message beamed across the Atlantic by the German transmitters in which the big Berlin raid of 3rd February by the American bombers was condemned:

General Spaatz [the “Commanding General of the U.S. Strategic Air Forces”—Ed.] knew that it was taxing the ingenuity of German organisation to cope with the feeding and housing of **non-combatant refugees, of whom hundreds of thousands have fled before the organised savagery and terrorism of the communist Red Army invading East Germany.** General Spaatz also knew that **the available German air forces were concentrated on the Eastern front to combat the Red flood which threatens to destroy Germany and all Europe.** These are acts of exceptional cowardice.

It was announced, as a parting shot, that the Wehrmacht [German army—Ed.] awarded General Spaatz the Order of the White Feather [?] for his part in this crime.

[But—Ed.] **Now the vicious propaganda from Berlin** [as slanderously characterized by “Uncle” Satan’s “allies”—Ed.] **was apparently confirmed officially by an official S.H.A.E.F. announcement; British listeners were fortunately spared this dilemma: the British Government, which received news of the S.H.A.E.F. press conference at 7.30 p.m. on the evening of 17th February, imposed a total press veto on publication of the dispatch soon after.** [For Truth and Evil don’t mix. For the latter is neither served nor furthered by the former. Therefore Evil “censors” (silences, persecutes, murders, crucifies, mass-murders or terror-bombs) the Truth.—Ed.]

**The news was brought to General Dwight D. Eisenhower** [head of S.H.A.E.F. (“Supreme Headquarters of the Allied Expeditionary Force”); “Supreme Commander” of the “allied” anti-Christ or “jewish” forces; (himself a “jew”); a war criminal and mass-murderer of hundreds of thousands of foolishly-trusting German prisoners-of-war; and future Amerikan president. (Who says mass-murder doesn’t pay (within “jewish”-Amerika)?—Ed.) **and General Henry H. Arnold** [Chief of the American Army Air Forces—Ed.]—**both were gravely disturbed, not only that the story had received such wide coverage, but that an American air offensive which was, as they thought, directed only against precision military objectives** [?], **was being manifestly misrepresented.** [Can you believe that one, dear reader? Me neither!—Ed.] General Arnold cabled Spaatz to check whether in fact there was any significant distinction between blind bombing by radar on military targets in urban areas, and “terror” bombing, such as the S.H.A.E.F. communiqué—as reported by Associated Press—claimed the Americans were now indulging in. General Carl **Spaatz replied**, perhaps a shade cryptically, **that he had not departed from the historical American policy in Europe—not even in the cases of the 3rd February Berlin raid or the 14th February Dresden raid.** This discussion and its subsequent **explanation satisfied General Arnold and the controversy was allowed to subside.**

[I'll bet it was "allowed to subside"! For how can the "supreme" or highest commanders justly, safely or with impunity accuse, "try" or condemn their official subordinates for the "war crime" of following their "supreme" commands to the bloody, mass-murderous letter? And this "supreme commander" Eisenhower also had a "commander-in-chief." Did you know that, dear reader? Do you remember his name? He reigned from Washington, D.C., Amerika. And he was the "jewish" antithesis of George Washington, and the very sort of thing the first president warned his countrymen about: agents of foreign powers interested in getting Americans entangled in foreign wars. And yet Americans generally worshipped this Roosevelt then and now, up to this very day. Is this not so? (So go figure!)-Ed.]

**General Carl Spaatz had clearly eluded the onus of the responsibility for the Dresden raids and their consequences, but just in time [?]; his reassurance that the U.S.St.A.F.** [i.e. the "United States Strategic [and therefore not Terroristic-Ed.] Air Force"-Ed.] **was attacking only military objectives, as always, pacified both Arnold and Eisenhower.** [I'm certain it "always" did!-Ed.]

The German Government, however, aware, in a way that neither the outside world nor indeed the German public could be, of what had really occurred in the Saxon capital [Dresden-Ed.], had no intention of relinquishing such a meaty propaganda detail. [Those damned exploitive, exaggerating, propagandistic Germans!-Ed.] **The very manner in which the report had been issued by S.H.A.E.F. and then—as it was later—hastily stopped** [i.e. censored, retracted, recalled, dropped down G. Orwell's "Memory Hole"; ("What report, comrade?")-Ed.], **the way in which the British Government alone had clamped total ban on its publication, suggested that there was more to the Associated Press despatch,** which had by now reached Berlin through Sweden, **than was superficially evident.** [No sh--, Sherlock!-Ed.]

**While, up to that point, many Germans had dutifully [?] described Allied raids on German cities, in the standard National Socialist jargon, as "terror" raids [(?)** But the "allies" themselves described them as "terror" attacks, and accurately and intentionally so! (See above)-Ed.], **now there were many [Brits-Ed.] who could believe that perhaps that was what they really were. Clearly, if the British Government refused to tell the people what was being done in their name by R.A.F. Bomber Command, then the German Government** [in light of the total, "terrible" and characteristic failure of the B.B.C. (British Broadcasting Corporation)-Ed.] **must take the necessary steps to ensure that the truth was not withheld from them.** William Joyce [Irish name, as was the name of Lord Hitler's English translator of Mein Kampf, one James Murphy; (Go Irish!)-Ed.], the broadcaster of anti-British propaganda [?] for the German Government, was instructed to include in his next "Views on the News" broadcast to England a speech on Dresden; again the B.B.C. Monitoring Service considered it necessary to report the speech in full to the Government.

At 10.30 p.m. on 18th February, the familiar and hateful [?] voice of "Germany calling" began the task of informing British people of the Dresden terror-raids; the Germans, could hardly have chosen a less credible broadcaster [being an Irish Kelt and all-Ed.] if they had wanted to influence British public opinion [Brits, by the way, are Anglo-Saxon Germans. (Go figure.) And the land the Angles conquered and took from the native Kelts (thus driven west into Wales and north into Scotland) they called "Angleland." (Get it?)-Ed.]:

British propagandists are boasting that by attacking such cities as Dresden, the R.A.F. and U.S. air forces are co-operating with the Soviets. They do not remember any occasion on which the Soviet High Command has troubled itself to co-operate with [i.e. help-Ed.] British efforts. **Incidentally, Eisenhower's headquarters have now issued a stupid and impudent denial of the obvious truth that the bombing of German towns had a terrorist motive.** ["Stupid" and "impudent"? How very "hateful"!-Ed.] **Churchill's spokesmen, both in the press**

**and on the radio, have actually gloried in the air attacks on Berlin and Dresden, on the refugees from the East. Various British journalists have written as if the murdering of German refugees were a first-class military achievement.** I shall always remember how, alluding to the attack on Dresden, **one B.B.C. announcer happily prattled: There is no china in Dresden today.** That was, perhaps, meant to be a joke; but in what sort of taste? Far be it from me to strike a sentimental note amidst the grim and dark realities of this phase in a gigantic struggle, which is destined to decide more than the fate of porcelain...

Joyce concluded his broadcast by enumerating the architectural treasures destroyed in Dresden, and also describing the fate of the refugees.

Faced with this massive propaganda barrage [?] from every enemy-controlled radio station in Europe, the only recorded Allied counter-blast was a French contribution through their German-language broadcast from Radio Bir Hakeim; broadcasting to Germany it announced that during the air raid on Dresden, fire-fighting crews had been hastily organised, consisting of Hitler-Youth members and aged men:

Instead of the fire-fighting implements which they expected and desired, they were given rifles, taken to the station and forced to leave for the front without saying good-bye to their parents.

[It just goes to show how you just can't trust those deceitful German officials! They say one thing and do another. Why can't they be more truthful, "jewish," British or Amerikan? Know what I mean?—Ed.]

Quite apart from the painfully obvious detail that **the Dresden** [railway—Ed.] **Station, as well as all lines to the** [eastern—Ed.] **front, were supposed to have been totally destroyed** [to prevent German civilians from fleeing westward from the advancing "red terror" of the "Soviets." And so the Germans were in vicious vice of "allied" (eastern/western or Soviet/British-Amerikan—i.e. "jewish") terror and genocidal mass-murder. ("Winston Churchill...was a principle party to the most appalling acts of mass murder which included the strafing of women and children refugees as they fled from their burning cities, or before the Red Armies' raping Asiatic hordes." [London's Sunday Telegraph, "1.10.61"—Ed.] [McLaughlin, p. 4])—Ed.], many people will agree that there were times when the **German propaganda** broadcasts had a definite edge on those from France and other Allied countries.

[But why this need to slander the truth as "propaganda"? Or which can better afford to come to the Light or Truth, or else **not** to wear a (beautiful or virtuous or victim) mask : Beauty or Hideousness; Godliness or Sataness; the Germans or the "allies"; Gentiles or "jews"; Hitler or Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin? (John 3:19-21)—Ed.]

**The second S.H.A.E.F. communiqué, in which the first report was officially taken back, was issued** on [the next day—Ed.] Saturday, 17th February.

**Unfortunately, the briefing officer on this occasion, the same Air Commodore as before** [Grierson—Ed.], **described the killing refugees as being accidental:**

[But why "unfortunately"? For any murder or mass-murder must either be "accidental" or deliberate. And as Evil by It's very nature cannot ever admit Itself nor Its (evil, murderous, terrible) deeds, nor come to the Light, what else can It do but lie, as always? (John 8:44) But Irving means Grierson "unfortunately" didn't repeat the truth, or tell the truth two times (and days) in a row. But how could he, being what he was, and serving whom he did?—Ed.]

[Grierson said—Ed.] **the bombing of German targets was pursuing the sole aim of destroying towns as transportation or oil centres; the attack on Berlin had been made to communications through**[out—Ed.] **the capital; the raid on Dresden had**

**had the same object. It was a pure accident that at the time of the raids Dresden was crowded with refugees.**

The German reaction was swift and bitter: [Those “bitter” Germans ! They should be grateful for their “allied” “liberation” (east and west)!—as grateful as all the “liberating” “allies” shall hopefully be some day!—(if there’s a God at all in Heaven or earth)!—Ed.]

**Ever since Air Chief Marshal Harris, the British bomber chief, stated that the main object of the raids was to break the morale of the German civilians, ever since the British Prime Minister [Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill—Ed.] painted a grim picture of a Germany where starvation and pestilence would rot out Britain’s enemies the same way as air raids [the German telegraph service commented bitterly on 19th February], there has been no doubt that the S.H.A.E.F. war criminals have cold-bloodedly ordered the extermination of the innocent German public by terror-raids from the air.**

As the propaganda campaign [?] against the British and Americans gathered momentum, as the Swedish, Swiss and other neutral countries began to print horrifying [“propaganda”?—Ed.] descriptions for the world to read about what the Allies had done to Dresden, **the German information service, with its constantly reiterated claim that R.A.F. Bomber Command was delivering pure terror-raids on German civilians, was gaining its most surprising convert in the British government [ i.e. Winston (“Where’s your bloody British empire now?—you fat, drunken, sociopathic swine from Satan’s SuperNazi sinagog”) Churchill—Ed.], which indeed had most reason to know the truth about the Bomber Command assault on Dresden.** [Irving, p. 233-38]

\* \* \* \* \*

# The “Prime” Mass-Murderer of “Great Britain” (now deceased), Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill, Selects an Official Scapegoat for “his Majesty’s” “Terror” or “Holocaust” Bombings of German Civilians

From Harwood,

**Prime Minister Winston Churchill was a strong proponent of bombing people as people. In July 1941, according to the RAF [(British) “Royal Air Force”—Ed.] official historians [The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; Sir Charles Webster and Dr. Noble Frankland; H.M. (“His Majesty’s”) Stationary Office, London, 1961—Ed.], he was an “enthusiast...for the mass bombardment of German towns” and in August “repeatedly” urged it. In January 1941, when oil installations were named as the principal target, he “regretted that oil plants were for the most part removed from the centres of population.” [Harwood, p. 62]**

Throughout June [1940—Ed.], the Germans refrained from responding [to the British bombings—Ed.] in like manner. Finally, they reacted to stop the raids, by

bombing British airfields, to prevent the RAF ["Royal Air Force"—Ed.] taking off. **But to Churchill, the moving force behind the new policy, this was not enough. The Germans must be provoked into bombing cities, so that the British people would really hate the enemy who, up until then, had appeared rather remote.** On 25 August, 81 bombers were despatched in the first of a series of night raids on Berlin. It was not until 7 September, three months after the first British attack, that the Luftwaffe replied in kind, with an attack on London. **A few weeks later, the Germans took the initiative and proposed a bombing truce,** although the Luftwaffe still had an enormous advantage in bombing strength. **But the British** [led in their "finest hour" to their empire's end by their British Bulldog, their victorious P.M.—Ed.] **wanted no such truce. Heavier bombers for the RAF were already under production, and the blitz against Germany was stepped up.** [Harwood, p. 62]

**On the 10th May, 1940, just one day after his appointment as Prime Minister, Winston Churchill announced** [not publicly, but only to his official subordinates with an absolute need to know—Ed.] **that the bombing of Germany's civilian population would commence. J. M. Spaight, C.B. C B E. who was the Principal Secretary to the Air Ministry admitted that: "Hitler only undertook the bombing of British civilian targets reluctantly three months after the R.A.F. had commenced** [or started—Ed.] **bombing German civilian targets."** He went on to say that: **"Hitler would have been willing at any time to stop the slaughter. Hitler was genuinely anxious to reach with Britain an agreement confining the action of aircraft to battle zones."** [McL.'s source: Veale's ...Barbarism—Ed.]

**Churchill's decision to bomb Germany's civilian targets was to cost Britain dearly in terms of lives lost.** The smouldering blackened ruins of London, Liverpool, Coventry and many other British cities bore silent testimony to this. **The blitz on Coventry stands out as an example of such retaliatory raids and the British people in their innocence, unaware of the true reasons for their suffering, grew to hate and gave their all to strike back at the German barbarians** [when all the while from then to now the true barbarians were the British people themselves, or rather their war leaders and their obedient followers, whether officials, soldiers or civilians.—Ed.] [McLaughlin, p. 3]

**Prime Minister Chamberlain, before he was ousted by the Churchill clique, had been quite adamant on the matter of bombing civilians. He had said that such a policy, "was absolutely contrary to international law". And he had given** [Lord Hitler?—Ed.] **the assurance that: "The British Government would never resort to the deliberate attack on women and children for purposes of mere terrorism."**

**Winston Churchill had no such scruples and was a principle party to the most appalling acts of mass murder which included the strafing of women and children refugees as they fled from their burning cities, or before the Red Armies' raping Asiatic hordes.** [London's Sunday Telegraph, "1.10.61"—Ed.]

**His premiership was accompanied by a new war policy in which it was decided that at whatever cost, Hitler's National Socialist Germany must be unconditionally and totally destroyed and the means used must have little or no regard for long established rules of warfare.** Indeed, it could be safely said that in this, **Churchill overturned rules of war that had endured for more than 1,000 years of European history.** [McLaughlin, p. 4]

\* \* \* \* \*

Air Marshal Sir Arthur Harris, Commander-in-Chief of Bomber Command, who was after the war ostracized (if not scapegoated) by Winston Churchill and his P.M. successor and fellow war cabinet member, Clement Atlee, (who also approved the British policy of terror bombing

German cities and civilians), once defended himself by remonstrating: **“the decision to bomb industrial cities for morale effect was made, and in force, before I became C.-in-C.** [Commander-in-Chief–Ed.], **Bomber Command.**’ (That this is true there can be no question.)” [Veale, p. 200]

Now more from Veale, p. 194-96,

...the minute [i.e. official message or letter (referred to above)–Ed.] dated the 28th March 1945, which **Sir Winston Churchill** sent **to the Chief of the Air Staff, Sir Charles Portal, six weeks after the mass raid on Dresden:**

**“It seems to me that the moment has come**[for me to bail out of my long-term foreign policy of mass-murder and terror via blaming or scapegoating my dutiful and obedient subordinates–Ed.] **when the question of bombing of German cities simply for the sake of increasing the terror, though under other pretexts should be reviewed.** [ (...) (Veale inexplicably omits two sentences here. See Irving below.)–Ed.] The destruction of Dresden remains a serious query against the conduct of Allied bombing. I am of the opinion that **military objectives must henceforth be more strictly studied in our own interests rather than that of the enemy. [?] I feel the need for more precise concentration upon military objectives,** such as oil and communications behind the immediate battle-zone, **rather than on mere acts of terror and wanton destruction, however impressive.”**

[Was this the first official mention or acknowledgement of British terror bombing “pretexts”?—(though not public of course, but secret, official, intergovernmental). And why? Because Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill was simply, naturally and characteristically the fairest (truest and justest) of them all? Or what? What was this sly old mass-murderous swine up to?–Ed.]

Naturally, Air Marshal Portal, one of the foremost champions of the bombing policy which had been carried out for the previous three years with the Government’s [i.e. Winston and his “war cabinet’s”–Ed.] entire approval, expostulated [or complained–Ed.] at the frank wording of this minute. The Prime Minister withdrew it and substituted one tactfully worded, but nevertheless referring to “the question of *so-called* ‘area bombing’ of German cities.” [a.k.a. “saturation,” “blanket,” “carpet” or “obliteration” bombing–Ed.] In fact, as Sir Charles Webster and Dr. Noble Frankland say in their official history of the Air Offensive, that **immediately after victory became certain, “The Prime Minister and others in authority seemed to turn away from the subject [of their terror-bombing–Ed.] as though it were distasteful to them and as though they had forgotten their own recent efforts to initiate and maintain the offensive.”**

No shorthand notes are taken of the proceedings at British cabinet meetings and consequently we are dependent on the memory and the veracity of those ministers taking part who later see fit to disclose their recollection of what occurred. We do not know therefore what took place at **that momentous cabinet meeting in March 1942 when it was decided to adopt the plan submitted by** [cabinet member and “scientific advisor” to Churchill–Ed.] **Professor Lindemann to win the war by terror bombing:** we do not know what objections, if any, were raised to the adoption of this plan and by which ministers present. **It has all along been certain that Winston Churchill, the Prime Minister, overcame his natural scruples and supported the adoption of the plan, otherwise of course it would not have been adopted.**

Since the publication in 1961 of the official history of terror bombing, The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45, it has become clear **that adoption was also supported by the Foreign Secretary, Sir Anthony Eden. On the 15th April,**

**1942, only a month after its adoption,** Sir Anthony wrote to the Air Minister, Sir Archibald Sinclair, **expressing the view that “the psychological [i.e. mentally-crippling, petrifying, terrifying–Ed.] effects of bombing have little connection with the military and economic importance of the target [; they are determined solely by the amount of destruction and dislocation caused.” (Irving, p. 249)–Ed.]” He went on to suggest that the psychological effects of attacking a medium-sized town were greater than those of attacking, with equal force, a larger town, and added:**

**“I wish to recommend therefore that in the selection of targets in Germany, the claims of smaller towns of under 50,000 inhabitants which are not too heavily defended, should be considered, even though those towns contain only [military or “strategic”–Ed.] targets of secondary importance.”**

“There is no reason to suppose,” comment the joint authors of this authoritative work [Sir Charles Webster and Dr. Noble Frankland (p. 115)–Ed.], “that Sir Archibald Sinclair [British “Air Minister”–Ed.] found these views morally repugnant.” Quite the contrary in fact! They proceed to quote a letter written the following month by **Sir Archibald** declaring that he was “in full agreement” with the views of an unnamed M.P. who had written him emphatically supporting terror bombing and proclaiming that he [which official witch: Sinclair or Eden, or both?–Ed.] **was “all for the bombing of working-class areas in German cities. I am a Cromwellian—I believe in ‘slaying in the name of the Lord’.”**

One is left wondering what was the reaction of this Honourable Gentleman [i.e. A. Eden–Ed.] when on the 30th March, 1943, he heard Sir Archibald Sinclair [British “Secretary for Air”–Ed.], in reply to a question by Mr. Richard Stokes [“labor” M.P. (see above)–Ed.], solemnly assure the House of Commons, “The targets of Bomber Command are always military.” Presumably he regarded this ministerial departure from the truth as relating to mere “operational details”, which according to David Irving was the attitude of the hundred thousand airmen who ever since the great raid on Mannheim on the 16th December 1940 had known that night after night aircraft had been despatched against German civilian centres. [Veale, p. 194-96]

\* \* \* \* \*

The following few excerpts are from Irving. (This first one from p. 245-52),

**The suggestion in the S.H.A.E.F. despatch was that the new terror-bombing policy had been formulated by unnamed “Allied air chiefs,” as distinct from their political leaders; this suggestion would prove useful when the time came for responsibility to be accorded in post-war years** for an act of war which undoubtedly a section of the European community would be tempted to view in the same light as some of the excesses of the Axis powers. [Like what, exactly?–Ed.]

**The creation of a scapegoat who could convincingly be blamed for the brutality of the bombing offensive presented few difficulties,** now that the prime necessity for the bomber weapon was past. [I.E. all the cities of Germany had already been “holocausted” from the sky, and now lay in smoldering ruins.–Ed.] The Official Historians [C. Webster and N. Frankland; The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; H.M. (“His Majesty’s”) Stationary Office, London, 1961–Ed.] noted:

**The Prime Minister and others in authority seemed to turn away from the subject [of the strategic [?] air offensive], as though it were distasteful to them, and as though they had forgotten their own recent efforts to initiate and maintain the offensive.**

On 28th March [1945–Ed.] the Prime Minister signed a minute [official message or letter–Ed.] **on the subject of the continued air offensive against German cities, and addressed it to his Chiefs of Staff:** he was clearly deeply impressed by reports reaching the Government of the shock waves still coursing through the civilized world about the attacks on the Eastern population centres:

**It seems to me [he wrote] that the moment has come when the question of bombing German cities simply for the sake of increasing the terror, though under other pretexts, should be reviewed. Otherwise we shall come into control of an utterly ruined land.** We shall not, for instance, be able to get housing materials out of Germany for our own needs because some temporary provision would have to be made for the Germans themselves. [Note no mention of nor remorse for hundreds of thousands of mass-murdered (“terrorized”) German civilians. And so even “terror” is a euphemism and “pretext” for mass-murder, genocide, “holocaust.” Can you see that, dear reader?–Ed.] **The destruction of Dresden remains a serious query [?] against the conduct of Allied bombing. I am of the opinion that military objectives must henceforward be more strictly studied in our own interests rather than that of the enemy.** [So, it’s in the “interests” of the enemies of the “allies” to be mass-murdered and terrorized by those “allies”?—(“though under other pretexts” of course)? And if so, then why not vice-versa? Is anyone “interested”?—besides the “allies” of course.–Ed.]

The Foreign Secretary [Anthony Eden–Ed.] has spoken to me on this subject, and **I feel the need for more precise concentration upon military objectives, such as oil and communications behind the immediate battle-zone, rather than on mere acts of terror and wanton destruction, however impressive.**

This was indeed a remarkable document. Two possible interpretations were placed upon it at the time by those who learned of its contents: either the minute was hastily penned in the heat and turmoil of great events, and at a time when the Prime Minister was under considerable personal strain, simply recording the lessons learned from the aftermath of Dresden; or **it could be construed as a carefully-phrased attempt at burdening for posterity the responsibility for the Dresden raids on to his Chiefs of Staff, and, perhaps more appositely [or specifically–Ed.], on to Bomber Command and Sir Arthur Harris.**

Whatever the Prime Minister’s motive for writing this minute—and it seems more charitable to accent the first alternative outlined above than the second—**the Prime Minister had now made his own attitude abundantly clear; whereas Mr. Richard Stokes in the House of Commons had spoken of Dresden as an eternal “blot on the escutcheon” of the British Government, the Prime Minister appeared to accord the blame to the bomber commanders.**

It was to the credit of **the Chief of the Air Staff** [Charles Portal–Ed.] **that he was unwilling to accept this minute as it was worded, and the Prime Minister was obliged to compose a second one.** It may well be that the Prime Minister had failed to appreciate the implication that could be read into the first draft of his minute. [Irving again is being too kind.–Ed.] Within a few days, Bomber Command’s senior officers had also learned of the existence of this minute, although there is some doubt whether Sir Arthur Harris himself was apprised [or informed. Why not? Because he was officially considered by his mass-murderous colleagues to be the most likely scapegoat? (See below.)–Ed.].

**....Sir Robert Saundby, as Harris’s Deputy at High Wycombe...recalls clearly the surprise and consternation felt by the Air Staff at what they felt to be implied by the Prime Minister: that he had been deliberately misled by his military**

advisers. What the Air Staff found most surprising, Saundby later related, was the suggestion that Bomber Command had been waging a purely terror offensive on its own initiative, “though under other pretexts.” [Revisit, if you will, Eisenhower’s similarly “terrible” pretense of ignorance above.—Ed.]

The Official Historians [C. Webster and N. Frankland; The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; H.M. (“His Majesty’s”) Stationary Office, London, 1961—Ed.] refer to these “**severe words**, though not on moral grounds, **from the Prime Minister, though it was he himself who contributed much of the incentive to carry it** [the Dresden raid] **out.**”

**To the Chiefs of Staff** [said Saundby [to Irving—Ed.]] **it looked as though it was an attempt on the Prime Minister’s part to pretend that he had never ordered, or even advocated, that sort of thing. It was felt that it was not a fair picture of the Prime Minister to put on record, in view of what he had previously said and done.** He was rather given to these impetuous flashes which were all very well in conversation, but not in a written minute. **It might have led people to suppose that the Prime Minister himself had been misled by his military advisers to acquiescing in a policy of terror-bombing, because they had dressed it up in “military” garments. At that stage, the Prime Minister was beginning to look beyond the end of the war, however.**

**It was this possible implication to which the Chiefs of Staff objected.** They were entirely in agreement with the main conclusion of the minute.

**Having taken this firm stand against the phrasing of this minute of 28th March** [1945—Ed.], **the Chiefs of Staff—and the officers at Bomber Command** who eventually heard the full story—**were doubly surprised, when the Prime Minister withdrew it almost at once.** [Surprised? Why? Surely Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill damn-well knew these men knew the “terrible” truth, and hence could tell the public precisely who ordered them to do what, and when.—Ed.]

We all thought it was a good point in his favour [added Sir Robert Saundby]. He was a big enough man to do it.

[Big enough indeed! Just look at him! (He and Goring should have gone hunting wild swine together. And may only the “biggest” man have returned.)—Ed.]

**In the face of the Air Staff’s objection to his first minute, the Prime Minister wrote a second one, more circumspectly worded than the first. It omitted any direct reference either to Dresden on the one hand, or to the advantage of terror-bombing to the enemy [?] on the other.**

**It seems to me** [the Prime Minister now wrote on 1st April] **that the moment has come when the question of the so-called ‘area-bombing’ of German cities should be reviewed from the point of view of our own interests. If we come into control of an entirely ruined land, there will be a great shortage of accommodation for ourselves and our Allies: and we shall be unable to get housing materials out of Germany for our own needs because some temporary provision would have to be made for the Germans themselves. We must see to it that our attacks do not do more harm to ourselves in the long run than they do to the enemy’s immediate war effort. Pray let me have your views.**

[Mr. Churchill's minute of 28th March and 1st April 1945 are cited in full in The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-1945, by Webster and Franklyn, Vol. III, pages 112 and 117 respectively.—(Irving's endnote, p. 282)—Ed.]

This minute was accepted without reservation by the Air Staff; as Sir Robert Saundby has pointed out, it tallied closely with their own opinions in any case. The prompt reaction of the Prime Minister is of course consistent with the view that his original words were not meant as an attack on anyone and he **may** have been considerably [or genuinely—Ed.] surprised at the way they were being interpreted.

[It is also consistent with the view that Churchill feared that these subordinate terror-bombing officials of his, whom he was thus subtly blaming or "minutely" scapegoating, just might defend themselves by simply telling the public the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth about the "terrible" or "terrorist" P.M.—Ed.]

**It should here be recalled how on 26th January [1945—Ed.] the Prime Minister had asked the Secretary of State for Air [Sinclair—Ed.] whether Berlin and no doubt other large cities in Eastern Germany should not be considered especially attractive targets; it was as a direct consequence of this minute to Sir Archibald Sinclair—a minute which the Prime Minister did not include in his memoirs [Really? How very "suprising"!—Ed.]—that Sir Arthur Harris was instructed to attend to [i.e. terror-bomb—Ed.] Dresden, Leipzig and Chemnitz.**

**....Sir Arthur Harris claims that he was not informed about the wording of the Prime Minister's first minute [of March 28, 1945, (above)—Ed.], and never once in the post-war years did he call public attention to the part the Prime Minister had himself played in initiating the Dresden raids. Characteristically, even when he was personally informed that the Official History included this evidence of the way that the Prime Minister appeared to disown this type of operation, he refused at first to believe it could be true. [What an official fool!—Ed.]**

**The Prime Minister in his memoirs deals with the tragedy of the Dresden massacre in the following words: "We made a heavy raid later in the month on Dresden, then a centre of communications of Germany's Eastern front."**

**No attempt was made to depict the scale of the personal tragedies inflicted on the city, nor the controversial background and consequences to the raid,** although his memoirs do highlight his determined stand in persuading General Eisenhower not to plan for American troops to capture Dresden.

[What a humanitarian! To leave Dresden to smolder on this side of the border: That post-war border imperiously drawn by Churchill, Roosevelt and Stalin at Yalta in early Feb. of that year (1945). The one leaving eastern Germany along with eastern Europe to the Soviets. What a grand "allied" "liberation" of Europe! What a great victory for "freedom"! And for Roosevelt's "four freedoms"—"everywhere in the world"! Who can deny, in historical retrospect, that this Soviet "liberation" of Europe was what the British and the Americans were really and truly fighting for—though not, of course, what they were **told** they were fighting for. For the truth and what the greatest liars say are two very different (and often opposite, contrary, antithetical) things.—Ed.]

Sir Harris was a commander who was neither vindictive nor demonstrative, and even if he had learned of the nature of the 28th March minute of the Prime Minister intended to address to his chiefs of [Air—Ed.] Staff, it is unlikely that the C.-in-C. of Bomber Command [i.e. Harris—Ed.] would have commented on it.

In the eighteen years that have passed since the Dresden affair, the number of times that Sir Arthur Harris has expressed in print about the part which he and his gallant [?] force played in winning the war are few indeed; not so reticent have been his critics, of whom there are legions.

[Certainly the "allies" were far more "gallant" (i.e. terroristic, mass-murderous and/or holocaustic) than their opponents, the civilized and Christian Germans, who had

repeatedly refused to respond to the “allies” in kind, or to pay them back in their own mass-murderous coin. For as Lord Hitler well knew, the “allied” civilians were (relatively) innocent of the atrocious evils and the terrible “crimes against humanity” which their leaders, officials and soldiers had rained down upon or otherwise committed against his German people and soldiers.—Ed.]

...**When the war-time Deputy Prime Minister, Clement Attlee** [Churchill’s successor as P.M.—Ed.], **went on record in 1960 as thinking that Harris was “never frightfully good,” and insisted that “all that attack on their cities” did not pay as much as if he had made more effective use of his bombs, and he “might have concentrated more on military targets,”** [interviewed in Sunday Times, Nov. 27, 1960—Ed.] **Sir Arthur Harris did reply with asperity that:**

**The strategy of the bomber force which Earl Attlee criticises was decided by H.M.** [“His Majesty’s” (i.e. the English king/British emperor’s)—Ed.] **Government, of which he [Earl Attlee] was for most of the war a leading member. The decision to bomb industrial cities for morale effect was made, and in force, before I became C.-in-C.** [Commander-in-Chief—Ed.] **Bomber Command.** [letter to Sunday Times, Jan, 22, 1961—Ed.]

**No Commander-in-Chief would have been authorised to make such decisions, however adept he may have proved himself in their execution. Even then, Sir Arthur Harris afterwards admitted his deep regret at having been stung into participating** [i.e. defending himself—Ed.] **in the public bombing controversy.**

In the House of Commons Sir Arthur Harris did not lack his champions. Many former Bomber Command officers and personnel were among the ranks of new M.P.s [Members of Parliament—Ed.] returned in the 1946 election. One of them [Wing Commander Millington—Ed.] during a protracted Debate on 12th March 1946 called public attention to what had been disturbing many men in Bomber Command since the war. He referred at length to the question whether Bomber Command’s operations in World War II were militarily and strategically justified, and added:

This matter is precipitated in my mind by the signal fact that in the terminal honours, at the end of last year [1945—Ed.], **in the New Year’s Honours List, the name of the chief architect of Bomber Command, Sir Arthur Harris, was a conspicuous absentee.** I know it will be agreed that in the Honours List six months previously, the Commander-in-Chief of Bomber Command received the Order of the G.C.B. [?] But **he retired from the Royal Air Force without any public expression of gratitude for the work—not that he had done—but which his Command** [his subordinates—Ed.] **had done under him.** He left the country in a bowler hat for America [en route to South Africa] without having been included in the terminal Honours List. **There is a feeling amongst the men who have served in Bomber Command that what appears to be an affront to the Commander-in-Chief of that Command is in fact an affront to the people who served in that Command,** and of course to those who suffered casualties. **We feel that if our organization** [“Bomber Command”—Ed.] **did a good job of work in all respects** [having murdered a million or so German civilians from the sky—Ed.], **as we believe it did, the least that should be done is that an honour should be conferred on its head, comparable to the honours paid to commanding officers of similar units, particularly in the other services.** [from Hansard’s Parliamentary Debates, Vol. 420—Ed.]

[Was this glaring omission not because the anti-Christ or “jewish” “allies” like to sweep their mass-murders under the bloody rug, and usually by slandering (“trying,” “convicting” and “executing”) the leading and defeated defenders of their surviving victims as “mass-murderers”? (See the Nuremberg etc. lynchings.) And thus they reverse the poles of truth and falsehood, justice and injustice, right and wrong, virtue and vice, and also the roles of heroes and villains, victims and predators, defenders and aggressors, the mass-murdered and their mass-murderers. For there are none more self-righteous, more self-allegedly “innocent,” more allegedly “persecuted” nor hence in greater need of hateful “justice” (or vengeance) than the slanderous Devil and Its mass-murderous bastards. (John 8:44) The poor, persecuted dears! Irving continues—Ed.]

Sir Arthur Harris, in fact, received a baronetcy in 1953;...

...Less than **a year after the end of the war with the men of his former Command neither remembered in a national memorial nor offered a Campaign medal for their service in the most bloody and long-drawn-out battle of the war** [?], **he announced his decision to leave the United Kingdom** to take up a commercial appointment in South Africa where he had spent most of his youth.

On 13th February 1946, the former Commander-in-Chief of R.A.F. Bomber Command sailed from Southampton on the first stage of his journey; that night, throughout Eastern and Central Germany, at 10.10 p.m. the church bells began to peal; for twenty minutes the bells rang out across the territories now occupied by a [“jewish” or Soviet (also Amerikan, English and French)—Ed.] force as ruthless as any that the Bomber offensive had been launched to destroy; it was **the first anniversary of** [the mass-murder of Dresden—Ed.] **the biggest single massacre in European history, a massacre carried out in the cause of bringing to their knees a people** who, corrupted by Nazism, had committed the greatest crimes against humanity in recorded time. [Irving, p. 245-52]

Really!? And what “crimes” were these? Certainly not “terror bombing” or mass-murdering “enemy” civilians from the sky? And why would a nation who’d refuse to mass-murder from the sky agree to do so on the ground? And what was (is) the “jewish” “holocaust” if not a slanderous, “jewish” attempt to hide the truth of these terror-bombings and other “allied” mass-murders of Germans, such as the “allied” enslavement and mass-murder of millions of surrendered German soldiers; the “allied” enslavement of German civilians; the “allied” robbery and mass-expulsion of 15 million Germans after the war into a even further-reduced or truncated Germany with 3 million or so dead or murdered along the way, etc., etc., etc.

And so you see the real and true “holocausts” are hidden behind one big, false, slanderous, “jewish” one.

And whatever you do, dear readers, never, ever lose a war to “jewish” “allies” (i.e. lackeys). For they are terribly mass-murderous. Is this not so?

\* \* \*

Again from Irving, p. 92-93,

The winter weather in [1944-45)—Ed.] Europe was, however, as unfavourable for bombing operations as it was for the comfort of the columns of refugees reeling westwards [from the , barbarous, atrocious, terrifying, mass-murderous “jewish”-“Soviet” “allies” to the east—Ed.]; it remained to the Joint Intelligence Committee to make a positive suggestion for the most effective employment of the Allied bomber forces [to facilitate this “allied” Soviet advance—Ed.]. This was a modification of a plan previously projected under the code-name Thunderclap.

**In July 1944 the Chiefs of Staff had discussed the possibility of making Berlin the target for a blow of “catastrophic force” on morale, military, political—and civilian. The suggestion had been put to the Prime Minister [Winston (“Bulldog”) Churchill, obviously approved—Ed.] and then embodied in a detailed memo submitted by Sir Charles Portal [Churchill’s official underdog as Chief of the (British) Air Staff—Ed.] to the [other—Ed.] Chiefs of Staff on 1st August, the memo which the Official Historians [C. Webster & N. Frankland’s The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; London, 1961)—Ed.] termed the “title-deed” of the Dresden operation. As an alternative to Berlin,**

**[...] immense devastation could be produced if the entire attack was concentrated on a single big town other than Berlin and effect would be especially great if the town was one hitherto relatively undamaged.**

In the opinion of the Foreign Office [the British “State dept.”—Ed.], the Political Warfare Executive and the Ministry of Economic Warfare [whose “jewish”-Amerikan counterpart is called the “United States Treasury” (see U.S. treasurer “Morgenthau’s plan”)—Ed.] with whom [“Operation”—Ed.] **Thunderclap** had been discussed and agreed in principle, **such an attack might hasten an imminent victory or determine one which seemed in the balance.** [Irving, p. 92-3]

Not to mention mass-murdering tons of Germans: The real and true, first and foremost (but ever officially unconfessed reason) for all these “thunderous” “allied” terror bombings? And so the “jewish or ”anti-Christ “allies” have not only demonstrated their mass-murderous, genocidal, holocaustic “foreign policy” in war-time, but they’ve also thus taught all the Gentile world how best to “hasten victory” against them—i.e. to end or win any future wars with them: A “terrible” “allied” lesson for all those students of History or warfare heartless enough to take it to heart—students plainly far more heartless than Hitler, who, other than a token retaliation or two, never really responded to the mass-murderous “allies” in kind—(or, of you insist, **failed** to thus respond).

\* \* \*

More from Irving, p. 96-97,

**It is to be presumed that by the time of his intervention the evening of the 25th [Jan. 1945—Ed.], the Prime Minister had read through the J.I.C. [(“allied”) “Joint Intelligence Committee”—Ed.] reports on the new Soviet offensive and the possible application of the **Thunderclap** plan.** That day, moreover, other facts had become relevant to his consideration of the reports. **The London newspapers were recounting the harrowing scenes in East German cities as [Soviet-fleeing German—Ed.] refugees flooded in from Breslau and Silesia as well as from East Prussia before the [barbarous, terroristic, atrocious, mass-murderous—Ed.] onslaught of the Russian army;** nevertheless, as The Times [of London—Ed.] reported on the morning of the 25th, German radio commentators were claiming that despite all the refugees streaming through Berlin the Reich capital had not been dislocated [or disrupted—Ed.]. **Above all, the Russians had that day crossed the Oder near Breslau and no doubt news of this had quickly reached Whitehall** [street, the British P.M.’s official London residence—Ed.]. **The military situation on the Eastern front seemed conducive to the urgent consideration of the J.I.C. reports** [i.e. to best facilitate the terrible Soviet advance on the ground by terror bombing the eastern Germans from the air—Ed.].

**That evening the Prime Minister telephoned the Secretary of State for Air, Sir Archibald Sinclair, for information on projected plans for dealing with the situation in East Germany [i.e. for terror bombing these east Germans—Ed.]. Of this conversation Sinclair’s private secretary recorded that the Prime Minister demanded to know**

what plans R.A.F. Bomber Command had laid for “basting the Germans in their retreat from Breslau.”

In the light of Mr. Churchill’s insistence on the urgency of the East German situation, rapid consultations at the Air Ministry were necessary. On the following morning the Chief of Air Staff [Charles Portal–Ed.], who had now received Bottomley’s report on his conversation with Harris the evening before [see below–Ed.], **minuted** [informed via official letter–Ed.] **his Deputy** [Bottomly–Ed.] **that** subject to the oil priority and the need for attacks on jet factories and submarine yards **they should use**

**[...] available effort in one big attack on Berlin and attacks on Dresden, Leipzig, Chemnitz, or other cities where a severe blitz will not only cause confusion in the evacuation from the East hut will also hamper the movement or troops from the West.**

The plan would, of course, have to be agreed between the Anglo-American Combined Chiefs of Staff, and with Sir Arthur Tedder, the Deputy Supreme Commander. Even though **almost complete control of the strategic bomber forces had been transferred from S.H.A.E.F.** [Supreme Headquarters of the Allied Expeditionary Force–Ed.] **to the Combined** [Anglo-American (i.e. “jewish”)–Ed.] **Chiefs of Staff in the previous autumn** [another attempt of Eisenhower to avoid culpability or achieve “plausible deniability”?–Ed.], the [British–Ed.] Air Staff were by the beginning of 1945 anxious about the amount of direct army support being demanded of the bomber forces. At the Quebec Conference in September 1944, on Sir Charles Portal’s recommendation, the control of strategic bombing operations was given to the Combined Chiefs of Staff subject only to General Eisenhower’s powers of command over emergency requirements for the land battle. [Irving, p. 96-7]

\* \* \*

Irving, p. 32-33,

**On 9th July 1941** Air Vice-Marshal N. H. Bottomley, **the Deputy Chief of the Air Staff; issued the first of his many directives to the A.O.C.-in-C.** [Commander-in-Chief–Ed.] **of Bomber Command, at that time still Air Marshal Sir Richard Peirse:**

**I am directed** [ultimately by the P.M., Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill–Ed.] that a comprehensive review of the enemy’s present political, economic and military situation discloses **that the weakest points in his armour lie in the morale of the civil population** and in his inland transportation system.

**The main effort of the bomber force, until further instructions, was to be directed towards** dislocating the German transportation system and to **destroying the morale of the civil population as a whole. Peirse was left in no doubt as to how he was to achieve this. As primary targets for attack he was allocated Cologne, Duisburg, Dusseldorf and Duisburg-Ruhrort, “all suitable for attack on moonless nights, as they lie congested industrial towns, where the psychological effect will be the greatest.”**

**We must first destroy the foundations upon which the [German] war machine rests—the economy which feeds it, the morale which sustains it, the supplies which nourish it, and the hopes of victory which inspire it.**

The above extract from the Chiefs of Staff memo, 31st July, 1941, heralded the approach of the area offensive [code for the terror bombings–Ed.] ; the January 1943

Casablanca Directive was in fact barely more than an extension in bolder language of this policy.

**An attack on enemy morale, however, required new techniques:** an Air Staff memo to Bomber Command commented in September 1941 that the conclusion was irresistible “that **the greater damage achieved by the enemy is caused by incendiaryism**” [i.e. fire-bombing–Ed.] [Irving, p. 32-33]

\* \* \*

Irving, p. 37,

**This then was the policy of attacking residential areas which awaited Sir Arthur Harris when he arrived at Bomber Command’s underground headquarters at High Wycombe to take appointment as A.O.C.-in-C., Bomber Command, on February 22, 1942. There can be no more eloquent proof of Harris’ innocence of having personally initiated the area bombing** [i.e. “saturation,” “blanket,” “carpet” or “terror” bombing–Ed.] **of civilian residential districts.** The general concept of the Casablanca directive [of Roosevelt and Churchill’s joint demand for Germany’s “unconditional surrender”–Ed.] of 21st January 1943 was worded:

**Your primary object will be the progressive destruction and dislocation of the German military, industrial and economic system, and the undermining of the morale of the German people to a point where their capacity for armed resistance is fatally weakened.**

Within this concept the following priorities were listed:

- (a) German submarine construction yards
- (b) The German aircraft industry
- (c) Transportation
- (d) Oil plants
- (e) Other targets in enemy war industry

A directive expressed in such broad terms could clearly be interpreted in many ways. The tactical control of operations was, however, the prerogative of the Commander-in-Chief Bomber Command, and Sir Arthur Harris clearly indicated his interpretation in a letter to the Air Ministry on 6th March [1943–Ed.] where in place of the phrase “and the undermining of the morale of the German people,” he quoted it as if it read “aimed at undermining **the** morale...[of the German people–Ed.]” a change of wording which altered the emphasis of the sentence, though it could not be said that his interpretation was not justifiable. [Irving, p. 37]

I would expect, for obvious reasons, that far more was officially and explicitly expressed in words than ever vaguely committed to paper or official record by the official “terror Lords” of London (and Washington)—from “his majesty,” Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill, on down the “morale-busting” line to the mass-murdering Brits at “Bomber Command.”

Consider: Would the mass-murderous “jews” and their anti-Christ lackeys (such as Churchill) have hired good, truthful, honorable, Christian men to mass-murder German civilians? Of course not! And so they hired Churchill, Atlee, Sinclair, Portal and lastly Harris, whom they damn well knew were more than willing to do their terroristic, mass-murderous bidding. Is this not obviously so? For all tools (however terrible, human or demonic) must be suitable to their intended uses.

And Churchill and Roosevelt were tools too. But whose?—other than their Father or “Uncle” Satan’s, of course. (John 8:44) Evidently of people even more evil, sociopathic and mass-murderous than themselves. Did you know that Roosevelt thought he was going to be president of his “united nations” after his world war? He expected to become president of the

world. Who told him that? Where do you suppose he got that idea, dear reader, if not from his (well-concealed SuperNational “jewish”) master(s), whom he served so long and so well? (But to promise is not necessarily to deliver.)

Dogs, however rabid, must be lured with delectable bones, or demonic rats with irresistible cheese. (“Here Winston! Here Bulldog! Here Franklin! Here boy!”)

\* \* \*

But back to the anti-Christ “allies” Operation “Thunderclap” to mass-murder and terrorize all east Germany from the air:

Irving, p. 94-96,

With what appeared to be a positive policy thus clearly mapped out for the Allied Strategic Air Forces, the British Ministry was not slow to act on this report; the Deputy Chief of Air Staff [Bottomly-Ed.] at once telephoned Sir Arthur Harris to acquaint him with the report’s recommendations and to discuss its implications. **Though Harris affirmed that he regarded Berlin already being “on his plate,” Sir Norman Bottomley pointed out that as the full *Thunderclap* plan for a shattering blow on Berlin was now projected, Harris would have to co-ordinate his operations with the United States Strategic Air Forces**, and in all probability consult with the [British-Ed.] Chiefs of Staff as well. In this conversation, according to the minute set by Bottomley to the Chief of Air Staff, Sir Charles Portal, on the following day, **Sir Arthur Harris suggested supplementary attacks on Chemnitz, Leipzig and Dresden, which equally with Berlin, would share the task of housing evacuees [i.e. Soviet “red terror” refugees-Ed.] from the East, and which again were focal points in the communications systems [i.e. roads and railroads-Ed.] serving the Eastern front.**

**It was peculiarly ironic that Harris should now be consulted on a plan to put the full strength of Bomber Command [i.e. Britain-Ed.] behind an area offensive [code for “saturation,” “obliteration,” “carpet” or “terror” bombing (German) of cities/civilians-Ed.], since he had long advocated in vain to the [British-Ed.] Air Staff the policy of continuing the general area bombing as the key to the collapse of Germany, in preference to the bombing of precision [i.e. “strategic,” military, non-civilian or non-terror-Ed.] targets. The [British or Churchill-Ed.] Government and Air Staff from the early days of the war had been aware of the possibilities of area bombing as a means of striking at the heart of the German war economy, as well as of its attendant psychological effects, and indeed, the efforts of Bomber Command during the years 1943-4 had been largely directed towards the bombing of [German-Ed.] cities; at this stage of the war, however, the success of the air offensive against oil plants waged by Sir Arthur Harris and the Americans, under the direction of S.H.A.E.F., in the summer of 1944 convinced the [British-Ed.] Air Staff that the continued top priority of this oil offensive could have a decisive effect on the war before the end of the year. Harris, however, maintained the importance of continuing the area offensive as the means of undermining and dividing Germany both materially and morally, as opposed to the impossibility of operating on a set schedule needed for precision target bombing in the uncertain weather conditions.**

**Despite the listing of oil as top priority throughout the autumn of 1944, during the months October to December fifty-eight per cent of Bomber Command’s operations was directed against cities.... In a letter to Sir Charles Portal [Chief of British Air Staff-Ed.] on 1st November [1944-Ed.], Harris pointed out that within eighteen months Bomber Command had virtually destroyed forty-five out of the leading sixty German cities, and suggested the destruction of the remaining untouched targets: “Magdeburg, Halle, Leipzig, Dresden, Chemnitz. Breslau, Nuremberg, Munich, Coblenz and Karlsruhe, and the further destruction of Berlin**

and Hanover.” This proposed change of priorities was not, however ceded to Harris by the Air Staff and the deadlock over strategic policy continued.

[And so this Harris was a rabid dog of war champing at his British bit indeed! (“Good doggie!” “Good doggie!”)—Ed.]

In the middle of January [1945—Ed.], with the unleashing of the new Russian offensive, **Harris, in a letter to [his air boss—Ed.] Portal of 18 January, brought matters to a head, again expressing his dissatisfaction with the policy of selective targets required by the [“allied”—Ed.] oil plan and [instead—Ed.] advocating the destruction of “Magdeburg, Leipzig, Chemnitz, Dresden, Breslau, Posen, Halle, Erfurt, Gotha, Weimar, Eisenach, and the rest of Berlin”—a shifting of emphasis from industrial to Eastern cities. The latter concluded that Portal should “consider whether it is best for the prosecution of the war and the success of our arms, which alone matters” that Harris should remain in his Command. Faced with this ultimatum Sir Charles Portal had to make the unpleasant choice of losing at a critical stage in the war, a Commander-in-Chief [of the British terror-bombers—Ed.] whose standing with his Command was extremely high, or leaving unresolved the current deadlock over priorities. He chose the latter, and, in a letter of 20th January, asked Harris to remain but to observe the existing priorities despite his lack of faith in them.**

It was in these circumstances that **less than a week later the revival of Thunderclap—a highlight in the concept of [“allied”—Ed.] area bombing—was to receive the highest possible [i.e. Churchillian or P.M.—Ed.] stimulation. For quite independently of Bottomley’s conversation with Harris [above—Ed.], within a few hours the Prime Minister was forcibly expressing his personal concern about the [urgent necessity of terror—Ed.] bombing of East German population centres.** [Irving, 94-96]

\* \* \* \* \*

And now from Veale, p. 200-03,

**Perhaps the most hotly disputed issue of the controversy aroused by the publication of the official history of the terror bombing campaign [The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45; . Charles Webster and Noble Frankland; H.M. (“His Majesty’s”) Stationary Office, London, 1961)—Ed.] was whether Air Marshal Sir Arthur Harris. Commander-in-Chief of Bomber Command, was unjustly treated by the British Government after victory had been achieved. At the end of the war Winston Churchill made no attempt to disguise the distaste which he had come to feel for the subject of terror bombing of which the Air Marshal had been a fanatical advocate; his successor [as P.M.—Ed.], Clement Attlee, who had been a member of the War Cabinet at the time of the adoption of the Lindemann Plan, naturally [?] felt the same distaste for the subject as Winston Churchill. As a consequence, a peerage [a permanent seat in the English “House of Lords,” as distinct from the elective “House of Commons”: the two “houses” comprising the English “Parliament”—Ed.] was not conferred on Air Marshal Harris in 1945 as one of the successful war leaders; he was not even awarded a special medal for his services; he was even prohibited from using official records when writing his account of the campaign. [Did you hear that, dear reader? This Sir Author (“Bomber”) Harris was terribly used and then terribly discarded.—Ed.] **Conscious of official disapproval, unobtrusively within a year of the end of the war he left England to take up a commercial appointment in South Africa.****

**On the one hand it seems clearly unjust to hold Air Marshal Harris responsible for terror bombing. Certainly he strongly advocated it, like most of the other leaders of the R.A.F., but he carried it out in accordance with the orders of the executive government. In 1960, Mr. Clement Attlee [Winston (“Bulldog” or “Where’s your Empire?”) Churchill’s successor as “prime minister” of “great” Britain, or England, or whatever—Ed.], when challenged on the subject, observed that in his**

opinion **a more effective use could have been made of his bombs by Air Marshal Harris if he had directed them against military targets instead of devastating German cities. This observation stung the Air Marshal into pointing out acidly that “the decision to bomb industrial cities for morale effect was made, and in force, before I became C.-in-C., Bomber Command.” That this is true there can be no question. On the other hand, after he obtained command Air Marshal Harris conducted the campaign with ruthless zeal, and strongly opposed any relaxation of the attack.**

[OK, so far, so good, but, dear reader, now watch Veale go mad.—Ed.]

**By sheer force of character he dominated the politicians** [?], so that, as one [Churchillian?—Ed.] critic puts it, the official history of British bombing during the Second World War is less the story of Bomber Command than the story of **Harris’** campaign against the German civilian population. [?]

[In light of what you’ve read so far, dear reader, that’s grossly overstating it, don’t you think? Harris was at the very bottom of a command line that was topped by Winston Churchill. He was a rabid dog on a British leash who could (and did) only attack whom or what he was permitted to by his political/military superiors, whom he surely did not “dominate” by his “sheer force of character.”—Ed.]

Yet, as the authors of the official history observe, in terms of his own strategy, Harris proved wrong on almost every major decision. [Again, Harris did not set British bombing “strategy.” Harris merely implemented it. He was merely a terribly tool chosen and kept for his rabidity—and ultimately discarded by his terrible masters, choosers, keepers.—Ed.]

A comparison between Air Marshal Sir Arthur Harris and [W.W. I—Ed.] Field Marshal Sir Douglas Haig is irresistibly suggested. **Both were men of the strongest character; neither enjoyed the confidence of the politicians from whom they received their orders. [?] Both advocated policies which they guaranteed would bring swift and complete victory.** Haig was certain in 1916 that his great offensive on the Somme would break through the German front and drive the enemy in rout back to their own frontier: **Harris in 1942 was equally confident that if he were given a free hand and a sufficient number of long-range bombers he could break the morale of the German people and bring the war to a victorious conclusion in a few months. Neither man was able to fulfil his assurances and when they failed, neither of them had any new suggestions to make but merely asked permission to try again and the politicians were afraid to dismiss them.** (?) [Veale, p. 200-01]

\* \* \*

[Winston and the lesser “politicians” were “dominated” by Harris’ “sheer force of character,” and hence “were afraid to dismiss” him? Are you joking, Veale? Is this “Bomber” Harris really and truly why a hundreds of thousands of German civilians were deliberately, intentionally, Satanically “holocausted” from the sky? But, as we’ve read, and even from Veale, the politicians weren’t afraid to dismiss Harris **after** the war; so why not during it? This thesis of Harris as a mass-murderous British “Svengali” is absolutely preposterous!

And furthermore, Veale seems to contradict himself with the following:

Reverting to the authoritative work of Air Marshal Harris, Bomber Offensive, it is noteworthy that even the gallant Air Marshal’s hardihood falters in regard to the mass bombing by some two thousand heavy bombing planes of Dresden on the night of February 13th, 1945, when the normal population of “this large and splendid city” was swollen by a horde of terrified women and children from the eastern provinces of Germany in flight from the most dreadful fate which had ever confronted a large European population since the Mongol invasion of 1241. In February, 1945, the war had, of course, long ceased to be a military operation and had become merely the breaking of the desperate but hopeless resistance of **a defeated people, the leaders of**

**which faced death and the remainder slavery.** [So true! That's exactly what happened, you know?—Ed.] Selecting his words with obvious care, **the Air Marshal writes, “I will only say that the attack on Dresden was at the time considered a military necessity by much more important people than myself.”** [Air Marshal Sir Arthur Harris, *Bomber Offensive*, London, Collins, 1947, p.242. (Veale's footnote)—Ed.]

**It will be noted that the Air Marshal pointedly refrains from endorsing the opinion of these important people.** He leaves it open to speculation whether this was due to a modest shrinking from associating himself with so much importance or whether, after reflecting on the facts and circumstances, to sheer horror. **It is further to be noted that he attributes this opinion to these important people as held by them only “at the time”** [See Winston (“Bomber”) Churchill's two pertinent “minutes” above.—Ed.], **from which it may be deduced that he cannot bring himself to believe that any sane person could still hold such an opinion. Finally, it will be noted that he loyally refrains from disclosing the identity of these important people.** [Veale, p. 187]

Political people “more important” than Harris, but whom Harris “dominated” “by sheer force of character”? And hence these “politicians were afraid to dismiss” Harris, but rather submitted to Harris' mass-murderousness via his “sheer force of character”? So the “holocausts” of Dresden, Hamburg, Berlin, etc. were first and foremost Harris' ideas, initiatives, responsibility and guilt? Please.

And surely Harris (in light of what we've read above) is cryptically referring first and foremost to the most “important” Winston Churchill, P.M., if not also to the imperial British royal family, the (self-hating?) Germanic Windsors. (And after or below this most “important” P.M. comes Churchill's cabinet, his military subordinates, his chiefs of staff, etc.)—Ed.]

But to continue now with Veale, from precisely where I so rudely interrupted him (with his very own words).—Ed.]

\* \* \*

Haig repeated his performance on the Somme by a similar attempt in 1917 to break through at Passchendaele and with the same result. **Harris was allowed [?] to continue his [?] destruction of German working-class dwellings until the very end of the war.** Both were prodigal [wasteful—Ed.] of the lives of their men. [And yet, according to Irving above, Harris' “standing with his Command was extremely high”. (p.96) And let's not neglect M.P. Wing Commander Millington's Parliamentary speech above. (Methinks Veale doth scapegoat Harris too much!)—Ed.]

Perhaps no revelation of Messrs. Charles Webster and Noble Frankland [*The Strategic Air Offensive against Germany, 1939-45*; London, 1961)—Ed.] more shocked the British public than the disclosure that **the Terror Bombing Offensive cost the air crews of the R.A.F. no less than 58,888 lives** [McLaughlin claimed 55,888 (above).—Ed.], nearly the same number of casualties as those suffered by British junior army officers during the First World War. Attention has often been drawn to the fact that the pick of an entire generation perished in the trenches in France as junior officers under the command of Sir Douglas Haig; we now know that approximately the same number perished over Germany during the Second World War, an even more calamitous loss since the standard of health and intelligence required for the men of Bomber Command was far higher than that of the junior officers who served in the trenches in France twenty years before. [Veale, p. 201-02]

[Yes, but just look at what “Bomber Command” were bloody trying to do. You can't rightly expect us to feel sorry for mass-murderers prevented from committing mass-murder. Would that they **all** had died in their Satanic, “jewish,” holocaustic “crimes against humanity.” (“Must these Englishmen live that I might die? Must they live that I [we—Ed.] might die?” Hell no!)]

And besides, who wanted the war? Who **insisted** upon the war? Who “**declared**” the war? Who wouldn’t even acknowledge (much less respond to) the repeated German pleas to stop that (second) mad war?

People are saved or damned by their leaders. And so, where’s your greatness now, “Britain”? Did it not depart with your precious Winston? And don’t look now, but anti-Christ Amerika is wearing your bloody imperialistic boots! (“Ouch!” says the unfree world under imperial Amerika’s most “liberating,” “liberal” or “jewish” heels.)

And in preference for your precious Winston, you Brits rejected the best friend you never had, but could have had, if you weren’t so mad. And you Brits thereby lost something far more precious than your God-damned bloody empire ever was. Did you know that? Of course not. You don’t even know your own Winston, much less Adolf! You just **think** you do. (“God save the queen/ The fascist regime/ That made you a moron!”) So why must you stay a moron? Can’t you read? Can’t you think? Can’t you at last liberate your mind from your “jewish” masters’ poisonous, debilitating and Satanic lies? (Veale continues.)—Ed.]

The question whether **the tremendous concentration of men and material for the purpose of bombing the German civilian population during the Second World War** could otherwise have been more effectively employed will probably always remain a matter for speculation. We now know exactly what this great air offensive achieved in the form of destruction of human life and property and the price which had to be paid for this achievement [Does Veale mean the bloody British bastards shot down while attempting this “achievement”?—Ed.], but **we can only speculate as to what would have been achieved if this great effort had been directed against military objectives in accordance with the rules of civilized warfare, or if it had been employed to carry out a campaign of “precision bombing” of selected targets** on the lines successfully adopted by the American Air Force. [Veale, p. 202]

And yet the Americans also “adopted” (though never publicly confessed or admitted) this foreign policy of mass-murder from the sky (and from the ground)—the terror bombing of German and Japanese cities and civilians into Satanic, anti-Christian or “jewish” “holocausts” of fire, phosphorus, burnt (Gentile) human flesh, bones and ash.

\* \* \* \* \*

“How would they like it, I wonder? Would they accept their terrible or terrifying fate with even half the quiet human dignity, stoicism and honor as did their countless mass-murdered victims and survivors, the Germans, the Japanese, the Vietnamese, the Palestinians, the Iraqis, etc.?”

**The American press carried vast spreads on the exploits of the United States Air Force in Europe from 1943 on; its participation as a partner to the RAF in the massive bombing raids on Hamburg, Berlin, and Dresden have been documented in profusion.** (Martin, p. 120)

[Not to mention Japan, Tokyo, Hiroshima or Nagasaki. (John 15:22 & 9:41)]

“So suffer or swallow your ‘9-11’ in silence. And stop blaming and persecuting the innocent for your sins. You know God-damn-well what you historically did, and henceforth what you all-too-God-damn-well historically deserve!

“So shut up! Stop your international whining, growling and threatening! And stop using your ‘9-11’ as an excuse for your further imperial aggressions against (Gentile) humanity.

“You people can, do and just love to dish your terrors and mass-murders out profusely, generously, liberally. Don’t you? But you can’t even take a spoonful of your own terrible “medicine” in return. Can you? Not even if it’s administered and/or delivered by your very own god/‘uncle’ Satan and his/‘its’ demonic ‘jewish’ ‘allies’...and children? (John 8:44) [See the

next appendix: The Metaphoric (Imperial “jewish”-Amerikan) Snipers Enthroned atop Jew York’s Cloud-Capped Towers of “World Trade”-Ed.]

“You’re pathetic, but not sympathetic. No one who truly knows you truly feels sorry for you. (So stop whining!) And those who pretend to, do so because they’re terrified of you (mass-murderous monsters with your ‘weapons of mass-destruction’ and ‘mass-murder’)!”—(A. Critic)

\* \* \*

(“But we, or rather our fathers, simply obeyed orders!”)

So did the Germans, whom you (or rather your fathers) “show-ried” and lynched for doing just that, and for defending their countrymen against your “weapons of mass-destruction” and “mass-murder.” And therefore you (or rather your fathers) have condemned yourselves.

“For with the same measure that ye meet withal it shall be measured to you again.”—(Luke 6:38) [“For the measure that you measure out shall be measured back to you.”—By.]

“Judge not, that ye be not judged. For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again. And why beholdest thou the mote [“particle of straw”—By;] that is in they brother’s eye, but considerest not the beam [“of timber”—By.] that is in thine own eye? Or how wilt thou say to they brother, Let me pull out the mote out of thine eye; and behold a beam (is) in thine own eye? Thou hypocrite, first cast out the beam out of thine own eye; and then shalt thou see clearly to cast out the more our of they brother’s eye.”—(Matt. 7:1-5)

\* \* \* \* \*

## The Nuremberg Show-Trials and Lynchings

From Count Leon de Poncins’ Top Secret or State Secrets, 1975:

### TERROR BOMBING AND THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN

**When the Nuremberg Trials opened, the whole world expected that the German bombing of defenceless [“allied”—Ed.] towns would be one of the main arguments in the case for the prosecution. However, to the general surprise, the question was not even raised.**

**In the opinion of every western [i.e. “jew”—possessed (via “central banking,” media-monopoly, the auctioning off of all elective public offices, etc.)—Ed.] country, the matter was quite clear-cut, and any discussion was ruled [or dissent was stamped—Ed.] out. [The successful “jewish” propaganda maintained that:—Ed.] The Germans had commenced [begun, started, initiated, perpetrated—Ed.] a campaign of terror bombing directed against defenceless towns and civilian populations which could in no way be classified as military objectives: Rotterdam [Holland—Ed.], London, Coventry [England—Ed.], etc. Sow the wind and you will reap a tempest [whirlwind or fire-storm—Ed.]. As the Anglo-Saxons progressively got control of the sky, the terrifying weapon of aerial bombardment rebounded against the Germans, and all their big towns were reduced to ashes, in an apocalyptic outburst of bloodshed.**

**But [due to the “jews’ ” media monopoly and their slanderous war atrocity propoganda—Ed.] there was no doubt in anyone’s mind that the initial responsibility for this crime lay with the Germans, and that they should have had to answer for it at the trial of the war criminals at Nuremberg. Why, in that case, was the whole matter passed over in silence? [de Poncins, p. 35]**

Because yet once again, the slanderers, the false accusers, the “jewish” “devils” were far more guilty than their Godly opponents, adversaries, enemies.

The Nuremberg trial served as a prototype for numerous other War Crimes Trials.... **The trial of the German war criminals opened at Nuremberg on 20th November, 1945, under an American President, Justice R. H. Jackson of the Supreme Court of the United States. America bore the cost of the trials, it was America who guarded [and tortured (by their testicles)–Ed.] the prisoners, the executioner was an American. In other words, it was America, with Jewish and Soviet support behind the scenes, who bore the responsibility for the trial.** [de Poncins, p. 55]

...The British delegation [at the slanderous, murderous, legalistic Nuremberg lynchings–Ed.] was also troubled by the possibility that the German defence would represent the occupation of Norway as a legitimate act of defense [against the intended but preempted British invasion and occupation of Norway, toward their invasion of Europe and conquest of Germany–Ed.], which could be a source of embarrassment. But **Jackson** had already **found a way of overcoming** this reef [truth and justice–Ed.]. **A clause would be inserted into the statutes** of the [Nuremberg–Ed.] Tribunal **limiting the** extent of the **trial** simply **to** the consideration of **acts committed by the accused. In other words, the criticism or even the discussion of the acts of the victorious governments was formerly forbidden.** [de Poncins, p. 56-57]

See no Amerikan evil, hear of no Amerikan evil, and speak of no Amerikan evil: This was (is) the evil position of the evil American “judge” of all good and evil: That Amerikans can do no evil; that the Amerikan accusers cannot be accused of anything whatsoever by those whom they accuse, ... or rather slander.

And so obviously this Nuremberg was a “jewish”-Soviet-Amerikan “show trial” with a foregone conclusion: The accused were “guilty as charged”; and their slanderous “jewish”-Amerikan accusers (and torturers) were the innocent victims of those Germans whom they slanderously accused, tortured, “convicted,” condemned and “executed”—(i.e. officially or legalistically murdered).

Nuremberg was a political or propagandistic “show-trial” intended to demonize or slander the German race or nation, and especially her leaders. Nuremberg was a legalistic mass-murder of a defeated enemy. It was the “jewish”-Amerikan continuation of their war (against Germany and all Gentile humanity) which never, ever ends. Historical lesson, dear readers: Don’t ever lose a war to the Amerikans.

**...When acts which may be regarded as “war crimes” had been committed simultaneously by the Germans and by the Allies, either they were not regarded as crimes and were never brought up at Nuremberg, as was the case with the aerial bombardments, for example, or else the Germans were condemned and the Allies automatically absolved (if not glorified) since, according to Justice Jackson, it was forbidden to criticize or even discuss the acts of the victorious governments. In other words, at Nuremberg, the unforgivable crime was to be on the side of the vanquished.** [de Poncins, p. 57-58]

Who but the Satanic SuperNazi “jew” (and hence his British or Amerikan lackeys) would invent and “punish” such a “crime” as to lose a war to the Satanic SuperNazi “jew”?

(But the presupposed “crime” or “guilt” is to ever dare oppose them. See how to this very day they also seek to “legally” persecute as “war criminals” any other Gentile, Slavic or eastern European opponents of their truly evil, terrible and mass-murderous “jewish” Marxism or “Soviet” “communism”?)

And thus any mention of the following “allied” “war crimes” was predeclared “out of order” and hence banished “out of court” by the anti-Christ- or “jewish”-Amerikan “supreme court justice” presiding over his “allied” lynchings of the defeated German leaders.

And that’s “jewish”-Amerikan (“jewish”-British and “jewish”-Soviet) “justice” for you.

**Today [1975–Ed.] we can at last produce the stupefying answer to that question [why the German leaders at Nuremberg were not accused, “convicted” and “punished” by the anti-Christ “allies” for terror bombing “allied” cities or civilians–Ed.]. It is one of the biggest and most strictly kept secrets of the war, which the British and American Governments have successfully guarded behind a total blackout for over twenty years. Briefly, the accepted version which was put out by the Allied propaganda organs is completely false, and the British Government has coldly and shamelessly told a lie. [de Poncis, p. 35]**

\* \* \* \* \*

And therefore surely neither “jewish”-Amerika’s “Uncle” Satan (nor Its demonic agents) can never again be the judge, jury, condemner or “executor” of anyone or anything—least of all the judge of Itself and Its demonic devotees or dupes—whom It most will surely “acquit” of all real and true atrocities, “terrorisms” and “crimes against humanity” again and again and again and again—as surely as It (or Its most “supremely judicious” agents) will again and again accuse, slander, condemn and murder the very best of “Uncle” Satan’s opponents, (as at Nuremberg), according to Its Satanic commandment, to be found within Its Satanic bible (called “Talmud”): “Kill the best of the Gentiles.”

\* \* \* \* \*

**There is no doubt about it that had the war ended other than as it did, or had the Nuremberg Trials been administrated by neutral countries such as Sweden, Switzerland, or Chile, Churchill and his closest advisors would undoubtedly have ended their lives at the end of a rope [ , ] and justifiably so. That Churchill’s statue stands today in Parliament Square is no more a monument to his greatness than is a statue of Lenin or Stalin in Moscow’s Red Square. [McLaughlin, p. 12-13]**

And let’s not neglect “war criminals” Rosenvelt, Eisenhower, Truman, etc. And do you know what Churchill, Truman and co. accused the Germans at Nuremberg of doing? What else but what Churchill and Rosenvelt (i.e. anti-Christ England and Amerika) had been secretly doing for years before they actually succeeding at it: i.e. “Conspiracy to wage war”; “Crimes against peace”; “War crimes”; and last but not least, “Crimes against humanity.”

And so we see yet once again, the Devil (i.e. false “Accuser” or “Slanderer”) and Its kindred accuse Its/their opponents of Its/their sins or “crimes against humanity.” Is all this not precisely so?

\* \* \* \* \*

So if you follow “allied” orders, there’s no possible crime. But if not, you do the rope dance... or time. (See Rudolf Hess.)

\* \* \* \* \*

## Conclusion: The Terrible (and Nuclear) Upshot of this “Allied” or

# “Jewish” Foreign Policy of “Total War” and Mass-Murder of Civilians

The Terrible (and Nuclear) Upshot of Allied” “Jewish” Policy: Or Watch what you Start, Wish, Give, Shower or Perpetrate upon Your Enemy: You Just Might Someday Get It back and Hence Receive Your Just Retribution, if There’s a Just God Somewhere within the “Holocausted” German (or Japanese) Sky (or Axis). (Matt. 7:1-2, Luke 6:36-38 & Matt. 5:7)

From Martin, p. 118,

The Christian Century’s five-column editorial.... **“If the war goes on, with [“allied” terror, “area,” “saturation,” “carpet,” “blanket” or—Ed.] obliteration bombing continuing to wipe out whole regions and populations, it is quite possible that in the hour of triumph the victors will find that they have created so much destruction, so much hate, so much misery, so much despair that the very well-springs of Occidental [western, European—Ed.] life have been poisoned not only for the vanquished but for the victors also.”** [Is that not clearly what they want?—Ed.] Their parting suggestion was, **“The question** which Miss Brittain’s pamphlet [Massacre by Bombing, by Vera Brittain, 1944, London and U.S.A.—Ed.] raises in the mind of every thoughtful reader **is as to whether victory won in this fashion is worth having.”** [“Obliteration Bombing,” Christian Century (March 22, 1944), pp. 359-361—Ed.]

**“This policy** [of “area” or terror bombing Germany—Ed.], **which Mr. Churchill announces will not be abandoned by the United Nations, is in our opinion murder and suicide. It is the murder of innocent people and the suicide of our civilization.”** (From the editors of the American weekly, Commonweal; “Area Bombing,” March 17, 1944, pp. 531-532.) [Martin, p. 117]

Yes dear reader, the “United Nations” existed during World War II, and was not created thereafter, as widely reported, but merely formalized. And the five permanent members of the throne or “central committee” of the United Nations, euphemized as the “security council,” are none other than the five “united” victors of World War Two: i.e. “jewish”-Amerika, Britain, Russia, France and communist China. Hence world war II was instigated, waged and won by the “United [“jewish”) Nations,” the Jewnighted Nations or the SuperNazis against the independent, Gentile nations of Germany, Japan, Italy, etc.—the so-called “Nazis” or “fascists”—as if any Gentile system or gov’t was half as “fascist or dictatorial” as Marxism, “jewishism” or “communism.” But, as always, the Devil and Its kindred are slanderers who throw their sins at their victims, as well as their (nuclear, conventional, fire and phosphorous) bombs. (John 8:44) So duck, dodge, evade or avoid them if you possibly can, dear readers.

\* \* \* \* \*

And as to the nuclear upshot of the “splendid decision” of the “jewish” “allies” to mass-murder and “terrorize” (Gentile) humanity: (From Veale):

**The subject of the terror bombing of Germany** (which came to an end in May 1945) **had been driven from the minds of the British Public by the** [Amerikan—Ed.] **dropping of the first atomic bomb on the Japanese** on the 5th August, 1945. At first the news of the devastation of Hiroshima was received with gloating satisfaction [in England—Ed.] as a fitting retribution upon the presumptuous Yellow people who had

challenged [British–Ed.] White Supremacy in the Far East. **But after the secrets of nuclear fission had been betrayed to Stalin by the Communist [i.e. “jewish”–Ed.] espionage network in the United States,** the spy ring led by Julius and Ethel Rosenberg taking the leading part, **misgivings concerning the use of the atomic bomb to terrorise the Japanese into surrender became widespread. No one could doubt that Stalin would without hesitation drop an atomic bomb on Great Britain if it happened to suit his plans.** [Ed.—SO ONLY THEN DID] The [British–Ed.] public conscience became deeply stirred: the use of atomic weapons was declared to be morally wrong, even for so worthy a cause as the defence of [“jewish”-British–Ed.] Colonialism in Asia. **Overshadowed by the horrible possibility that London might one day suffer the fate of Hiroshima, the terror bombing of Germany a few years before quickly came to be regarded as an episode of the remote past.** The great four-engine bombers with their loads of high explosives which had devastated Dresden in 1945 began to seem as obsolete and out-of-date as the mighty galleons which Philip II [of Spain–Ed.] had despatched against England in 1588. [Not to me.–Ed.]

[But on the other British hand–Ed.] **Disclosure of the truth concerning this episode of recent history had been delayed for nearly two decades during which public opinion had undergone a complete change.** [In spite of this very book by Veale, first anonymously published in 1948. So you can pen and print a book for Brits and all the world besides, but you can’t make them read it.–Ed.] **In 1942 when the Lindemann Plan was adopted by the British Government, terror bombing was unquestionably contrary to the accepted standards of the time. Enlightened opinion would have dismissed it as unthinkable. After twenty years, however, enlightened [?] opinion had grown accustomed to the idea.**

**By 1962 terrorism as an instrument of policy had gradually become accepted by [brutal British–Ed.] public opinion as a natural method by which one state could impose its will upon a rival state. A long-range bomber could indeed be used for terrorism as Professor Lindemann had pointed out, but it could also be used as a weapon for use against the armed forces of the enemy strictly in accordance with the Rules of Civilized Warfare [as was German practice throughout the war–Ed.].**

**An atomic bomb, on the other hand, was simply an instrument of terror: it would be useless on a battlefield against the armed forces of the enemy [?] : it was designed solely to blot out the enemy civilian population. Everyone in 1962 knew that the United States and Russia were collecting huge stock piles of atomic bombs, and no one could be in any doubt that in the event of war they would adopt terrorism as a means to victory. Public opinion everywhere had become resigned to this assumption.** [Veale, p. 197-98]

Because you know they’d use the Bomb against you, therefore you’ve got to get It for yourself to use against them first, or to at least deter them, via their fear of your nuclear retaliation, or of your “mutual annihilation.” (And this was the “cold war” peace.)

And so there’s your real and true terrorists, dear reader: the “jewish” Amerikans, Soviets and Brits. And is this past (state of nuclear world-terrorism) not the prologue to the present (state of world-terrorism)?

\* \* \* \* \*

## Prologue/Epilog

Who was it said, “The past is prologue”?

To this day the “jewish” Allies still say that they did to the Germans only what the Germans did to them; that they gave the Germans only got what they asked for; that the Germans started it, and they justly finished it; or that the Germans “sowed the wind and reaped the [Allied] whirlwind.”

(For Evil remains what It is: a Liar, a Slanderer, a murderer and a mass-murderer.) (John 8:44) Evil slanders Its victims with Its very own deeds. Evil slings Its sins like mud upon Its victims. Did Satan's "chosen people" not crucify God Himself for the alleged "crime" of "blasphemy"?—as if He and not they were the blasphemers? (Matt. 12:31-32 & 26:63-66) And do they, did they, ever admit or confess it? Hell no! It's not who they are nor what they do.

And did the anti-Christ and their allies not mass-murder, "genocide" or "holocaust" the German race from the sky and on the ground? And did this not occur under a constant spiritual **bombardment** of racial slanders against Germans (as "terror bombers," "gassers," mass-murderers of "jews," etc.)?—which atrocious or atrocity slanders, they yet maintain and repeat to this very day? For Evil's attack of is both spiritual (mental, psychological) and physical, both slanderous and mass-murderous.

And so of course Satan's "chosen people" hid their deicide under slanders against God and blasphemies of themselves as "God's chosen people." When God told them to their demonic faces who and what they were. (John 8:44) And so of course they bury their mass-murders, genocides or "holocausts" under the slander of those very Gentiles whom they mass-murder, genocide or "holocaust" (as at Dresden, Hamburg or Berlin). Or else they slander another Gentile race—as at Katyn forest where the Soviet "jews" blamed the Germans for the Polish officers whom the Soviets themselves had mass-murdered. And all the while it was the Germans who revealed this "crime against humanity" to humanity!

But this should surprise no one. For Evil is not about the truth. For truth neither serves nor furthers Evil. On the contrary, Light is the very end and destroyer of Darkness. And so Evil lies to hide Itself behind Its Godly masks or pretenses. And so Evil slanders Its (Godly) opponents predatory to murdering, mass-murdering or "holocausting" them. And so, true to Satanic form, Satan's people bury their mass-murders behind or under the slander of their Gentile victims. (See e.g. their "Holocaust" of and against the German race.)

And so the anti-Christ allies to this day say they merely did justice to the Germans, and that they are merely continue to do so (via their endless extortions or "reparations"). Would that the Germans had ever really and truly done such "good" to the "jews." And would that the "allies" would do such "goodness" and "justice" to themselves?

And so Evil characteristically and methodically slanders, attacks, murders and buries Its victims under mountains of slanderous and mass-murderous lies. (Witness Hamburg, Dresden, Berlin, Tokyo, Hiroshima, Nagasaki. What demonic or Satanic "ally" doesn't believe these "Nazis" and "Japs" got exactly what they deserved!)

(For "Devil" doesn't mean "Slanderer" for nothing, you know.) And "like Father, like son," the Devil's children take after their Father, their Father of lies, slanders, murder and mass-murders. (John 8:44) Is this not so? Or don't I know?

And have you yet seen or heard from the "Repairer of Reputations" within R.W. Chambers' The King in Yellow, 1895? (Me neither.)

But is there no God? Is there no justice in this world of Satan and Its "messianic" "chosen people"? (Matt. 4:8-10) Or is God too far buried under avalanches of Satanic slander and mountains of deicidal dirt? Heaven forbid! And "Save us, Jesus!"—(Jim Morrison)

\* \* \* \* \*

"What's it all about, Alfie?"

Starting with the theme, "Responsibility for the [first World-Ed.] War," which nobody at that time cared about, and passing on to the discussion of the peace treaties,... We drew attention to the peace treaties.... **To-day it is easy to talk and write about these things. But in those days** a public mass meeting which was attended not by the small bourgeoisie but by proletarians who had been aroused by [slanderous Marxist or "jewish"—Ed.] agitators, **to criticize the Peace Treaty of Versailles meant an attack on the** ["jewish," puppet, "Weimar"—Ed.] **Republic and an evidence of** [Gentile-Ed.] **reaction**, if not of monarchist tendencies. **The moment one uttered the first criticism of the Versailles Treaty one could expect an immediate**

**reply, which became almost stereotyped: “And Brest-Litowsk?” “Brest-Litowsk!”** [Germany’s peace treaty with “Bolshevik” (i.e. “jewish” or “communist”) Russia on March 2, 1918.–Ed.] **And then the crowd would murmur** [see Moses–Ed.] **and the murmur would gradually swell into a roar, until the speaker would have to give up his attempt to persuade them. It would be like knocking one’s head against a wall, so desperate were these people** [to maintain their delusions or believe their cherished lies–Ed.]. **They would not listen nor understand that Versailles was a scandal and a disgrace and that the dictate signified an act of highway robbery against our people. The disruptive work done by the Marxists and the poisonous propaganda of the external enemy had robbed these people of their reason.** [p. 259]

That was the reason why, after my first lecture on the “Peace Treaty of Versailles,” which I delivered to the troops while I was still a political instructor in my regiment, I made an alteration in the title and subject and henceforth spoke on “The Treaties of Brest-Litowsk and Versailles.” For after the discussion which followed my first lecture I quickly ascertained that [like terror-bombing in W.W/II–Ed.] **in reality people knew nothing about the Treaty of Brest-Litowsk and that able** [Marxist, “jewish” or “communist”–Ed.] **party propaganda had succeeded in presenting that Treaty as one of the most scandalous acts of violence in the history of the world.**

**As a result of the persistency with which this falsehood was repeated again and again before the masses of the people, millions of Germans saw in the Treaty of Versailles a just castigation** [or retribution–Ed.] **for the crime we had committed at Brest-Litowsk** [as at Auschwitz?–Ed.]. **Thus they considered all opposition to Versailles as unjust** and in many cases there was an honest moral dislike to such a proceeding. **And this was also the reason why the shameless and monstrous word “Reparations” came into common use in Germany** [and it is in use today via the shameless, monstrous and slanderous “jewish” word, “Holocaust.” Is this not so, dear German reader?–Ed.]. **This hypocritical falsehood appeared to millions of our exasperated fellow countrymen as the fulfilment of a higher justice. It is a terrible thought, but the fact was so.** The best proof of this was the propaganda which I initiated against Versailles by explaining the Treaty of Brest-Litowsk. **I compared the two treaties with one another, point by point, and showed how in truth the one treaty was immensely humane, in contradistinction to the inhuman barbarity of the other.** The effect was very striking. Then I spoke on this theme before an assembly of two thousand persons, during which I often saw three thousand six hundred hostile eyes fixed on me. And three hours later I had in front of me a swaying mass of righteous indignation and fury. **A great lie had been uprooted from the hearts and brains of a crowd composed of thousands of individuals and a truth had been implanted in its place.** [p. 261–62] From Adolf Hitler’s *Mein Kampf*, (“My Struggle”), © 1925 & 27; (Murphy trans.).

\* \* \*

And Who was it Who said, houses (lives, cities, states, countries or empires) not built upon the solid rock of truth cannot stand or last for long? (Matt. 7:24-27)

(But even a House built upon the truth can’t stand up for long if the whole anti-Christ world craves to knock it down or destroy it (for the (“jewish”) “crime” or Gentile “guilt” of spreading Truth, Light, Liberty and Prosperity.)

But on the other (and Dark) hand, modern, anti-Christ or “jewish”-Amerika was (is) built upon Satanic lies—upon dark, demonic, living, festering, poisonous and viral lies. Consider e.g. the lie of “racial equality,” interchangeability or sameness; the “Federal Reserve Act”; the Lusitanian lie (of W.W. I); the Pearl Harbor lie (of W.W. II); the Tonkin gulf lie (of the Vietnam war); the twin-towers 9/11 lie; and this eternal, open-ended or never-ending “war against terrorism,” “weapons of mass-destruction” and/or “mass-murder” lie (against the Mohammedan nations of the world, whom “Israel” and the “jews” hate and seek to destroy).

But if Uncle Satan were really and truly interested in combating Evil, Terror(ism), Weapons of Mass-Destruction and/or Mass-Murder, It would have to start with Itself first and foremost, and then move on to its prime ally, “Isreal”—the “jewish” tail which wags the “jewish”-Amerikan dog. Isn’t this all too obvious plain and true, dear readers? All the world knows it. Why don’t the Amerikans? Because they get nearly all their “information” from their “Uncle” Satan?

And so beware official, “jewish” and domestic “terrorism” disguised as “foreign,” Gentile or Mohammedan. For again, as we saw above, it is “Uncle” Satan’s nature, character and method to always and everywhere play the victim (of Its victims). And so we should not be at all surprised if this Devil is self-transformed into an all too self-righteous and terrible avenging angel, or if this Dragon is self-disguised as a White Knight imperiously and supernationally fighting some alleged Dragon of Mohammedan (or Christian) “terrorism.” (2 Cor. 11:14) (See The F.B.I.’s ...Project Megitto below.) (For such is what the Slanderer is and what the Slanderer does.)

And so look once again in this Satanic light at the bombing(s) of the federal building in Oklahoma City (Apr. 19, 1995), and at the fallen trade towers of 2001.

(Who benefited? Who used “9/11” as a domestic power-grab, and as a violent excuse, mask and “cover story” for yet further imperial “jewish”-Amerikan aggressions, invasions, conquests and occupations around the Gentile globe? Two and two still makes four, doesn’t it? Or have the simple laws of political math and tyranny been changed within this new millennium?

\* \* \*

Surely, dear American citizen, if your “Uncle” Satan really and truly wanted to protect you and yours from evil or “terrorism,” It would have furnished you and yours with machine-guns—as Saddam Hussein so furnished his Iraqi citizens (against their Zionist invaders)—instead of rather seeking to disarm you and yours, to thus render you helpless before Its tyranny and Its police.

Furthermore, your southern border is wide open for Mexican invasion, and has been for years. And yet your successive presidents and Congresses do nothing against this. Why not? Why else but because they’re (nearly) all **for** this. For whatever reason(s) they **desire** this southern invasion. Isn’t it obvious? Thus “Washington” (how he would roll over in his grave to hear his name so Satanically abused) is clearly not on your side at all, but is actively working against you and yours. Can’t you see that?

And yet you imagine you can trade your priceless divine, God-given or “constitutional” liberties to such “federal” creatures as these in exchange for their false promises of protection from whatever evils: “terrorism,” crime, drugs, violence, etc.? Think again, dear American. And think fast, and smart, and truthfully.

Freedom is not free. Freedom is something you to give to yourself...or else don’t, and hence don’t have, possess, enjoy. I don’t wish (political, economic, monetary) slavery that for you, dear American. Do you wish that upon yourself and your loved ones? That is the question. What is your answer? History and your (or rather their) “Uncle” Satan await your decision.

\* \* \* \* \*